Extencea Page

1 MM-ngqvnm 3

SPEECH BY STATE PRESIDENT F W DE KLERK: BUDGET VOTE DEBATE, PARLIAMENT. 23 APRIL 1992

The overwhelmingly positive result of the referendum on March the 17th has given new momentum to the process of change in our country, Nobody doubts its irreversibility any longer. The_r debate is no longer on the question whether a new and just order should replace the 01d; nor about whether it should include all South Africans.

. 1

The full focus is now on the question now the new dispensation should look:

Also in respect of this the referendum produced a clear verdict on several fundamental points of departure - on fundamental issues which together form a clear framework for a new constitution as well as for a transitional dispensation. To that I shall return later.

First, however, I wish to focus fully on the impact of the process of change itself and on its implications.

On that which is clearly apparent we do not have to dwell too long. We are experiencing the good and immediate fruits of reform with gratitude. They include, to name but a few examples:

our return tc international sport;

the normalisation of our international relations in Africa and world-wide;

growing exports, without which unemployment would be a much greater problem than it is at present;

newly opened doors for South Africa in the fields of science, academe, arts and culture.

There are other good fruits which are less visible at this stage, but which are, undoubtedly, already there. They include: new investments, also from abroad;

the availability of foreign loans:

growing tourism to South Africa, with the enormous potential it entails.

QPR 23 '92 16:31 THE RRGUS 655 962 woven about us.

There are also invisible fruits. To this I am able to attest from the numerous penetrating discussions I have had with world leaders and opinion-makers in many spheres. They are the fruits of changed thinking about South Africa, of new understanding of the complexity of our problems, of support for fundamental concepts - concepts such as, pbwer-sharing without dominatiOn; the necessity of constitutional checks and balances; the protection of minorities and interests such as private property ownership, security of tenure for employees of the State, language and culteral rights; the unacceptability of a winner-takes-all solution; the wisdom of devolving power to regions and to local government. In short - there is a broad understanding and growing international support, specifically for those fundamental points of departure which are part of the mandate we obtained in the referendum. Nonetheless, the fruits of reform are not confined to our international relations. Demestically, too, we are reaping good fruits already. The most important of them is certainly the process of negotiation itself.

Naturally, the implications of change and reform are of particular interest to all South Africans. No party or individual is able to escape them. Adjustments are required of all and everyone is facing choices.

The best evidence of this statement is what we are finding and experiencing here in Parliament.

In the House or Representatives there has been a change of administration.

In the House of Delegates matters are seething and smouldering.

In the House of Assembly differences of principle and policy are erupting openly in the ranks of both opposition parties - with resultant expulsions. And we know the end is not in sight - that more has to ensue. I do not wish to indulge in petty politics about this. No-one who appreciates the gravity of the moment would wish to do that. If we wish to secure a stable and prosperous future for our children, we will have to ensure, among other things, a stable and continuous democratic process within a new constitutionalnsystem-m_Thenefone,_theuque\$tiau_i\$'

What do we have to do, now in 1992, to ensure exa ty h t for the Eu ute? a .. V -Li Huddo 4 w':33. c ubl'Ihhbgcw (dgu o(uda vvuiwi S1, . bub s'0 Firstly; I lieve that everybody realises, with a View to the future, that unnecessary partx;ggiit;ggl_splinhezing is unwise ._V G and may even be dangerous for democracy.

'92 16:31 THE RRGUS quite different to that of the Tricameral Parliament. divisions, often rooted in history, The same applies to racially-based p racially-oriented political policies oldwill lose their relevancy. olitical divisions and In the place of the old divisions. the rest of the world. the_hgsf'imggi mainly in two broad mainstrea . new ones will emerge. As in economic policy directions will become 7 ics'wiiienegrnefoImove m_-

Those hroad-mainstreams are already evident in South African politics. What is needed now, is that those who belong . _ 5I together from inner conviction, should come together.5bbs NV. 0 Politicians who believe in the same principles have to find a way of co-operating instead of fighting and weakening one another. The National Party is fully prepared to do that. i However, it is asking too much if the National Party is a expected to wind itself up and submerge itself in a new party. i Currently, the National Party is the political party inside Parliament with q te the strongest claim to being a broad national(politicaEEmovement with, in addition, a dynamic growth potential among 31 South Africans.

