KJWO 0110073141 31
THE ROLE OF THE SACP IN THE TRANSITION
TO DEMOCRACY AND SOCIALISM

Section Five of our party Mani festo (Buildind workers' Dower for democratic change) remains a valid, general guideline to the kind 3: SACP that :e should be building.

The general theses in Section Five need, however, to be supplemented with:

a a fuller assessment of our experience over the last two and half years of legal, party building;

1 further consideration of the path to socialism and the kind of socialism we are hoping to build in our country (and the implications these have for party building); and t consideration of changes within the working class in South

Africa (and the implications these have for a party of the working class).

A. THE SACP SINCE JULY 1990

There are a number of very significant positive achievements that we have accomplished in the past two and a half years: a With a membership of over 40,000 our party is now considerably larger than at any time in its history. We have fully launched 9 regions (with a further 3 launched districts on the way to forming regions). We have built up a communist presence in most of the major industrial complexes.

a The recently released Markinor opinion poll (conducted in November 1992) showed that our party is performing extremely well in terms of popularity amongst the majority African population. t In the course of 1992 our party's catalysing role in the mass action campaign (particularly between June and August) was obvious, and led to a concerted anti-communist offensive by the regime and liberal media - a sure sign of our impact. These achievements are particularly notable, considering that they have occurred against the backdrop of the most serious international crisis for socialism and the communist movement. These achievements have much to do with the general character of our party's membership. Generally speaking we have a devoted, serious, and disciplined membership. Those joining our party are doing so out of ideological commitment, a conviction that our

party has a principled ideological perspective. But the past two and half years have also revealed many shortcomings and limitations.

In particular we have not been able to match our major increase in membership with an adequate organisational consolidation. This in turn relates to limitations, some of which are more or less objective. and others are the result of our own weaknesses. The most obvious, objective difficulties relate to our extremely limited resources - material and, perhaps especially, human (in terms of availability). Many of our best. party members are engaged full-time (or prioritise work) in the ANC, COSATU, etc. What has been lacking from our side has been a realistic strategic perspective of the role of the SACP, not in general terms, but specifically, in terms of:

a the concrete organisational alignment of progressive forces in our country in the present;

a the obvious possibility and need for a division of labour within the ANC-led alliance; and

t our own potential strengths and obvious objective limitations. We need to map out a few clear strategic tasks for the SACP. These tasks need to relate to what we can do well, to what we specifically stand for, and to what we can perhaps do better than others.

All of this relates directly to: B. OUR APPROACH TO SOCIALISM WHAT IS SOCIALISM?

Socialism is a transitional social system between capitalism (and other systems based on class oppression and exploitation) and a fully classless, communist society.

The socialist transition may well be of long duration. The transition may also be marked by contradictions, stagnation and major reverses. History is never a smooth process, nor does it have a guaranteed outcome.

As a transitional system, socialism inevitably has a "mixed", contradictory character - whether in the ownership and control of the economy, or in all other spheres of society. The socialist

2/

transition is opened up at the point at which (as our party' 5 Manifesto notes) there is a decisive "development of. .popular democracy to a position of dominance in all spheres - political, economic, social and cultural." (p.22).

In this regard the Manifesto lists:

" a The development of a vast network of democratic organs of popular participation in both the economy and the politics- Siste: under the leadership of the working class;

i The restructuring of the state so as to establish state apparatuses shaped to relate directly and continuously with these popular structures; and t A decisive increase of the sectors of economy under social control and subject to democratic planning. In other words, a decisive weakening of the capitalist economy which is driven by exploitation and by the pursuit of profit rather than the needs of the people." (p.22)

In speaking of social control of the economy the Manifesto notes that:

"This democratic participation is compatible with various forms of ownership of the means of production. These include state, municipal, collective, cooperative and small-scale, non-exploitative family owned enterprises. In other words, state ownership (or nationalisation) is neither sufficient, nor is it necessarily always the only or most effective form of socialist ownership."

