

\ ilâ\200\224f Is l&c:1

DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE
TO BE HELD AT 14H00 ON TUESDAY 3 AUGUST 1993 AT
THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

Chairperson : C Eglin

Moment of Prayer/Meditation
Welcome and Attendance
Ratification of Agenda

Minutes (Distributed on 2 August 1993)

4.1 Minutes to be ratified:

4.1.1 19 - 21 July 1993

4.1.2 26 - 30 July 1993

Matters arising from the minutes of:

4.2.1 19 - 21 July 1993

4.2.2 26 - 30 July 1993

Substantive issues

5.1 Reports in the Negotiating Council until Thursday 12 August 1993 (see
Addendum A, p5):

5.1.1 Violence:

It was reiterated in the meeting of 2 August that no minority reports
should be included in Technical Committee reports. A decision on the
distribution of this report still has to be taken.

Constitutional Issues:

The last sections of the Draft Outline of the Constitution, as well as
the Fourth Supplementary Report have not been discussed. A decision
is needed on this. The Technical Committee is already working on its
next report, which will be available on Thursday 5 August 1993.

TEC and its Sub-Councils :

The deadline for the next report is Wednesday 4 August 1993, for
discussion in the week of 10 August

PLANCOMM/AGENDA/AGEN0308

3 August 1993

5.14

< T B

1.0

9: 1.7

Independent Electoral Commission:

5.1.4.1 The deadline for the next full report is Wednesday 4 August 1993, for discussion on Thursday 5 August

5.1.4.2 Interim Report by the Technical Committee on the IEC (see Addendum B, p6)

5.14.3 Report from the Ad hoc Committee (see Addendum C, p8)

Please note : This Technical Committee's work has come to a standstill because they "are waiting for instructions from the Planning Committee". Guidelines are needed urgently.

Repeal or Amendment of Discriminatory Legislation:

5. 5.1 Attention needs to be given to the renewed mandate of this Technical Committee and time frames for the completion of its work.

3.1.5:2 The issue of enforcement mechanisms and overlaps between the different Technical Committee's work was addressed in a meeting of convenors with Sub-Committee (Reportback from the Sub-Committee, see Planning Committee minutes of 26 to 30 July, Item 5.1.9)

9.1.53 The issue of Horizontality and Verticality with regard to the application of the Bill of Rights needs to be addressed. (See Planning Committee minutes of 26 to 30 July 1993, Item 5.1.10)

5.1.5.4 The date of the implementation of the Bill of Rights was also referred to the Sub-Committee for recommendation (see Planning Committee minutes 26 - 30 July 1993, Item 5.1.11)

8:1.3.5 The issue of the need for democratic government and the rule of law government between the present time and the election was referred to the Sub-Committee for recommendation (see Planning Committee minutes 26 - 30 July 1993, Item 5.1.11)

3.1.5:0 The issue of the uniformity of application of the Bill of Rights and the principles with regard to the elections was referred to the Sub-Committee for recommendation (see Planning Committee minutes 26 - 30 July 1993, Item 5.1.11)

Independent Media Commission and IBA:

By the end of Thursday 5 July 1993, both the further Draft Bills will have been discussed.

Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition:

9.0 After discussion of the Seventh Progress Report, a PLANCOMM/AGENDA/AGENO0308
3 August 1993

<

3:2

e B

5.4

5.4

35

5.6

- 4

5.8

number of issues have been referred to either the Technical Committee or the Ad-hoc Committee.

. 0 B The Ad-hoc Committee's Terms of Reference have been extended by the Negotiating Council.

5.1.8 Commission on the Delimitation/Demarcation of Regions:

2181 A recommendation needs to be made to the Negotiating Council on the date and manner of discussing the Report. This includes the question of public comment on the Report for a specific period.

5.1.8.2 Direction by the Planning Committee on the necessity of staff and the duration of their services are needed.

Violent Deaths of People on the East Rand:

5.2.1 A recommendation on a delegation to visit the area

5.2.2 Practical measures to address violence, particularly a joint peace keeping force

PAC/SA Government Issue

The PAC indicated that it is now ready to address the issue.

The Ad hoc Committee on the TBVC states:

A proposal needs to be made on the composition of and timeframe for the work of this committee

National symbols and Language:

This issue was referred to the Planning Committee by the Negotiating Council and the Sub-Committee was requested to make a proposal.