In saying that, I am not being derogatory of other parties and their supporters. I am merely stating a fact that is accepted by friend and foe alike and confirmed by scientific research. And because that is so, the National Party will continue, actively and purposefully, to expand and broaden its support base. One does not liquidate a winner. On the contrary, you ensure that it is developed to an optimum state of readiness. All who are able to associate themselves with the National Party's principles and policies are welcome to join us and help us grow into an even more powerful political movement - a party which will build a mighty bastion against radicalism and play a 1 leading 6nd decisive part in a new dispensation.

Those who, for particular reasons, prefer to maintain a particular identity in another party, but nonetheless wish to co-operate more closely with the National Party on the ba51s of shared convictions, are equally welcome to enter into a discussions with us. In fact, such discussions, particularly with extra-parliamentary parties, are developing well already. , However, one thing is certain. Our old mutual differences and the age-old competition along set lines are politics of the past. In terms of the expressed will of the vast majority of honourable members, this ParliamentL'gswggggegtlx_ggngt;tnted, is on its way Out. The Keep and serious divisions of the past :33?e_beenw61iminEEEd to a large extent. Only the Conservative Party still clings to the essential elements of the ole dispensation - and many of its members know that that is senseless? So.far, only one of them has had the courage to admit it openly and bear the consequences. T'D

```
'by the NC to honourable1members of other smaller parties.1NUMA L
ape 2: '92 16:32 THE RRGUS 855 pg4
All of this places us before a new reality. If negotiations
proceed as desired, we who are sitting here will not be
fighting only one angthg; again in another election. New
players are coming to the fore and they are not waiting for the
new dispensation - they are part of Parliament already.
Through pro-ANC elements in the Labour Party and the five
former houuuiable membels of Lhe Democractic Party who have
joined the ANC, the scene has already begun to change. There
are rumours, too, of all manner of political offers being made
Therefore, the party political process of the new dispensatiOn
to come has already begun. The party political rivalry within
the current parliamentary dispensation is becoming less
relevant by the day. This fact, incidentally, led to the
k I National Party's decision to refrain, as a general rule, from
m/
L/ 05 i
W
. 133W,
C
taking part in House of Assembly by-elections tor the time
_being in cases in whiEh_EHe_seEthaa_beep"ng_in the general
election h?i3'hemner o: EEC openitith"'Niturally, 55 feierve
thei?ighfttowTEViEe_this dec151on'fh_fhe light of new
circumstances.
In the House of Representatives, in which the National Party
still has to prove itself conclusively at the polls, the
situation is different. In the House of Assembly, the position
has become so stabilised, especially after the referendum, that
participation in by-elections is notfneCEEEETYT ;_ n .:
e /( :K (t ; Jan 0x WLW'V I/ L/L/W'JY (wal 17
New challenges are awaiting all of us in a new dispensation -
challenges the will demand a fresh and courageous approach of
every indivtd _ and party. 'm Marffm t. om. c/gmmgwu
The time for this, for parties and members who are still caught
up in an old rut, is becoming short. I think it was Augustine
,who said the difference between a rut and a grave was merely
.its depth!
This call to every party and honourable member assumes a
different dimension in the case of the Conservative Party.
That is so because the Conservative Party is still clinging
officially as a party to r ce as the oornerstonehof igiq th't p
existence and solutions. 3 X7ul, w W wtwbrf .
L w? J M513 NJ Kgftcwf SC?
The honourable members of the Conservative Party who are u"
looking ahead in an effort to interpret the result of the . a?
referendum realistically, know that a racial a proach is
dead-end street. (Law aWVL(1$V e (I 1 tJXYSJnge Q4
I , m 0/
'Yet they do nothing about it. They merely continue to live
with the dualism and contradictory ramblings of the
Conservative Party - the indefensible confusion of thinking
about an "Afrikaner Volk" and a White nation; a White "volk"
and a "Boerevolk"; a White territory with minimal border
adjustments and an ' frikaner Volkstaat" drastically scaled $
dOwn in terms of its extent and dimensions. )qmg& g3 $3: 6(uy2mt
/I M (HAWK (m
```