There is no magic blueprint for socialism. Socialism is also not a foreign country. If we are to build socialism in South Africa, it will have to be rooted in our own realities, our own rich experience and traditions of revolutionary struggles.

But is socialism possible "in one country"?

Socialism in one country?

When the Bolsheviks began the socialist revolution in 1917, they saw their own revolution as a precursor, even as ea holding operation, for a major socialist revolution that would sweep through the more advanced capitalist countries of western Europe in a matter of years, if not months. Traditionally, socialism had always been seen as an internationalist task.

When, by the early 19205, it was clear that the revolution in the

When, by the early 19205, it was clear that the revolution in the West had been rolled back, an isolated Soviet Union was faced 3

with a terrible choice. Deepen the process of socialist democracy, with all the risks of possible defeat; or embark on a forced march of industrialisation to catch up (at least militarily) with the imperialist powers. It was this latter course that was chosen, not without an extended and bitter inner-Party (and indeed intra-Comintern) struggle. This choice, and the circumstances under which it was taken, has had much to do with the subsequent history of the former Soviet Union - the outstanding achievements and the terrible distortions and ultimate stagnation and collapse. Under the banner of "socialism in one country", and at huge cost, a backward feudal country was transformed, in decades, into the second world power. We will not enter here into the debate about the desirability and/or inevitability of this particular process in the Soviet Union. The question we pose here is: Is the path of "socialism in one country" a possibility in South Africa? We believe it is highly improbable. Among the major factors permitting a "socialism in one country" path of development in the former Soviet Union were:

a its vast territory;

h its massive, untapped natural resources;

t its huge population - over 200 million; and

t the major dislocation of the world capitalist system in the 19205 through to the mid-1940s.

These factors do not apply to South Africa, or to the world in which we live. This is not to say that we cannot make major revolutionary advances towards socialism within our own country. But the construction, deepening and defence of socialism is, at best, highly improbable within our own country on its own. The cause of socialism is not advanced (it is discredited among the working masses) by premature announcements of its implementation. This is not to preach passivism or defeatism. But it does point to the absolute necessity for an internationalist revolutionary perspective and practice. Despite its dominance and its resilience, the world capitalist system is presently in deep structural crisis. There are major dislocations between the socalled North and South. Within. many of the. main capitalist centres, internal structural contradictions are sharpening. More and more capitalism shows itself to be without answers to (in fact, often as the cause of) the main crises facing humanity - hunger, the destruction. of the environment, joblessness, massive and growing inequalities. The advance to socialism within our own country depends considerably on the regrouping and resurgence of left forces world-wide in the face of these challenges.

Ll;

OUR CRITIQUE OF BUREAUCRATIC SOCIALISM

In our Manifesto (and also in the earlier

Path to Power) we rejected the administrative command economic systems of bureaucratic socialism.

We have also committed ourselves in our Manifesto and in our Constitution to:

multi-patty democracy and regular elections;

-..-. .. l - . .. a J-s-._::_a:;e Ell; 0'. rlG-flez,

i:

t "numerous independent mass democratic formations";
t both representative and participatory democracy, and the

general empowerment of the people.

Our criticisms of distorted socialism and our positive commitments to certain democratic values will, however, simply remain piecemeal or, even worse, look like belated concessions, defensive attempts to "prove our democratic credentials" ... UNLESS THEY ARE RELATED TO a coherent approach to the kind of socialism we are trying to build.

Indeed, our criticism of the administrative command system, of bureaucratism and our support for representative and participatory democracy ARE implicitly part of a coherent approach to socialism. But we have not yet adequately developed this.

Positive lessons from the critique of bureaucratic socialism

It was precisely the existence of an administrative command system (and the equation of this with socialism) that meant in the Soviet Union and eastern Europe the withering away of any mass democratic movement (including effective trade unions). There is no place for wage bargaining, for instance, let alone trade union involvement in policy formation, if everything is centrally (and bureaucratically) planned.