The name of the Constitution-making body:

This issue was referred to the Planning Committee by the Negotiating Council.

The name for SPR's:

This issue was referred to the Planning Committee by the Negotiating Council.

The Attack on the Technical Committees and individual members of the Technical Committee's in the media:

A member of the Planning Committee placed this item on the agenda.

Standing Committees of Parliament and draft legislation on the IEC, TEC, IMC and the IBA:

Report from the Sub-Committee, see Planning Committee minutes of 19 July to 21 July 1993, Item 5.1.9.

PLANCOMM/AGENDA/AGENO0308

3 August 1993

10.

Procedural issues

6.1

6.2,

6.3

6.4

6.5

Report on Sufficient Consensus

Report from the Ad hoc committee with regard to the legal action by the Kwazulu Government

Avstig (Report back from the Sub-committee)

Traditional Leaders :

Administration reports back that the Traditional leaders of the Cape and the Transvaal indicated that they are still in the process of addressing the issues of the representivity of their delegations

Media embargoes:

6.5.1 The breaking of the embargo on the Draft Constitution (letters from P Laurence and the Economist - see Addendum D, p12)

6.5.2 Letters from the Conference of Editors and the Foreign Correspondence Association - see Addendum E, p17)

Administrative and Financial matters

v1

T1

19

7.4

10

7.6

Security (Report back from the Sub-committee)

Prosecutions after 25 June 1993 (Reportback from the Sub-Committee and the Administration)

Implementation of the recommendations of the Goldstone Commission Report (see Planning Committee minutes of 19 to 21 July 1993, Item 7.9)

Arbor Day:

It is proposed that the Tree Planting ceremony now takes place on Thursday 12 August 1993 at 17h00.

Correspondence:

7.5.1 Memorandum of the ANC Youth League, Mafikeng (see Addendum F, p20)

7.5.2 Joint resolution of staff and students of the University of Bophuthatswana (see Addendum G, p21)

7.5.3 Interim Committee of the National Returnees Forum (see Addendum H, p23)

Meeting with Local Government Negotiation Forum - 9 August 1993 at 09h30

Draft agendas for the meetings of the Negotiating Council

Meetings Schedule and Programme (see Addendum I, p25)

Closure

PLANCOMM/AGENDA/AGEN0308

3 August 1993

SBoEOGry SP

DRAFT PROGRAMME FOR MEETINGS

3 AUGUST 1993

Tuesday 3 August 1993 Discussion:

Wednesday 4 August 1993 Discussion:

Thursday 5 August 1993 Discussion:

(Distribution

Monday 9 August 1993 Discussion:

(Distribution

Tuesday 10 August 1993 Discussion:

Wednesday 11 August 1993 Discussion:

Thursday 12 August 1993 Discussion:

FHR

IMC

Sufficient Consensus

Commission on Regions (a one
hour preliminary discussion and
questions of clarity to the
Commission)

IMC and IBA

IBA

Commission on Regions (if
necessary)

: Constitutional Issues)

Constitutional Issues

: TEC, IEC and FHR)

Constitutional Issues

FHR (finalise)

TEC (finalise)

IEC (finalise)

PLANCOMM/DOCUMENT/SCHED

3 August 1993

Aoserom B,

INTERIM REPORT
TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

BY THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE
ON THE INDEPENDENT ELECTORAL COMMISSION

29 JULY 1993

Following the extensive debate in the Negotiating Council on 20 July 1993, the Technical Committee has given detailed consideration to the issues which were raised in the course of the debate. As a result, it is now contemplated that a number of amendments will be effected to the text, and it is proposed to table a third draft of the Act for consideration by the Negotiating Council as soon as possible.

In terms of the decision by the Planning Committee conveyed to the Technical Committee in terms of a memorandum dated 21 July 1993, it is noted that a "political" sub-committee has been constituted to consider and advise with particular reference to a number of core issues, upon which divergent views were expressed during the course of the debate. Accordingly, the Technical Committee awaits the advice of this "political" sub-committee with particular reference to the clauses defined in the memorandum, namely:

Clause 7
Clause 16
Clause 17
Clause 18.3
Clause 21

The submissions of the next (third) draft of the Bill, must await the relevant instructions and advice of the "political" sub-committee.