ax (U

```
APR 23 '92 16:33 THE QRGUS 855 PC5
- 5 _
There are Conservative Party members who shudder at the fascism
and nazi-like bombasm of the AWB. And yet they do nothing
about it, but continue to blow hot and cold without putting a
clear and comprehensible point of view against it.
These are Conservative Dorty mcmbcro who view with concern the
way in which their party's refusal to make use of the
negotiation opportunities of the moment, is depriving them of
any capacity to influence the course of events in any way
whatsoever. And yet the do nothing about it and stand waiting
on the platform while thi train of history passes them by and
-the radicals beat the drums of violence. /d/-V Q#Wg
In mentioning all of this, I am not trying, tongue i check, to
sow division in the ranks of the Conservative Party. We are
long past that stage. What I would like to see is that the
entire caucus of the Conservative Party is persuaded by its
7 more realistic member to adopt-a fresh, realistic and more____/%
;#ge courageous approach.lehat is what the referendum demands of 4
them. That is what the gravity of the moment requires of them 6
and that is what their Supporters ought to demand of them.
That is what the leaders of "volkstaat"-oriented groups are ,
seeking to do in ways different from the Conservative Party's T
habit of slandering any denigrating its fellow-Afrikaners.
My door remains open to discussion and negotiation. That is
the responsible route.
Mr Speaker, it is not the Parliamentary parties only that are
facing new challenges, however. And it is not the
Parliamentary parties only from which a fresh and Courageous
approach is required.
"('% 0.67 nsi
, .
Every exra-parliamentary political party and organisation is
confronted by the same challenge.
CR
(5
In this respect I wish to turn to the ANC in particular. As an (
important actor, the ANC now has a great responsibility to
adapt to new circumstances and really begin peaking the
language of reconciliation. Ljf 2L1Vh1 bu? 7c wa3% . fhw7
Especially in respect of two matters the ANC is out of step giw&
with reality at present.
In the first instance, the ANC avails itself of misleading 9J5
rhetoric. as if apa:theid were still the policy of the
, 7 Government and the National Party. Day after day and
:At "Mfopportunity upon opportunity it continues to ride the hobby
'JUx 'wghorse of apartheid - regardless of what has been done already
, 1 U Ito abolish it and the hard work that has been undertaken
Aj' together to bring about a new constitutional dispensation
SANL devoid of) racial discrimination. Reconciliation and racial
harmony axe not promoted at all by an ANC that will not let
1 'ii'
7 ′-
```

aparthei die. Lugbuil 1 _ 6 _

Secondly, the ANC is still failing to purge itself of a political philosophy which has led to stagnation and the suppression and disadvantage of the masses wherever it has been applied.

Wherever it has been instituted, communism has placed power and financial privileges in the hands of the party elite, suppressed real democracy and destroyed economic progress. It is a failed and antiquated political systi3 that has landed on the junk heap throughoutiAf ica as w.ll. 00 S'NWZ KECMAa a 0

CZhUAv%gi.e6Vk %%5'0VI&WV Kub4 ' 6/4tk (4ngQJa Ahi'jz: The ANC knows thisiand is being reminded regularly of it by the international community And yet the ANC continues to permit 3; itself to be used by th SACP which has practically no su port / of its own. U 0w (.41,me . sz/v $_{\rm K}$

The ANC knows that investments, without which it will not be able to honour its election promises, will hardly be forthcoming unless investors are safeguarded from precisely those economic policies which the SACP advocates. And yet the ANC remains reluctant to come into line with the rest of the world in respect of economic policy.

In both these matters the interests of all South Africans demand that the ANC should adopt a fresh an innovative approach. Reconciliation and development are being retarded by its failure to do so.

(lcu&bJ 914a; WWW L4H vath / hi4uJ quuq MAiLQ fault 6mm . AK 36101) L41. ?LJNEC (4/5th awn? (E&wr 1308V i j

QPR 23 '92 16:34 THE RRGUS 955m

conshmxom AFFAIRS

As was to be expected, honourable members devoted some attention to constitutional matters.