In turn, the administrative command system went hand in hand with: a

t the one party state (and the disappearance of effective representative democracy);

t bureaucratism;

t which in turn spawned unconstitutionality and, in the Stalin years in particular, massive criminal abuses.

Our party has already condemned these errors and injustices. But our condemnation, so far, has often tended to be a moral criticism. There is nothing wrong with a moral criticism, but clearly we need to carry through a more far-reaching Marxist analysis as well.

3,

t empowering the working class (organisationally, economically, culturally) - this includes increasing working class influence within the state, and developing and strengthening'institutions of participatory and direct democracy; a propagating an anti-capitalist, socialist perspective.

i. We are building a Communist Party within a broad ANC-led liberation alliance, and within a still broader mass democratic movement. The fact that there is a major ANC-led NLM, and a mass democratic movement in our country, and that the SACP is deeply rooted within them, is an enormous strength in our revolutionary struggle for socialism. It is not some unfortunate historical legacy.

Within the context of this broad popular movement we need to avoid narrow, competitive duplication of functions. The SACP, for instance, needs to avoid trying to do everything the ANC does, only with a slightly more left inflection. Instead, we need to concentrate on well-planned, quality interventions.

The SACP is certainly the most effective, the most respected and the most coherent socialist political party in our country. But the fate of socialism in our country does not depend only on the fate of the SACP. Indeed, the evolution and developing character of the ANC and MDM are also critical to this outcome.

Either way, the evolving role of the SACP will also depend upon the development of the liberation alliance as a whole. Depending on different possible trajectories of this overall alliance, the SACP will need to adapt its own role and organisational character.

If the national liberation struggle is successfully hijacked by some liberal project, or undermined by general chaos; if our NLM unity is broken and our national democratic strategic purpose is lost, the SACP may well need to assume a more autonomous character.

In such circumstances it might, for instance, be essential to focus on building a massive and independent electoral base for the SACP; to build the SACP as a major oppositional force to the elected government. But a situation in which this became a prime focus of our efforts would clearly be extremely unfortunate. It would represent a temporary (but perhaps long enduring) strategic defeat for our entire national liberation struggle. It is a possible, but far from necessary, medium-term outcome. While we must not rule out such a possibility, and while we should have the capacity to survive it, nothing in what we do now should simply concede in advance such a major defeat -

u _ , _-..._ -Mnmi_ -.-Mwu-.e%wW

What are the implications of all of this for the socialism we should be trying' to build in our country? Among the major implications are the following:

The socialism we should be building

- i. will not be (one) party-centred, or state-centred which is not to deny the importance of both. a Marxist party (or parties) and a socialist state.
- ii. that is, it will be rooted in working class and broad mass participation both to make the socialist breakthrough, and to develop and deepen it.
- iii. therefore, socialism will be essentially fought for, developed and defended, not bureaucratically, but by a Qopular movement.
- Specifically, in South African conditions this means: i. socialism will need to be, not an SACP monopoly, but a project which comes to be endorsed overwhelmingly from within the broad ANC and MDM;
- ii. that is, a more pluralistic notion of socialism becomes necessary. It is a project to which we seek to win a wide range of forces (civics, trade unions, churches, youth and students, rural people, cultural workers, other political formations, l! etc.). In relating to this wide range of forces, we also understand that we do not have a monopoly or a copyright on socialism. Many of these formations have deep roots in the South African working class. There is much we can learn (perhaps even about socialism) from their diverse strengths.
- The need for a more pluralistic approach to the struggle for socialism also relates to the character of the working class. The broad working class in South Africa is not homogeneous (see SOUTH AFRICAN WORKING CLASS belowl.
- iii. socialism is not so much a separate entity from the national democratic revolution, as a crucial part of, or stage in deepening and defending it.
- iv. therefore, in the course of the national democratic revolution we should continuously seek to create momentum towards socialism, capacity :2; socialism, and even elements g: socialism. Amongst other things this means:
- t a reconstruction process that greatly increases the size and social weight of the employed and organised proletariat (this includes, amongst other things, major emphasis on job creation) isee RECONSTRUCTION PACT belowl;

eg. a narrow, SACP "go it alone" attitude; or, loose, generalising and demoralised assumptions that the "ANC (in its entirety) has sold out", etc.

