GTP em^Qisol i

CHAPTER 10:

CTif^i POLITICAL AND MISCELLANEOUS ORGANIZATIONS

- ^ InJcat.Ha
- *~*Soiith African Labour Party
- Reform Party

South African Blac^ A3.1iance

- ~ The Media (Press and South African Broadcasting Coloration)
- South African Institute of Race Relations
- South African Council of Churches
- Bibliographical Note.

N I.JOVSMmiT (I)
INKATHA 71? NKUHJLEKP T? STZTjT3 - NATIONAL CITI/rURAL LIBER
ATION I.JOVSMmiT (I)

The ruling party in the Kwazulu bantustan and dominant grouping in the South

African Black Alliance (SABA - see entry p 000). Inkatha is the personal polit-

ical vehicle for its leadert Chief Gatsh Buthelezi, Chief Minister of Kwazul u,

\<s> Wfer '

e*sd/l'ii^ pret^nt ictus to the role of national representative of African (and oc-

cassionally, other nationally oppressed groups) through his form of politic s of

"collaborative opposition" within the central South African State.

S.cTO.COtD

Tile organisationsmembership claims range between^O04j060 and 'J^Q.C OO. It claims

to represent all social strata within and outside Kwazulu. However, despit e

' this assertion of a* mass base in the urban areas and claim to a developed org-

anisationdstructure and support from Africans of all "tribes", Inkatha remains a

strongly tribalist organisation based on an overwhelmingly Zulu members hip and

constitutionally linked to the ruling structures in the-KwaZulu bantustan. 4s-*'®

gesS&S^. Moreover, its membership is largely drawn from the rural areas of this

bantustan. Despite the Ongoing application of various forms of compulsi on

within KwaZulu to generate compliance with its line, the organisation draws a

disproportionale amount of its support from the older generation in KwaZu lu.

Inkatha represents those sections of the KwaZulu petty brourgeoisie whos e inter-

ests are tied up with the apparatus of the bantustan"state", but whose wider

political aspirations can never be. fulfilled in this the most fragmented of the

bantustans. Hence Buthelezi seeks to mould an alliance with "moderate" elements

of the urban petty bourgeoisie, basecton a populist ideology which stress es the

unity of all oppressed class forces and national groups.

This involves apparently contradictory politicsOn the one hand is Buthelez i's

fierce rhetorical rejection of apartheid ~ which he conceives simply as a system

of racial oppression and exploitation, and not in class terms nor as a capit alist

system of exploitation and oppression. For allong period in the 1970s But helezi

attempted to appropriate the symbols and slogans of the ANC and present himself

as the legitimate heir of its tradition of mass resistance in the 1950s. Sinc e

his final repudiation by the ANC in 1980 he has. distanced himself from it s 's>

"violent option".;0n the other hand however, Buthelezi and Inkatha, are ac tive

a.

participants in tfe©Â£'crucial instituion of the Apartheid state - the bantu stans - and have been condemned as collaborators by, inter alia, the Black Con

sciousness

Movement®

V

This contradictory politics is linlcoa with Buthelezi's so-called "multistrate gy

of Liberation" which rejects majority rule and armed struggle as involving unobtainable solutions at an unacceptable costs. After a great deal of prever-

ication, Inkatha and ite leader have declared themselves in favour of come form of

• 'power ~shring" which viould grant African representation in the centra I state.

ESnbodi< ost - in the 1982 Report of the Buthelezi Commission, such a *

"consoci- tional solution" is to be achieved through internal negotiation with

t'na p .boning them constantly with the mobilisation of Inkatha^

alleged rv.K \hat{A} » 'base). This is in reality a strategy for the cooption of Inka tha

leaders in the - ensuing structure of power in a way which does little to the reaten

the real, bases of that power. However, this strategy has yielded precious few

needs a dear political victory to strengthen his waning credibility amongst the

oppressed, In pursuit of this, Inkatha'and its leader have flatly rejected the

"new constituional dispensation" proposed by the regime'"("see p 000) . I nstead,

Buthelezi sticks to the Report of the Buthelezi Commission as the blueprin t for

the future. In January 1983 he sharply attacked Inkatha's^fallij in SABI, the

Labour Party (see p 000) for agreeing to participate in this apartheid scheme.

' Historical Origins, formation and aims

The origins of Inkatha date back to the 1920s when the Zulu monarch, Ki

â– Solomon, formed Inkatha, Ya Ka Zu ju (Zulu national movement) in an attempt to

generate mass suport for the monarchy faced with the disintegration of precapit-

alist social relations. It was revived by Buthelezi in 1975 and its name mod

ied to Inkatha. ye I'Tkululeko Ye Sizwe. This «wa.s a period in which the apartheid

state sought to bttxld around the current Zulu king, King--Goodwill - himse

Buthelezi's nephew - a series a political alliances against Buthelezi and p os~'

sibly to oust him as Chief Minister of the bantustan (see p 000) * Inkatha

was formed by Buthelezi partly to give himself an organised poliipal base with in the bantustan to resist these manoeuvres, and partly to provide a political pl atform in the wider South African state.