The Technical Committee further reports that it has had a preliminary meeting with Deputy State Law Adviser, Advocate J.H. Bruwer, who has been seconded to assist the Committee with the preparation of a Bill in a form appropriate for submission to Parliament. Further meetings will be scheduled as the need may arise.

Concerning the preparation of a new Electoral Act, the Technical Committee is engaged in a programme of meetings and drafting with a view to submitting its initial proposal for consideration by the Negotiating Council by not later than Friday 20 August 1993. There are certain fundamental constitutional issues which must be clarified to facilitate the drafting of this legislation, and steps are being taken to arrange a meeting between this Technical Committee and the Technical Committee on Constitutional Matters. Such issues include voter eligibility, and the system of Proportional Representation which is to be adopted.

In accordance with the instructions of the Planning Committee, no public hearings are being scheduled, although the Technical Committee is meeting with certain parties

from who submissions have been received and where clarification and further input is considered appropriate.

roevoury

THESE MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE INDEPENDENT ELECTORAL COMMISSION ACT, THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE INDEPENDENT ELECTORAL COMMISSION ACT HELD AT 13H10 ON WEDNESDAY 21 JULY 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE.

PRESENT: K Andrew
D Schutte
P Maduna
B Desai
SG Mothibe

M Hynd (Minutes)

The meeting commenced with the tabling of the brief received from the Planning Committee.

1 Brief

1.1 To recommend response to two letters received by the Planning Committee

1.2 To examine 5 Sections of the I E C Act, viz Sections 7, 16, 17, 18,3 and 21

which have not yet been agreed upon by the parties to the Negotiating Council.

2 Matters

Letters from the Technical Committee on IEC referred to the Ad Hoc Committee by the Planning Committee tabled:

2.1 With respect to the letter dated 14 July dealing with requests to make oral submissions to the Technical Committee, it was agreed that following written submissions, oral evidence would be permissible where such oral representation was at the request of the Technical Committee as previously agreed by the Negotiating Council.

2.2 A new Electoral Act: an invitation for submissions in this regard has already been made to delegations to the Negotiating Council. The question has arisen

PLANCOMM/SUBCOMM/MIN2107

3 August 1993

whether interested parties outside of the Negotiating Council be invited to make representations in this regard.

In this regard there is a need to confirm or otherwise that the IEC Technical Committee has been mandated to draw up a new Electoral Act.

2.3 IEC Act Sections 7, 16, 17, 18,3 & 21

Section 7 : Issue of participation of international members. Following discussion it was agreed that within the time period permitted the Committee was unable to examine and discuss thoroughly the issues before it in order to make recommendations.

The Committee will examine the points before it, but more time is requested in which to discuss these matters in order to reach consensus.

In conclusion it was agreed that if it was confirmed that the Technical Committee had been requested to draft the said New Electoral Act, then the Negotiating Council should invite outside parties to make submissions if they wish to do so.

3 Meeting Schedule

It was agreed that the Committee would meet again on Tuesday 27 July at 08h00 and during the Negotiating Council lunch recess.

4 Closure

The meeting was closed at 14h05

These minutes were ratified at the 08h10 meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Independent Electoral

Commission Act of 27 July 1993 and the amended version signed by the Chairperson of the original meeting

CHAIRPERSON

Annexure

PLANCOMM/SUBCOMM/MIN2107

3 August 1993

THESE MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE INDEPENDENT ELECTORAL COMMISSION ACT, THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE INDEPENDENT ELECTORAL COMMISSION ACT HELD AT 08H10 ON TUESDAY 27 JULY 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE.

PRESENT: KM Andrew (Convenor)
D Schutte
P Maduna
B Desai
SG Mothibe

M Hynd (Minutes)
Welcome
All members were welcomed.
Agenda
The following Agenda was agreed:
Apologies
Minutes
Matters arising from the Minutes
Electoral Act
Areas of Dispute
Minutes

The Minutes of the Meeting of the Committee held on 21 July 1993 were approved.

Matters Arising
It was noted that an excerpt of the Minutes of the Negotiating Council of 22 June 1993, dealing with the mandate given to the Technical Committee (Item 4.4.4) had been attached to the Minutes of the 21st instant, for information.

Electoral Act
It was recognised that there is a close relationship between the IEC Act and an Electoral Act. In addition, there is concern about the need to make rapid progress in finalising both these Acts.