Three topics were touched upon.

Firstly, the question of local government.

In yesterday's debate the honourable member for Pniel sought to accuse the National Part? of continued discrimination at the local-level.ot government. He tried to indulge in petty politics on a difficult question. I have always experienced him as a politician who has a strong sense of the necessity of stability and who understands the dangers entailed in domination of minorities and communities. Yesterday, however, he sounded like an advocate of a winner-takes-all dispensation. I do not think either that the honourable member did justice to the National Party's approach to the franchise and other matters at the local level.

The national Party's published guidelines for constitutional government in a participatory democracy, spell out clearly that is essential to create a framework for good order and co-operation, particularly at the local level, where people live, work and relax every day.

As in the case of the first tier of government, the basic point of departure is that a single non-racial local authority - with a single administration and a single tax base - should be established within the boundaries of every city or town on the basis of universal suffrage for all. It goes without saying, however, that on this level, too, there have to be checks and balances to maintain specific interests within the community practically and fairly on a nnn-ranial basis. This would include matters such as the protection of property rights and safeguards against domination and disadvantage.

It is, unfortunately, at the local level where the great disparity between developed and backward areas comes to the fore most strongly. It is the kind of situation in which confrontation and power struggles thrive. For that reason, precisely, we believe that a dispensation has to be established, also on the local level. that is politically fair in a balanced manner to all - to those who have much to lose and to those who have a lot to catch up. And let me say at once that this point of view has nothing to do with race or -colour. In all our population groups there are stable members at the local level who actually carry the whole community. It is they who care for their families, acquire a house or pay their rent regularly, and faithfully meet their obligations to the local authority. Does the honourable member not want their interests to be looked at? "

-2-

This'may be achieved in a variety of ways, among them by:
- electing the local authority on the basis of ward
representation;

- determining the franchise with due consideration of the
 interests of all lawful residents. as well as of the
 Gspecial interests of owners, lessees and ratepayers:
 # accepting property valuations and voter numbers in a fair
 proportion to one another as a basis for the delimination
 of warQs;
- considering a combination model in which, for example, half of the Councillors are elected on the basis of a voters' roll on which the names of all the lawful inhabitants appear, and the other half on a voters' roll containing only the names of owners, lessees and ratepayers. .

These options offer a fundamental framework for further negotiations on the issue and it should be clear to the honourable member that there is no intention whatsoever to disadvantage any segment of the community in an unjust manner. As far as the tragic lot of squatters is concerned, the honourable member need not preach to us. He knows how many millions of rand have been spent and are still to be spent to alleviate this problem. Eueryone wishes to see that the problems of urbanisation, squatting and poverty receive re id and e fective atLehtioq. In 5U%&n (V 2A.. vunm 40 LL-M'uly (,tauiwlzu #710 l C: WM; qukhu7fer

One thing I do know: the suppression or disadvantaging of the stable section of our population will merely aggravate the problem.el lg? fcw $_$

Secondly, there were references to transitional government and the negotiations in that connection. On this I wish to deal briefly with the Government's point of view and make a relevant announcement.