There is, of course, a real and ongoing struggle within our entire NLM over strategic direction; over the class bias and character of the ANC; and against opportunism and its twin in careerism - demagogic populism. Conducting this internal struggle, in a transparent and constructive manner, from a princ;p;ed socialist perspective is one of, perhaps even the most important of tasks for the SACP.

- ii. The party's vanguard role is more as a generaliser, a unifier and a strategiser. It seeks to play this role by winning consent within the broad popular movement, the new historic bloc. We do not aspire to a monopoly of power, either in making the socialist revolution, or in an ensuing socialist state. We do not operate through manipulation of allied formations, nor do we act as though we had some superior knowledge "guaranteed by the inevitable outcome of history".
- iii. The size of the SACP is less critical than its strategic role within this broad social movement. Obviously the party needs to have a certain critical weight (with a membership of over 40,000 we already have such a weight). Quality cadre development is one critical area in which the party can make a difference. iv. Cadre development means, among other things, developing tens of thousands of working' class activists who are capable of elaborating and sustaining the socialist perspective, in a nondogmatic manner, through the length and breadth of the broad mass movement, and over the long haul of a difficult struggle. v. If the party is to play the role of a socialist vanguard by way of active relating and undogmatic engagement with a range of social forces, then the party's own internal life needs to equip its membership for such a role. Amongst other things, this means open and dynamic debate needs to be encouraged within the party itself. It is important that we overcome the stifling effects of pseudo-Marxist dogmatism. The party needs to be built on a real unity, not a dogmatic unity. The party's theoretical positions need to be scientific (that is, open for debate and discussion), that is, living. Those who differ, either inside our party or outside of it are not, by definition, renegades, traitors, counter-revolutionaries, etc. (Which is not, of course, to say that there are never any renegades or traitors!) The character and tasks of the SACP should, then, be defined to a large extent by the kind of socialism we hope to build, and by the related perspective we have of the path to that kind of socialism.

But the nature and tasks of the SACP also need to be informed by the character of the class we hope to represent. $\hat{}$

C. THE SOUTH AFRICAN WORKING CLASS

There has been major restructuring of the South African working class over the last 20 years:

- t On the one hand unemblovment has grown massively. Many workers have all but lost the chance of ever working in the formal wage sector of the economy. There are now some 7 million unemployed in our country.
- t On the other hand, those workers who have kept their jobs, and especially unionised workers, have often gained materially from the restructuring. For many, but not all, skills have been upgraded, and there has been a rise in real wages. Faced with the double challenge of economic crisis and the growing power of the union movement, management has adopted the general strategy of retrenching, while upgrading and paying higher wages to a smaller but more skilled work-force.
- t At the same time, the employed black working class has itself become more stratified, with growing numbers moving into supervisory, lower white-collar, technical and semi-professional work.

Put another way, the major COSATU (and SACP) working class constituency (typically, semi-skilled industrial black workers), constitutes a strategically critical, but minority stratum of the E3 South African working Class. This stratum is flanked by: t On the one hand, millions of more marginalised workers. These include non-unionised unskilled workers; most migrants; rural labourers; those employed in the informal sector; and the millions of unemployed. Together, these millions of relatively marginalised workers represent up to 70% of the working class in our country.

t On the other hand, there are clerical workers, artisans, semi-professionals, and the higher level professional and technical workers. In a trend that will continue and even accelerate, there are now increasing numbers of blacks in these categories (although there is still a preponderance of whites). At the same time the restructuring of the economy has also had

a dramatic impact on white workers. Increasing numbers of white workers are unemployed, and in general they are experiencing a major deterioration in their living conditions.