It was therefore decided to RECOMMEND TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

PLANCOMM/SUBCOMM/MIN2707
3 August 1993

Vs,

That the request to the IEC Technical Committee to draft an Electoral Act be confirmed.

That in view of the additional work involved, the IEC Technical Committee be enlarged and that Professor Dion Basson and Mr A Tredoux be invited to join the committee.

That to facilitate this work an ad hoc committee of the Planning Committee be charged with liaising with the Technical Committee on a more or less continuous basis.

That the ad hoc committee report to the Planning Committee on a regular basis identifying progress made and, inter alia, any problems that may have arisen.

That the Technical Committee be requested to present a draft Electoral Bill and a revised draft IEC Bill to the Negotiating Council on or before Friday, 6 August 1993.

Meetings of This Committee

It was agreed that this committee should meet on a regular basis until it has completed its work.

Involvement of International Community

A discussion on the nature of the involvement of the international community was commenced but not completed.

Next Meeting

The next meeting of the committee will take place at 13h40 on Tuesday, 27 July 1993 to consider these minutes and arrange its next meeting.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Independent Electoral Commission

1993 and the amended version signed by the Chairperson of the original meeting on

CHAIRPERSON

PLANCOMM/SUBCOMM/MIN2707
3 August 1993

e

. PO1

FROM : PATRICK LAURENCE PHONE NO. : 7262075 ,A;Zaogamaoc&Yâ\200\235i-\201 D

Attention Theuns Eloff+
Managament Multi-Party Negotiating Proceas

Werld Trade Centre

27th July 1893

From Patrick Laurence

Johannesburg
Uear Theuns,

As yuu may know, ihe Ecomomist - to which [contribute - carricd an artiyle on
the Q\Â«wf wnshtuben on Tuly 23-1.E. before +he expicy O the emb(:m:Jo.

I want you to know that I DIOD NOT write the article, Nor did [leak details on
the draft ecomstitulion to The Feonomigt. My attitude waw that I hod to monour
the embargo.

The article was written by Stephen Hugh-Jones, international editor of The
Econsmist. It was based on telephonic intervicsw that he conducted with

political aciors invnlved in the negotiations. The Jecioion to pubilish was
his, not mine.

I send for your interest capias of the articlc published in The Economist -
which expresely ackrowledges that I perzonally honoured the embargo - and of
the letter which Hugh~lonas sent to me explaining why The Economist degided to
break the embargo.

Yours sincerely

<

Pm,p!w e @ l__cawuen Â£,

â\200\234Patrick Laurence

/2,

Al
27-JUL-1993 13:24

I ihe
Econopst

THE NEWS ROOM 9118366186 P.283-/004

3% 5t JaNips's STRELY. LONDON SWIA 110G
2PLEPRONES 071 839 7000

TTLIX: 24344

YAX: OT1 839 2968

Patrick Laurence

July 23, 1993

Dear Patrick,
you will have got by fax the article I wrote yesterday.
Just to confirm what I said on the phone:

1. I think anyone who distributes the country's leading news to scores of politicians and implores them not to discuss it publicly for five days is off his tucker. And if he gives the same document to journalists under the same five-day embargo, frankly he seems to me to be stretching that useful procedure well beyond its limits (indeed, though this isn't our business, should be taken so collectively by the press concerned).

2. That said, we entirely accept your attitude to this embargo. We here, if we have accepted news under embargo do not break the embargo; and for the same very good reasons we would not expect our overseas correspondents to behave differently. If other people want to talk to us, that is up to them; and, since we have had no sight of the document, to us. I trust any embargo-setter understands this normal convention.

3. You can with absolute truth assure your editor or anyone else that not a word of our story was drawn from you; nor was it even discussed with you when I had written it. We might have had a better story, and I would certainly have spent less time on the blower talking to other people, if it had been!

with regards

j;:7L<:7Â\$*â\200\231#<;"â\200\234*~_,_-â\200\230

Stephen Hugh-Jones
International Editor

P. 20488

P1183661E6

THE NEUS RCOM

Â¥ 27-JUL-1933 13:04

W VoI

' Â»

SOUTH AFRICA was offered a newâ\200\224 draft, incomplete and Â@emposaryâ\200\224non-racial constitution this week. It has been drawn up mainly by the African National Congress and the Natonal Party and, though the document is sill officially under wraps, a blazing reaction from she Inkatha Freedom Party is alveady foreseeable.