I wish to begin by saying that the honourable Leader of the Official Opposition in the House of Assembly is wrong in maintaining that the Government was against interim government initially and then accepted it later under pressure. We said from the outset that transitional arrangements were necessary and that a transitional government was an 0 tion which could be negotiated. (Lay 50w! but CGfNL' QJfMUBJS Vt"JLWLV At CODESA l we, in fact, did go further and expressed our preference for a phased approach - an approach which.would include an early transitional government as well as a transitional legislative authority.

```
'92_ 84/23 16:14
I 821 45394? PARLY
i
1 3 I
This brings me to the National Party's proposals in ibis
connection. In the negotiations on this, our concur; in a
constructive build-up: to create constitutional cirtumstances
in which all of us may live our lives in peace and S.CuritY;
raise their children, develop their talents and retain that for
which they have worked hard. Ultimately, it concern; a
constitution under which everybody may feel safe bectuse not
only majorities. but minorities as well. will have agmeanianul
voice in the government of the day; and also because that
constitution will entrench the values and principlespchat are
needed for fairness and jpstice, tor peace and security.
We belieVe it is possible to achieve this by dividinb the next
step into two phases: the first being a preparatory phaso to
transitional government and the second the institutuon of a
transitional constitution in terms of which a transitional
executivo authority and parliament may be elected.
In the PrOP&tatorY phase, the participating partiaaiwlll be
committed by their participation in executive structure: to
maintaining a climate in which there will be politi$31
policipation and elections free of intimidation andipolitical
violence. In this phase all the necessary pteparatbxy work
will b. done for the just institution of a new government
according to new constitutional provisions.
This phase does ngt entail a transitional government. Two
central government: cannot exist side by side. Only in the
second phase will we arrive at the transitional govarnment
itself. 1
In my opinion, this, in particular, has been a caqu 0: some
confusion. In some circles, the Government's proposals on
transitonal councils - later renamed preparatory councils -
have been interpreted to constitute our proposal Ed: a form of
transitional govetnment. That is not and never wad the case.
Transitional government has to be instituted in terms of an
amendad constitution and as we have undertaken. we shall
announce more detailed preposala soon. ;
In this context. nonetheless, I do with to lift tht veil a
little in respect of certain aspects which are providino
curtain facts now already.
As is known already, our proposals will provide (oh a
legislature o: parliament consisting of two chambers.
The first chamber or National Assembly will be eleLted on tho
"basls of proportional representation. -
The Second chamber or Senate will be constituted Jittozontly to
give regions equal representation and to ensure tuat parties
from regions are given meaningful co-responsibility.
our point: of view on this are well known alreadyland will now
be submitted in greater detail. f
62
```

```
'92 0$125 16115 8 921 e53947 PQRLV
unluo
When it come: to the Executive. our proposal. will, indeed,
contain a significant new element and it is about thit that I
wish to inform honourable members. ; .
catil now. we have been talking about a Presidency tth will
function through consensus and appoint a cabinet. among other
things. The first change in our proposals 13 one of
terminology to eliminate confusion.
Our detailed proposals will now propose an Exnnnkiln
Cannnil. '
,This Executive Council will replace the present State President
and will catty out the functions of the Head of State and the
Head of Government. The Executive Council will rencn its
decisions through consensus. as has been our proposal Erom the
beginning. 's
S
3
The chairmanship of the Executive Council will rotate.
six-monthly in the transitional government. and the member who
is chairman will be known as the President and will also fulfil
the ceremonial Eunctions of the Head of State.
When it come: to the composition of the Executive Coencil. our
new proposal differ: in a very important respect from prevnoua
proposals.
Т
We are now proposing that the Executive Council of tie interim
government should be elected directly by all South African
citizens who will be entitled to vote on the basis of a new.
negotiated eleCtoral act. Furthermore. every party will be
able to nominate one candldate and everyone who qualities for
the franchise shall have one vote. The three to five
candidates who receive the highest number of votes will be
elected as members of the Executive Council.
Considerably greater detail will become apparent itch the
documentation to be issued shortly. ' -
This important adjustment in on: proposals, namely or the
direct election of an Executive Council of three to tive
mombers.is not merely a novelty directed at strategic aims.
No, it is an honest attempt to find a reasonable solution to
sevotel key problems. ;
Ameeq the most important advantages of the direct ejection or
an Executive Council are the following: v
Democracy is maintained and. in fact. expandedl
Leaders with ptoven support are identified andlacquire a
direct responsibility to those who elected then.
The separation of the Legislative and Executive
Authorities is strengthened. ;
```

```
'92 "34/23 16:!3 x 321 4539$7 ?RRLV
'34

1

u
!
_ 5 _ .
t
```

A,phosod approach in which the axocutivo authoritvaill b. nhlto ba te-conatituted :eaaonably rapidly at an oarly stag; and apart from parliament. became: possible.

cne could add a great daal. For that. however. thSto will b. enough time during the process of negotiation.

