26th May, 1986

The President General A.N.C.

LUSAKA.

Dear Oliver,

RE: MOOSAJEE

Kindly please note that it is over 18 months since we discussed the Moos ajee

matter. It was mooted that on your visit to London (end 1984) you would contact me; in the event you were unable to fit such a meeting in your p rogramme.

A lot of water has flown through the Limpopo and the Vaal since then, but the fact

of Moosajee's activities cannot be easily forgotten both regarding the amounts

involved and the activities which he, it would appear, continues to be ent rusted

with, under the aegis of the organisation. I would have thought that, particularly

following the Botswana transaction of Pula 150,000.00, which was nothing short of

a fraud perpetrated against the people of Botswana on ANC paper, his reliability,

as indeed of those who directed him or participated with him on security grounds

abone, would merit a sense of caution if not alarm. That transaction by its

may justify the Botswana authorities to restrain the ANC within its borders rather

than suffer in its behalf the cruel and humiliating reprisals at the hands of the

racists.

However since it seems official policy to allow him a free rein, with his far es

to Lusaka and Botswana paid for by the organisation, I wish to put on record the

following to avoid any dispute hereafter should the matter receive further attention before any interested persons in the future.

1. The decision to monitor Moosajee's mail through my post box was ma de by Nkobi

supported successively by Maharaj. The particular matters leading to this

decision are set out in 6 & 7 below.

2. The mai] was surrendered by me to the security section who intercep ted same and copied to Nkobi, Maharaj and on some occasions the writer.

3. Such documents as I have in my possession and copied to you clearly reveal a

course of conduct calling, putting it no higher, for an explanation from Moosajee. There is clear evidence of moneys being transferred into an a ccount -

M. Patel from the ANC. The evidence further points to Moosajee being the

beneficial owner of this account.

4. Whilst the mail was being monitored Nkobi informed Moosajee that the writer and

Maharaj had instigated an investigation which I find difficult to reconcile, save on the basis of some interest of Nkobi to shield Moosajee.

EBRAHIM A. GANI

LL. B. (LONDON) BARRISTER• AT• LAW

**ADVOCATE** 

144, Permanent House Cairo Road, Lusaka.

Telephone 212344

P.O. Box 33765 Lusaka, Zambia.

Cont/2

5. The latter has submitted a statement of his assets, a copy of which ha s been vouchsafed to you. On the basis of the information in the possession of t he ANC this statement is incomplete and clearly designed to conceal. He ha s not desclosed all his accounts in English Banks or all his properties, a substantial one being also in London, worth over £250,000.00.

As adverted to at our last meeting in Lusaka, an account is operated by him

in Botswana in the name of M. Adam which he claims was done under the direction of Nkobi, whom the writer apprised of Moosajees allegation which

Nkobi denied. There is no mention of this account in his statement.

7. There is no mention either of a sum of K10,000.00 entrusted to me by Moosajee

and held in my client account, represented to me as his personal property .

This was during the year 1978-79. That he should require this sum in Lu saka

whilst a full time official under the T.G. adds to the disquiet as to the manner of his presiding over ANC finances.

8. This fact together with the matter referred in the preceding paragraph as

well as Nkobi's suspicion in relation to a transaction in Botswana involvin g Norway Aid funds led Nkobi to order a surveillance over Moosajee's mail.

9. Lastly Nkobi without even a formal scrutiny of the intercepted material has

exonerated Moosajee. At a meeting of one of the committees he suggested that

it was a personal matter between the writer and Moosajee. In the light of (6)

above, this, even for Nkobi,seems somewhat strange. Unless Moosajee i

truthful about the ownership of the account of M. Adam in Botswana, Nko bi

^ would have sought a close and rigorous enquiry into this atcount. His failure to react/ itself, -s one which calls for an explanation.

10. To add to one's burdens this is not the only matter to require your atte ntion.
In an accompanying memo I set out particulars of same which will make i t very difficult for me to receive any instructions from Nkobi or George Naicker.

Yours sincerely,

E.A. GANI