The document provides for a new, permanent constitution to be drawn up within wo years by 5 new body composed of a national assembly and a senate, sining together. Elecuon to this new body would be based on pany-list proportiona) representation, The muke-up of its executive would

- also reflect panty votes; a government of national unity, in cffect, though proposals for its powees ate not even drafied yet.

The new body would have lo follow constitutional principles now being negotiated: these would laser be embodied in a bill of righis within the permanent constitution. To pass clauses of that constitunion, majorities of wo-thrrds would be required.

\$o far, so reasonable, The irouble will come from the provisions for brcaking potential deadlocks. If no two-thisds majority is available, a simple majority can send the dsafi 10 8 by of experts and, with their ap-pooval, ubtimitely 10 Â¥ national referendum, whete 4 60% vote will be enough. 1 thatis not lcached, in O fastiesont the con

Lha oL X LY SNCRR

l Sbuth Aifricaâ\200\231s ray of light

stimation-making body can be dissolved and re-clected--and from then on a simple majority will suffce.

The jargon ls dense, the meaning simple: the safcguwards that minority parties such as the Afrikaner dichards and lnkatha may count on can be waiered down. Even the enmenched principles and ltheir appli-

cation can be referred to the constitutional court. This should prove more of a safeguard for minorities than the reverse. Whether they think so is another matter.

A second big point & the power of regional governments, dear Mr. Ingha, which reckons it will dominate the urban areas. These two will spring from assemblies

... elected by provinces, and they too will have ex-

ecutive powers. - which could put a spoke, at least for a time, in Inkatha's wheel.

The arguments will have to leave say in fixing national budgets, and limited powers of their own: the general principle being that if the central government can do the job more efficiently, then it shall. Now that is a debate indeed cannot be decided is not clear.

One thing, though, is certain: the regions will have no police powers. Given South Africa's ethnic oddities, that could well benefit those who belong to what is, locally, a minority. But again, those who look forward to holding at least regional power may see things differently,

And the same is true

The proposed bill of rights too may come under fire. Though it will cover the usual headings, one of the significant in South Africa is not among them: land-ownership. The bill will not forbid capital punishment, only too familiar in South Africa,

which, already boycotting the constitution, seems unlikely to return to them on this basis. How others will react is not least the ex-army officers busy thundering on the Abikancr right is unclear, The draft, though given to the negotiators and the press, is under a fierce embargo (rigorously respected by The Economist's local

correspondent, by the way) until it is formally tabled on July 26th,

For many South Africans, the document, even in draft, must be a beacon of light. For the first time in a century, black and white are to be equal in law at least, though economic reality is another matter, not equal footing. For some, it is a ray of light, even a ray of hope. As ever when emphasis is placed on the fact that they suppressed have sprung up again,

: e . <.

ar.

T TOTAL P.O%

28-J8L-1993. "16:58 ECONOMIST BUSINESS a7l 976 1374 P.G1

b
i

25 ÂST JAMES'S STREET. LONDON SW1A 1HG â\200\230
TELEPRONZ: 071 839 7000

s y â\200\230QC\E NV

C/O K\â\200\230j #* } Theuns Eloff
i Multiparty Negotiating Process
l;3Â¢â\200\231) ! world Trade Centre tel: 3971198
: j [Johannesburg fax: 3972211

bvfro. ; " July 28, 1993

'Dear Mr Eloff,

I am told by our stringer, Patrick Laurence, that a complaint has been made to you (by the Financial Times, I presume, to judge from a message its Africa editor here sent us) that he broke the five-day embargo on your draft constitution; and that there is consequently some risk he might be penalised in his coverage of the talks.

â\200\230We earnestly hope any such thought will be rejected. He did not break the embargo, and has behaved entirely honourably, towards you as towards us, throughout.

Patrick, as you doubtless know, is employed by the Star, not by The Economist. He contributes to us part-time.

He tells me he has sent you a letter that I wrote to him on this subject last Friday, the morning on which our paper published details of the constitution,

I write to reinforce that letter.

You will see from it our view of attempts to keep the countryâ\200\231s prime item of news out of the press for five days by use of embargo. We have nothing against the] ei-\201gargo system as such, and adhere to scores of them every month. But to use the system like this seems to us, frankly, to misuse it.