In conclusion, some words on the question of transitional government. $^{\prime}$

History worldwide has taught us that transitional haunt may be very dangerous. Destabilisation in strutture: of overnmont offer opportunities to adventurers Who wish to takt over power - usuallynadventurers who maintain that they wish to take over power in the name of and on behalf of the people. but than proceed to use that power as their an power in thhir gun right. We have seen the misery this has brought to peoples and nations. Africa and Eastern Europe have provided recent examples. T

. I

For that reason. we do not wish to leave South Africa without a Inannnnihln Government at any time during the procbaa of democratisation; responsible because the responsibility to govern is entrusted to it by the voters and its mandate has to be revised regularly in general elections. The prisont constitution. with its limited basis of representation. contains controls over the executive authority. AhY form Of transitional executive authority without such controls. will give it unlimited dieLaLorial powers. which is unabceptablo. In additon, any ensuing government also has to remhin subject to other checks and balances and to athez values abd principles entrenched in a constitution. In the process. we May not at any time move from an inparfact constitution, (whibh the present one certainly is) to an even more imperfect one. we have to get a 93:32; constitution, never a worse one. Precisely because our next Constitution will be a transitional one. it has to provide for more representative andL theretora, reponsibla government; it has to be. in patticulai. a powar-shating constitution so that minoritis: may teal sate under it and may have an equal vaice in tho planning and determination 0: further :on5tltutional development; it has to still embody a 3111 o: Fundamental Rights and a constitutional court will have to be instituted. ' 1314V

SECRET FUNDS AND PROJECTS '

I also wish to rofor to the qunsrinn of secret funds and secret projects.

Since Parliament was prorogued last year, a storm erupted about them. At the time I provided certain explanations and gave certain undertakings and feel obliged to inform Parliament briefly about the further course of events.

Honourable members will recall that I nominated a private sector committee. I wish to express my appreciation again to Professor Ellison Kahn and the other members of the Advisory Committee on Special Secret Projects. The Government was particularly privileged to be able to depend on the linvestigation and advice of people of proven capability and high esteem in respect of such a sensitive matter. I especially appreciate that they were prepared to undertake a difficult task in a thorough manner and complete it within a short space of time.

UNDERTAKINGS

The undertaking given on the part of the Government on the question of secret funds and covert actions amounted, in brief, to the following:

- It was my declared objective to limit actions and projects of this nature to an absolute and essential minimum.
- I also envisaged that those actions which had to be continued in the national interest would not only be subjected to stringent financial control, but also to firm cabinet management.
- I stated that it was Government policy that secret funds might not be used to favour one political party or organisation above another.
- The revision of legislation appertaining to secret funds was envisaged.
- I am pleased to report on the way in which these undertakings were honoured.

SCALING DOWN

Since I stated as a point of departure, here in Parliament in March 1990, that co rt actions had to be confined to an essential minimum": here has been a drastic reduction every year in the number 5 special secret projects, that is to say projects which fall outside the strict line "functional terms of

```
Μ
х
9,.
Рa
9.
- 2
- MP scam; w
reference of departments, and in the expenditure on them. At
an international press conference on July the 30th 1991, I had
this to say in this connection:
tlt remains the Government's aim to restrict special
secret projects to the minimum. Once again the scalpel
has cut deeply."
Since then, Professor Kahn's committee has found that the
departments involved heme clearly striven to give heed to the
intention of the Government, to terminate or scale down not
only special secret projects. but, in some cases, also a number
of ordinary COVBLt projects which fall outside the definition
of special secret projects.
gThe decline in activities of this nature was such that the
National Intelligence Service, the South African PoliCe and the
Defence Force have now terminated all special secret projects
and are confining themselves onl o the line functional task
enttusted to them by law. WWI
CORTROL
Apart from internal auditing and strict auditing by the t//
Auditor-General, the conduct of secret projects is.subjec to
cabinet control A committee of four ministers under the
chairmanship of-the "MTEIgEer of Fihahte_'eerClSes controg:ggg;,
the content or every sycu'ul secret project and overall vdr'h/
cevert--exeeadttetewrn-generaTT-Therefore-, -sound and effective'"
cabinet canttUi-has- -been established in this connection."
& _ _.... _. .-.1..._..
THE POLITICAL PLAYING FIELD
Professor Kahn's committee's original terms of reference were
to subject special secret projects to the ensuing guidelines:
- no political party may be favoured or disadvantaged by
such a project;
- it has to be in the broader national interest: and
- it has to play a positi part in promoting peacekend
combatting'intimidationt anctiona_gnd i591EEESB; The
In the course of the investi tion they tookt a wider
look - also at secret projects which fall 0 ide the
definition of man secret-projects. (M34 ?iwn e wirw '
The Kahn Committee found that the continuing projects of a
secret nature complied with these guidelines. Whene_en_the
committee recommended thERgegg;gggigg_gg_3,2_giegtF the_
recnmEEiHEETBEleElEEEepte e committee confirmed as well
that departments of which it is the normal task to occupy
themselves with covert act41ons in their line functional
context. should continue to do so.
```