The SACP needs to pay the closest attention to the differences and possible contradictions that can develop within the working and popular masses themselves - between employed and unemployed; between older and younger working people; between skilled and unskilled; between "professionar' and non-"professional"; between industrial workers and others, including those working in the so-called informal sector; between unionised and non-unionised; C?

between settled and migrant; between urban and rural workers; between men and women workers; and between workers with different cultural backgrounds.

Numerous differences can and do often result in real contradictions and real differences of interest. This fact underlines the importance of a bluralistic, multi-oartite and Darticinatory approach to national democratic and socialist transformation.

At the same time, a Inajor restructuring of our economy and society, based on growth through redistribution, in which priority is given to job creation, housing, health-care, education and infrastructural development, is in the overall interest of all working people in our country. As a party seeking to represent the immediate and longer-term interests of the entire working class, the SACP needs at all times to underline the broader perspective, the overall picture.

Both the internal differences within the working class, and the broader unifying interest in major restructuring of our society are objective realities. We need to grasp the reality of both. We must not suppress or deny differences, but nor should we allow such differences to overwhelm the broader, unifying project. These points are critical if we are to develop a socialist project around a broad movement centred on the working masses. Implications for partV-building

The character of the South African working class presents special challenges and difficult organisational-strategic choices for the SACP.

In 1990 we took the strategic decision to emphasise the building of our party in the main industrial centres, focusing on organised, industrial workers for recruitment. There were a number of reasons for this choice, including:

t the obvious support we already enjoyed in these quarters; t the need to strengthen (and transform) the social character of our party, including its leadership, with a major influx of experienced proletarian cadres; and

t the strategic importance of organised, industrial workers. In the absence of a detailed party census it is impossible to have a fully accurate picture of how successful this recruitment emphasis has been in practice. But we suggest that the SACP's present geographical strength and its core cadreship is, in fact, largely drawn from this stratum of the working Class. The SACP, however, should seek to represent and defend the entire

The SACP, however, should seek to represent and defend the entire 1C)

working class, not least those who are most desperate, those who are most marginalised. How do we best realise this requirement? The marginalised 70% of the working class is, precisely, very often the most difficult to reach and the most difficult to organise, except perhaps in periodic mobilising drives; or through systematic developmental work (literacy training, cooperative projects, etc.). Industrial workers are partially organised and skilled by the (capitalist) production process itself. But the rural poor, unemployed youth, rural labourers. etc., are characteristically scattered, disorganised and unskilled.

How then, as a bartv, do we take up the challenge of work in this area?

If we go for the option of throwing all or most of our resources on organising these marginalised sectors into the SACP, do we not risk falling between two stools? We might dissipate our limited resources, and lose our core strategic cadreship.

An alternative emphasis would be to use the SACP to stimulate efforts in the direction of the marginalised working class. In other words, the SACP should struggle for an ANC, with all its resources, that is biased in this direction. We should be in the forefront of efforts to empower MDM structures and developmental efforts directed at the marginalised, without seeking to take over, or organise these ourselves. We should espouse, as a central component of democratisation, a reconstruction process that addresses the needs of the marginalised.

This is an argument about emohasis and about strategic allocation of SACP resources and efforts. We are certainly not arguing that the SACP should have no independent presence amongst the most marginalised strata of the working class. We are not arguing that we should never organise developmental programmes in the rural areas, or that we should never run literacy classes. But these should be seen, perhaps, as pilot projects and example-setters. We are arguing, in other words, for a strategic understanding of how best we serve the interests of all workers in our country, with the particular strengths and the particular limitations of the SACP.

Inner working class differences and anti-democratic grojects
The inner differences and contradictions among the working masses
also relate directly to real or potential counter-projects:
t On the one hand, there is the "liberal" project ("Low Intensity
Democracy"), which seeks to detach organised, skilled and semiskilled industrial workers from the broader popular masses. This
project is connected to big business's version of a social
contract/accord/pact. An elite stratum of industrial workers
//

would have improved work and social conditions in exchange for higher productivity and greater labour peace.