But so be it, that is your choice.

Were Patrick a staff member, however, he would long since have been told that a document of this news significance, already given to scores of politicians, was simply not to be accepted under a five-day embargo.

However, he is not subject to our control. If he and his employers choose to accept it, that is their decision.

They so chose. And, having done so, Patrick rigorously respected the embargo. That the document existed was no secret even in London. But not one word did he tell me of its contents.

We decided that the story was one that we should pursue, whether our stringer could aid us or not. And that we

(5
o e

. THE FOONOMIST NEWSPAPER LIMITIT ARCIATLRED OPPIGE 24 Â¥T JAMRE'S STRRRY LONDON SWIA 146G
TNGLAND REC!STERED IN LONDON NUMRER 236383

28-JUL-1993

L
I
|

3
el

>

â\200\230The
Momist

16:58 ECONOMIST BUSINESS @71 976 1874 P.82

câ\200\224â\200\224aâ\200\224
25 ST JAMPS'S STREET. LONDON SWIA IHG 'I\ / !
TELEFM ONE? 071 839 7000

TELBX: 24344

: FAX: 071 839 2968
â\200\224heuns Eloff â\200\2242-

could legitimately do so. We had not accepted the embargoed document, and, as I say, would not have done so. The only person who had any connection with us, and who had accepted it, did not tell us anything about it (quite rightly--I did not and would not dispute his decision).

I therefore made my own enquiries of other sources. Unsurprisingly, after enough of these, I had the information we needed ('â\200\230misuseâ\200\231â\200\231 or not, what else, with respect, could happen when hundreds of people have news of this sort?).

We've no wish whatever to embarrass your talks. But we are in the business of news, not news management, In our view, we have pursued that business altogether ethically.

So, I emphasise, has Patrick Laurence. He and the Star chose to accept the document. Having done so, he wholly respected the embargo on it.

I am sure he will respect any future embargo, as he has respected this one; and we certainly will not seek to persuade him otherwise.

Sincerely

Stephen Hugh-Jones
International Editor

/6

TMR FCONOMIST NPWSIAPER LIMITRD RECSTHRRE OFRICE 26 KT JAMPEN'S STATEY LONDON fwIA 1IC ENGL
AND RRGITTERAED IN LONDUN NUMMPLK 23R RY

TOTAL P.B2

P.01 CC
Te

29-JUL-1993 1p: .
e T B FROM EDITORS FF (s r0/A0En0t" 39?252;_1

Address - 1992/1993 - Adres

Â¥ 47 Sauer Street Sauerstraat 47
| Conference of Editors ime. S
4 O
: e ey
Â¥ -

1 Redaktenrskonferensie EEER g
|

27 July 1993
i:.,;f Dr. T. Eloff
Lk Head, Administration

Q} Muiti Party Negotiating Process
by

PER FAX : 387-2211

Â¥ | Dear Dr. Eloff,
g~ i Several members of the Conference of Editors have expressed concern at the five day
& embargo imposed on media reporting of the cantents of the draft interim constitution. it
is
TLEE feit that s0 long an embargo is an infringement of the publicâ\200\231s right to be in
formed timeously
3 i of important political developments. Another source of dissatisfaction s that overseas
Â¢ newspapers are not subject to the same sanction as local newspapers and have less
% B compunction about obeying the rules. it ls surely wrong that detsils of the constitutio
n are
" jhÂ«' made public in other countries while being kept under wraps here,
o Lo
[No-one can have any objection to a short embargo to enable a report to be distributed and
Â¢ by the news media to prepare their coverage properly, but a long period of enforced silen
ce

is not acceptable and (s not likely to be obzerved by all newspapers in the future.
' ' | should be glad if you would convey these sentiments 10 your commiltae.

Yours sincerely

5 STEYR
CHAIRMAN

c.c. Mr A Harber, Co-Editor, Weekiy Malil

Chairman/Voorsitter : R, Steyn

Vice Chairman/Onder Voorsitter : AL Klaaste

{

7

TOTAL P. 21

30-07 ' 93 15:47 Po1 CL

Foreign
Correspondents
Association

Johannesburg, South Africa

30 July 1993

Dr. Theuns Eloff

Head of Administration
Multi-Party Negotiating Forum
World Trade Center

Kempton Park

Dear Dr. Eloff:

I am writing to you on behalf of the Foreign Correspondents Association to express our concern at the use of embargoes by the multi-party negotiating forum., I refer in particular to

the July 21, 1993 release of the draft constitution for South Africa, which was embargoed until Monday of the following week. I understand from local press reports that the forum has backed the embargo with threats of punitive action against those breaching it.