(aw my M Maw W W4

I wish to put it plainly once again that it remains the Government's policy that there should be no political advantage by means of secret funding. The political playing field in South Africa is level andmtha Government's commitment to a just and equitable solution through negotiation is above suSpicion. However, the govennment is not only committed politically to the prbcess of negotiation, but, as the Government of the country, also bears a great responsibility in this respect. The political playing field also has to be kept level. It is in this context that the Pfenntinn bY institutions SUCh as the National Intelligence Service, the Police and the Defence Force of their statutory obligation to see to the maintenance of law and order, has to be viewed. No person, group or institution that engages in political violence is exempted from this. That is the case in any democratic society. The institutions involved and the 60vernment deal with information gathered in this respect with great circumspection and with full consideration of their undertaking and responsibility to keep the playing field level. LEGISLATION

Finally, I am able to announce that the revision of the legislation on secret funds is now in the process of being finalised. The new legislation being envisaged is to be tabled in Parliament as soon as possible.

1523t

nr-rx .1.) "mi 15:53 FHE QRGUS 855 P13
ANTWOORD OP DIE LEIER VAN DIE AHPTELIKE OPPOSISIR IN DIE
VOLKSRAAD SE BEWERINGE 00R KOMMUNISME EN '3 VVO TAAKMAG
Kommunisme bly vir die Regering 'n verfoeilike ideologie en
daarom is die Regering verheug dat kommunisme as magsfaktor in
die internasionale politiek vernietig is. Uit die agbare lid
se argumente wil dit egter voorkom of die Konserwatiewe Party
nie van goeie nuus sou nie - veral nie goeie nuus wat nie by
hulle negatiewe politiek inpas nie. Alle moontlike syfers word
aangebied om te p009 om kommunisme lewe in te blaas. Chris
Hani moet besonder opgewonde wees oor dis soort aansporing
vanaf die Konserwatiewe Party.

M33: mees nog: in sy poging om kommunisme belangrik voor te stel, maak die agbare lid wesenlike foute. Rooi Sjina was byvoorbeeld nie_die hoofbron van steun aan die ANC of die SAKP nie. Laasgenoemde het hulle ondersteuning meer van Moskou gekry, terwyl China eerder die PAC ter wille was. Verde: sou dit goed wees as die agbare lid kennis neem van verwikkelinge in en om Rooi Sjina. Daar is allerlei strominge. Die Republie: van Sjina, met wie ons sulke goeie verhoudinge het, bels miljarde in veral die Suide van Rooi Sjina. Daar is rede vir vertroue dat die Republiek van Sjina se goeie demokratiese invloed ten goede inwerk en die potensiaal het om groot verandering te bewerkstellig.