Change in South Africa would benefit a stratum of the working class, at the grave expense of the great majority of the more peripheralised, less skilled, less organised or simply unemployed working class (this is why such a project is sometimes referred to as a 70/30% solution - but 30/70% would be more accurate). Such a project would hope to stabilise monopoly capital and a new black administrative/State middle stratum would also be drawn into the deal. (Our campaign for clean and democratic government, now and in the future, needs to be related to this question.) Politically, this would produce a kind of "neo-colonialism of a special type", N-CST.

There are some resemblances between this liberal project and social democratic dispensations in certain advanced capitalist countries. Without exaggerating or underrating the positive achievements of these dispensations elsewhere, in a country like South Africa, in which there is a massive "4th world" population, social democratic-style pacts hold out very little hope for any enduring resolution of our enormous social and economic crisis - apart from their sheer injustice.

a There is also the possibility of a right-wing counter-revolutionary project. Likely active, organisational centres for such a project are fairly obvious - reactionary elements from the SADF and SAP, elements from bantustan administrations, the organised neo-fascist extreme right groups, etc. But for such a project to have any enduring potential, it would need to have a broad social base as well.

This social base would need to be drawn, amongst other things, from among the broader working class. In this case, the target would tend to be largely at the other end of the working class spectrum from those workers targeted in the Low Intensity Democracy/N-CST project.

In the counter-revolutionary project, the social base would be sought for among the most peripheralised, the most disorganised and desperate - the unemployed, migrants, anarchistic youth, refugees, rural people (here the examples of Unita, MNR, and our own local experience with vigilante forces of all kinds are instructive).

These two anti-democratic projects (the liberal and the ultraright) underline the need, from our side, for a politics that is neither blind to the real differences within the working class, nor neglects the crucial need for a pluralistic, working class and popular' unity. Both the neglect of differences and the neglect of unity building can open up space within the popular masses for "liberal" and right-wing projects.

A NEW HEGEMONIC BLOC

It is in developing a hegemonic project that the working class will best be able to unify itself and counter alternative antidemocratic projects.

In part, this means that the SAC? and broader workers' movement must avoid confining themselves to mere denunciations of the evils of the capitalist system - although such denunciations are, of course, essential. We must also avoid confinino ourselves to purely rearguard struggles in defence of workers' interests - although, again, such struggles are important. If, however, the workers' movement limits itself to denunciation and defence, it risks isolating itself, locking itself into a restricted and unmanoeuvrable position.

The SACP must, with all allied formations, seek to develop the working class in our country as the hegemonic, the leading class. In other words, we seek to develop the working class as a force which is capable of leading our society in every respect, capable of solving the crisis that reaches into every aspect of our society's fabric - economically, culturally, morally and politically.

Amongst other things this means that, in a situation such as our own, in which the South African capitalist system is in deep crisis, the workers' movement needs to be, not a factor for dissolution, but the leading force for reconstruction and renewal along lines that open the road for a socialist transformation. We must reject "the worse the better" type notions. Socialist oriented development will not spring from the total collapse of the capitalist economy.

We must intervene in the crisis of capitalism not to rescue capitalism, but in such a way as to develop the leading role of the working class, building around it a bloc of social forces, giving South Africa a new political leadership and initiating a process of profound renewal and transformation.

It is around a major reconstruction process, driven jointly by a national democratic state, the NLM and by a wide range of mass democratic formations, anchored among the broad working masses of our country, that the correct: way forward can be charted.

PART TWO

A RECONSTRUCTION PACT

The South African economy and the wider social fabric are in deep crisis. A wide range of forces agree that major restructuring (economic, political and social) is required. Already the present white and capitalist dominated ruling bloc is endeavouring to /3

manage a process of restructuring that will stabilise the situation from their own point of view. Although they may succeed in imposing this project, it will be based on the perpetuation of major inequalities in our society, and, partly for that reason, it will have little prospect of success, even on its own limited terms.