The tradition of embargoes on documents has a specific purpose in our profession, namely to give journalists a few extra hours to prepare a report before its "official" release. The sole intention is to avoid hurried -- and therefore often inaccurate -- reporting in a competitive environment, As this should be the only justification for an embargoed release it is extremely rare -- and then only when particularly voluminous and complex documents are involved -- for it to stretch over more than a 24-hour period.

In view of the fact that the draft constitution is neither particularly lengthy nor, at least for those who have followed the negotiating process, difficult to comprehend I see no reason why it should extend beyond the 24-hour period. In the circumstances I can only assume that the purported embargo has been imposed for an ulterior purpose, i.e. to prevent "leaks" of its contents in view of its wide distribution among delegates. Indeed, I am led to believe that the distribution of the document to the media and the threat of punitive

action follow the discovery that leaks have taken place.

Foreign
Correspondents
Association

Johannesburg, South Africa

- 2 -

Personally, I find it difficult to understand why the forum should find it necessary to give delegates -- who are, after all, public representatives -- privileged access to material such as the

draft constitution. But on the assumption that you do have good reasons, I can only suggest that the best way to prevent leaks

is to persuade delegates and officials as to the force of those reasons.

The press embargo is in the way of a verbal contract, an "understanding" between two parties for their mutual benefit. The device is valued by our profession and we are concerned that it could be diluted by its abuse. I would suggest that you clarify your position in this regard. In the meantime, while we will not encourage our members to break your purported embargoes, we will object most strongly against any punitive action which might be taken against any of our colleagues whom you might deem to be in breach.

Yours sincerely,

Joseph Contreras
Chairperson, Foreign Correspondents Association of Southern Africa

[
1

(CONG
(
NAT [CNAL

-
23
â\200\224

f~3
i)

(Ve
i
A
(Y]
=
(]

La A8 il
(W
9 Bt K S g

| SR
Tl
)

o
ELS

ACADEMIC CRISES IN ALLEV

A
a
HARRASMENT
ED

POLICE

i
L

PRy
TTEME

A
[=X

SNy
Lt

Ut =t
-\\~ â\\200\\224m
o R Y
-
15
. gy =
DR
a (
RO
ICE
-~
) 1
-

-
ol

o
(I
Irl
"t

ON 30 JULY 1993

Noting:

1. the continued closure of the university by the Bophuthatswana government
2. the continued erosion of university autonomy and academic freedom
3. the recent amendments to the University of Bophuthatswana Act which impact negatively on the conditions of service of Unibo employees
4. that the present Council of the University of Bophuthatswana is undemocratically constituted and mainly serves to placate the interests of the Bop

4.y

government rather than those of education 2

&

5. calls by both the Staff Association and the SRC that the present university management should resign

6. the intended dismissal of the University management by the Bophuthatswana administration

7. the continued occupation of the university by the police .

8. the prevailing atmosphere of uncertainty and low morale affecting UNIBO staff and students

9 Theeaks i\j ?ns. MÃ© â\202¬o dSmiss M bors 01[

â\202¬o the CHESTAN bembcantc PALTY

daff whe Lol fâ\200\231-"#â\200\234â\200\234/ View\$ OpP:SeO'
[

Belleving:

1. that a university is a place where freedom of speech and association should prevail |
2. that university autonomy is a universally accepted principle and practice
3. that the conditions of service are normally amended positively in favour of employees
4. that university management should have vision and provide proper leadership under circumstances presently obtaining on our campus
5. that the permanent presence of the Bophuthatswana police on campus adds to the prevailing air of fear and uncertainty thus inhibiting proper learning

We demand:

1. the immediate and unconditional re-opening of UNIBO so that the academic programme can be resumed
2. the immediate cessation of government interference in the university
3. the immediate resignation of both the Unibo Council and management
4. that proper consultation of both UNIBO staff and students be effected in replacing the outgoing UNIBO management
5. the immediate annulment of the recent negative amendments to the University of Bophuthatswana Act
6. the immediate removal of the Bop police from campus
7. that a clause that guarantees academic freedom be included in the proposed Bill of Rights of the Transitional Executive Council (TEC)
8. that proper union rights for all education workers be guaranteed under the TEC period
9. that a transformation forum be immediately set up to create proper structures which would facilitate democracy in education.