Die ANC se verbintenis met die SAKP en die feit dat die ANC toelaat dat sy eie bestuursposte deur lede van die SAKP gevul word, het ek reeds vroesr vandag en dikwels in die verlede as onaanvaarbaar uitgewys. Juis hierdie verbintenis is 'n struikelblok. Anders as wat die agbare lid probeer voorstel, is daar geen bondgenootskap tussen die Regering en die ANC nie en dus ook nie onregstreeks met lede van die SAKP nie. Die feit van die ANC se band met die kommunisme is egter nie net vir die Rgering onaanvaarbaar nie, maar ook vir die internasionale gemeenskap en toenemende getalle Suid-Afrikaner van kleur. As die ANC wil volhou met sy kommunistiese bande, is dit derhalwe tot die politieke voordeel van die anti-kommunistiese politieke partye in Suid-Afrika. As die AB sou toelaat dat sy uitvoerende besture gevul word met SAKP-led (wat nie bereid is om onder hulle eie vaandel aan die politiek ptosesse deel te neem nie), werp dit 'n skaduwee oor die organisasie. ,

W fb WWW

Hierdie dilemma van die ANC moetApenut word tot voordsel van OOVVJ% die gematigde partye, en die Nasionale Party sien hierin 'n uFt geleentheid. Die aghare lid, \$003 so dikwels, deins terug Var \$\$L b die uitdaging. Waarom? Omdat hy weet dat sy party 59 beleid L

ON nie die vermoe et om nige steun bui e Blanke geledege ts L $_$ - . , An-t . v Lug

UJUoga/Wimonster nie. & (MM 4% J P&W g9

Die agbare lid se insinuasies 00: 'n VVO-taakmag Waraan die L 'Regering Qf die Weermag sou meewerk, is eintlik te veggssog 0r g I op te reageer. Die agbare lid laac hom verlei deur tigiese K verdagmakende propaganda wat waarskynlik aan hom voor51en wor(U k&' deur persona wat eens in die militsre hisrargie gestaan bet as QNA tans heeltemal daarvan verwyder is.

d_ _._,.wu .H- n,-u...uq 855 .914 _ 2 _

nie aan die neus rondgelei te word nie.

gLo wat hulle hoor nie. Hulle moet ook nie so geneig wees om die advies te aanvaar wat uit allerlei oorde aan hulle gebied word nie. Instansies soos die Instituut vir Strategiese Analise van genl Tienie Groenewald en nuusbriewe soos die Aida Parker Newsletter en die McAlvany Intelligence Adviser laat die Konserwatiewe Party growwe foute maak. Daar bestaan 'n sterk moontlikheid dat inligting wat uit daardie bronne kom, nie volgens die klassieke inligtingsetiek bedxyf en aangebied word nie. Inligting word die woreld ingestuur om die politieke bona fides van deelnemers aan KODESA so te probeer bevraagteken en onmin tussen die Regering en die Veiligheidsmagte te saai Hie, deur.word 81.8 5 6'1; 914C exlmsyerzeekopers pevoordeel. #3744; .. I 1

'6 zupvuz t r u&m);

Wat bet ef de posisie Von die vgili heidsmagte en die Regering se verantwoordelikheid om stabiliteit te verseker, nou en in die oorgangsfase, wil ek herhaal dat die Regering nie beteid is om onkonstitusionele beheer oor die Weermag en Polisie toe te laat nie. of cm die veiligheidsmagte te beperk in hulle verantwoordelikheid om stabiliteit en wet en orde binnelands te verseker nie.

Suid-Afrika is 'n soewereine staat en dit is die Regering se verantwoordelikheid om die veiligheid van die staat te uorcokor. Aannprako op intarnasinn213 herrnkkanhnid hy dip handhawing van binnelandse veiligheid is onvanpas en word deur .die Regering verwerp.

Nie net die Konserwatiewe Party is besig met skewe propaganda 1/ L&i nie. Die propaganda wat deur sekere kerkleiers aangebied word /1&L / na aanleiding van gister se kerkeberaad, naamlik dat die o/ u5b(r Regering in die huidige begroting R5 miljard toeqeken het vir ,f ' - geheime projekte en spesiale magte, is net so skeef. Hierdie L stelling is totaal onwaar.- Verkrygings vir die Weermag word 0 'n Spesiale Verdedigingsrekening gedoen. Dit is aan normale ouditering deur die Ouditeur-generaal onderworpe. Dit is 00: die jare so gedoen as gevolg van die wapenboikot teen die land en dit hat to make met toerustingverkryging. Dit is vermoedelik heirdie rekening waarna verkeerdelik verwys word.