It is imperative that the broad liberation movement advances a coherent alternative. But this alternative needs to be more than ''m1. - -A . .x..'-x. 4.4.4- -aa- ---,-

a set of ool;:ies oh cater. - "e rat :: -2 ah -- u - his aieernative is elaborated, fought for and defended is absolutely critical to its success. The elaboration of the alternative must itself be democratic, multi-partite, mobilising, and mmrkimg class-powered. It must emerge from the broad mass movement and it must in turn become a critical factor in deepening the unity and mobilisation of that movement.

A reconstruction pact is not a traditional tri-partite, social contract signed by trade unions, capital and the state. t It must involve the entire, broad MDM, and not just trade unions representing organised, employed workers.

t The pact is the basis for a broad national democratic alliance, an alliance between the MDM and a future national democratic state. $\,$

Obviously those forces involved in this alliance will have to interact with other forces, including capital (both local and international). These interactions may themselves result in negotiated agreements (and even perhaps pacts/accords) of all kinds, but the interactions must at all times be guided and strengthened by the institutional and policy framework of the reconstruction pact.

It is in this context that the elaboration of a RECONSTRUCTION PACT must be understood and encouraged. A reconstruction pact should be approached with the following broad perspectives: t It is, in the first place, a pact between the major, massbased, democratic, sectoral formations and the ANC as the likely dominant.political formation within a new, democratiC(government. From the side of the MDM formations this means, in practice, that COSATU, in particular, and SANCO will have to take a leading role. But many other MDM formations (women, rural, youth, educational, religious, cultural, etc.) need to be brought into the process. So do many NGOs/service organisations in an advisory capacity.

a The reconstruction pact needs to be seen as a process, rather than a once-off contract negotiated between the main players. The detail and depth of the reconstruction pact need to be enhanced over the years.

/L%

t However, it is also imperative to have at least agreed upon and set in place the major framework of the reconstruction pact before any national, democratic elections. The pact needs, in part, to become the basis for a broad, popular electoral platform.

Where does the SACP fit in?

The SACP will have a critical facilitating and theorising role in this process. The present process leading up to our consultative conference needs to be seen centrally in this light. In fact the SACP has the capacity, given its deep strategic rootedness within both the ANC and COSATU, as well as its own inherent mobilising and theorising potential, to make a crucial difference between success and failure.

Indeed, already in the unfolding discussion that has begun to occur on the Reconstruction Pact, it is becoming clear that the SACP has important contributions to make in a number of areas: Within the trade union movement (where discussion on this topic was pioneered and where discussion is most advanced) there are tendencies to conceive the pact largely, if not exclusively, as a macro-economic programme, or even as a kind of economic/civil society CODESA. There are very serious limitations in these tendencies:

t In the first place, these are essentially economistic tendencies. The question of state power is ignored. Or, insofar as the future state is considered, these approaches tend to prepare the MDM for a defensive or even largely oppositional role. By contrast, we need to ensure that the transformation of state structures is integral to the reconstruction process. We need to include, crucially, discussion and programmes on the transformation of the armed forces and the state bureaucracy in the whole Reconstruction Pact process;

t We also need to guard against a tendency to conceive of the Pact as a narrow process of economic distribution. This kind of conception tends to marginalise the core question - the empowerment/self-empowerment of the popular masses.

In some of the discussions around the Reconstruction Pact there are also tendencies to marginalise the broader southern African regional questions. The SACP's internationalism needs to be brought to bear. There can be no stable reconstruction within South Africa, while our region is racked with starvation, economic collapse, huge refugee problems and civil war. The legacy of apartheid is not a purely domestic legacy. Reconstruction itself is, therefore, broader than our country. These are just some specific areas where it is already apparent that the SACP's specific socialist, working class,

internationalist input is required to strengthen the Reconstruction Pact process.