%w'\oâ\200\230g â\200\230

PresipenNT
UNIBO STAFF ASSOCIATION .

S-REC- UNlgo

G-eu SRA- SECRETALN

S.RC. Unigo @)\o s

A HEM TEL. 838-2402

Jul. 39â\200\23193 13:06 1234 VALLY & MTHEMBU TEL B832-2482

Bil. . :

Jox

= _7"
f

Tel: K030 puy Bi-a0

T e e v+ â\200\224â\200\224

anns49 iy

Multi Party Talks
John Road
KEMPTON PARK

30 July 1993

BY FAX: 397-2103

Attention: THE CONVENOR

RE: NATIONAL RETURNEES FORUM

Following the dis
on the 14th July 1
the process of rep
The Forum is

solution of the NCCR,
8993 to formally launch
atriation and re-integ
referred to as the Nation

Returnees came together
a forum to cont inye with
ration of formor exiles,
al Returneesg Forum (NRF) .

> dissolution of

process of repatriation apg
It is the believe of the Forum that the purpose
CCR was established for is incomplete.

for which the N

Many Returnees are 8till destitute.

accommodation, sufficient education,
and other human needs,

They are unemployed, lack
counseiling, medical care

The Forum has been formed to specifically address itselt tot he

aforementioned issues,

Also in the agenda of the Forum is children. These issues will be given

issues concerning women and of a large number of women married to

men special attention because of the Returnees.

cause

The mechanism for addressing Returnees Problems and needs have been already worked out,

At this stage we really need vyour
Reverend Chikane to stop the auction.
Thanking you in advance.

Yours faithfully

& K L â\200\224G".-i¬\201 -
J. MALULEKE
Convenor

Extended Page

intervention to CONVINCE

M. BEFATLHE
Secretary

<4

Aosoon~ Z~

REVISED PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

Negotiating Council
Planning Committee
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council

Planning Committee
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council

Planning Committee
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council

Please note :

3 AUGUST 1993

Tuesday 3 August 1993
Tuesday 3 August 1993
Wednesday 4 August 1993
Thursday 5 August 1993

(No Friday Meeting)

Monday 9 August 1993
Monday 9 August 1993
Tuesday 10 August 1993
Wednesday 11 August 1993
Thursday 12 August 1993

(No Friday Meeting)

Monday 16 August 1993
Monday 16 August 1993
Tuesday 17 August 1993

08h30-14h00
14h00-19h00
11h00-19h00
09h00-19h00

08h30-12h00
12h00-19h00
09h00-19h00
11h00-19h00
09h00-17h00
17h00-18h00

Tree

Planting Ceremony in

front of the WTC

08h30-12h00
12h00-19h00
09h00-19h00

Wednesday 18 August 1993 11h00-19h00
Thursday 19 August 1993 09h00-19h00

(No Friday Meeting)

The adjournment times of the Negotiating Council meetings as stated are target times, which will only apply if the agenda has been completed, subject to the final decision of the meeting.

PLANCOMM/DOCUMENT/SCHED

3 August 1993

<5

DRAFT PROGRAMME FOR MEETINGS

3 AUGUST 1993

Tuesday 3 August 1993 Discussion: " FHR
i IMC

Wednesday 4 August 1993 Discussion: @ Sufficient Consensus
% Commission on Regions (a one

hour preliminary discussion and
questions of clarity to the
Commission)

L IMC and IBA

Thursday 5 August 1993 Discussion: " IBA
= Commission on Regions (if
necessary)

(Distribution : Constitutional Issues)

Monday 9 August 1993 Discussion: i Constitutional Issues
(Distribution : TEC, IEC and FHR)

Tuesday 10 August 1993 Discussion: e Constitutional Issues

Wednesday 11 August 1993 Discussion: ot FHR (finalise)

Thursday 12 August 1993 Discussion: Â¥ TEC (finalise)

" IEC (finalise)

PLANCOMM/DOCUMENT/SCHED

3 August 1993

R6