An institutional and nolicy dimension

The reconstruction pact needs to embrace both an institutional and a golicy dimension.

e Institutionally the pact needs to elaborate a whale range 25 institutions of participatory and direct democracy. Already some of these are in place, or in the process of being put in place a national economic forum, a housing forum, an education forum, etc. The pact must commit its signatories to taking seriously these forums, and to help to develop and enhance them. These forums will not replace or displace the institutions of representative democracy. Prime among the institutions of representative democracy will be the new, democratic parliament, which should be the supreme authority (although, obviously, its decisions should always be within the constitutional framework and open to challenge by mass action, protests, etc.). The institutions of participatory and direct democracy should supplement those of representative democracy. These forums need to be at all levels - national, regional and local. The success of any attempt at reconstruction depends, ultimately, on the organised power of all sectors of the oppressed masses that stand. to benefit front broad. reconstruction. We need to ensure that the people are organised on as broad a basis as possible, and on every level that is necessary to secure these qoals.

The pact must commit the participants to the recognition that crucial areas of governance (economic development, housing, education, etc.) shall be subject to negotiations by the main, relevant players within civil society in the appropriate forum before being passed in the national parliament, or at the regional or local government level.

The pact must also commit a future democratic state to meeting some of the financial needs of these participatory democratic forums. Such financial support must not, however, undermine the autonomy of these forums.

Institutionally, the reconstruction pact must also envisage a lean but effective state. The success of the pact depends upon effective and coherent implementation, and in this respect a trim but capable democratic state will be critical.

t Policy - the reconstruction pact must be based on the macro-economic and social policy of growth through redistribution. Without ignoring the need to develop the export sector of our economy, the main thrust of this redistribution should be large-scale inward industrialisation, expanding and widening (through /2

rising employment) the domestic and sub-continental market. The emphasis must be on housing, education and electrification and infrastructural development to promote employment, stimulate the manufacturing sector and redistribute resources, including skills, to urban and rural communities.

Potential difficulties and weaknesses

A reconstruction pact is not a magic blueprint. Its successful elaboration and implementation will encounter many obstacles and much resistance.

Some of the potential problems lie on our side. The pact is based upon a strong MDM but, apart from COSATU, many of the major MDM sectors are in a weakened state. We need to be realistic about the actual capacity of the main MDM formations to develop and sustain a pact. Of course, we must not be fatalistic about MDM weaknesses, we need to strengthen the MDM and we also need to use the pact itself to help this strengthening.

The Reconstruction Pact will provide a broad ANC-led MDM alliance with a strategic programme for the immediate and medium-term future. But, needless to say, its implementation will meet with varying degrees of opposition from other forces, both local and international. However, this opposition need not be absolute and total. Just as with the political negotiations around 23 new constitutional dispensation, there is both a degree of crossclass consensus (making multi-party negotiations and a transitional arrangement.possible) as wellas different.hegemonic agendas.

Major sectors of South African and international capital recognise the need for significant restructuring and reconstruction. They recognise the need to overcome at least some of the socio-economic legacy of apartheid. Their motivation for this is, of course, to stabilise capitalism in our country and our region, and return the system to profitability. Their motivation is not the empowerment of the working people of our country, or the democratisation of the economy.

But this partial (and certainly limited) cross-class consensus is an asset, which must neither be exaggerated nor ignored. The implementation of the reconstruction process will require both negotiation and struggle with other forces. Over-reliance on one or the other could spell defeat.

The probability of a power-sharing, transitional government arrangement in the coming period, underlines the above point. Reconstruction must proceed as rapidly as possible, even within this transitional period. It must not wait for a full, majority-rule dispensation.

However, the ability of a multi-party government to deliver will /7

almost certainly be restricted. We need to win as much broad consensus as possible for reconstruction, but we will also, inside and outside of government, have to struggle against, and publicly expose, those who block democratic reconstruction. Our mass forces will need to check and balance tendencies within government to water down programmes. Above all, through mass organisation and struggle we will need to defend and strengthen the hand of our own governmental representatives.