0

An understanding of the politics of Inkatha needs to be rooted in an analy sis of

u %

the political strategy adopted by ButheJ^i in the 1970s. Gatsha Buthelezi is a prominent member of the Zulu aristocracy a.s a descendant through*! his mother of

2 Zulu kings, chief of a powerful clanf and descendant through his father of a line of advisors to the Zulu King. After he assumed his chieftainship in 1 953 he

strongly and succesfully resisted the imposition of the 1951 Bantu Autl 1 ori11es

.'\ft\tvJtdl ' --

and/tne ANO in glio 1950s,

-t in Zululand.

d

In 1970 he finally accepted his own participation in the Bantustan scheme and

was made Chairman of the new Zulu Territorial Authority, and Chief Executive

Councillor (Later Chief Minister) of the KwaZulu "government" in 1972* However

unlike the others he proved no simple puppet@ He used his position to voice

vociferous opposition to Apartheid, declaring his personal preference for a system

of universal franchise, in a unitary state, and totally rejecting the fragmen tation

of South Africa. . hen challenged on his role as ruler of, a bantustan he re t-

orted th: \hat{a} – 1 in the absence of any other power base, Africans had to u se the system

to fight $l^{\prime};$ 'system« In the political vacuum of the early 1970s his outs pokeness

won much a'-.te.ntion, and embarrassed the regime, leading to various u nsuccesful-^y

attempts to mobilise a "kin^s party" against him. However, these attempts , and

the growing support, for the militant and strongly anti-Buthelezi Black Cons-

ciousness Movement by the mid '1970b, convinced Buthelezi of iftxis nee d/<ra? an

1 c/ ✓ • t t

organised political base for his brand of politics* Hence the revival of Ink atha in 1975.

This so-called National Cultural Liberation tiovement declared its aims to be:

to liberate Africans from cultural domination by whitesj to eradicate racial ism,

neo-colonialism and imperialism; to abolish all forms of racial discriminati on

and segregation; and to uphold the "inalienable rights" of Zulus -to self d eterm-

ination and national independence* Hie latter was not seen to conflict with its

objective of working for the summoning of a National Convention of leade rs of

'all racial groups to develop a framework for power sharing and progressi on to majority rule. .

Social base and political operation in KwaZulu

Despite Inkatha*s claim to be a national movement open to all Africans rather <q\$iir

per cw

than a sectional party, it is t rib ally based. Over 9C^ of its members are Zulus

and its leadership is constitutionaly reserved exclusively for Zulus, Its pat ron

0 If

is the Zulu king, and all Zulus are automatically members. Its ruling Natio nal

Council, designated by the Inkatha Constitution as "the supreme body of the Zulu

nation", is comprised of the entire membership of the Kwazulu Legislativ e Assem-

bly, plus the organisation's Central Committee. The latter is largely comprised

of members of the KwaZulu "Cabinet". The Inkatha Constituion decrees that the

President of Inkatha must be the Chief Minister of KwaZulu - an office restricted

to hereditary Zulu chiefs. This heavily tribalist structure of Inkatha has led

to allegations that it is a vehicle of "Zulu imperialism" - the Zulus being the

largest of the 10 so-called ethnic nationalities identified in apartheid theor y

and comprising on their own a larger population thgm South Africa's white s.

Inkata has spent much effort on building an ui|jan base for., itself. Yet its urban

support remains relatively weak, confined to the city of Durban end to sma

n r, / F'^ CW"

pockets on the Ifitwatersrand. In 1973? almost 8Q& of its branches were located

in the rural are of Ks i Zulu and Hatal« is a t rib ally and regionally base d

organii at ion, I ikatha draws a disproportionate amount of-, support from the older

genes.ation r . ';>£rticul£-.rly women in KwaZulu. Women reportedly r an the organ-

isation on a day do day basis* This reflects the social composition of the bant-

ustsn popul <':d Li.-vi, with large number of men absent on migratory la bour and. women

subject to the coercive powers of the Bantu Authorities system. A number of

reports.indicate that KwaZulu bantustan chiefs compel the populations un der their

juristicii'ji.'.'. to pay annual subscriptions to Inkatha* Likewise there are reports

that people who wish to marry are asked by the Chiefs why they are net members.

Although a small number of chiefs oppose Buthelezi, the chieftancy see ms to have

been the moving lomrce behind the expansion of Inkatha since 1975®

This strongly coercive aspect of Inkatha -within KwaZulu has a number of aspects.

The 1975 session of the KwaZulu Legislative Assembly which revived In katha

declared itself against the "importation" of political parties- into KwaZulu. In

effect a one party regime has been imposed on the Bantustan. The few n on-Inkatha

candidates who had the temerity to stand in the 1978 bantustan election

roundly condemned as traitors to the "Zulu nation". Only one of them was elected.

The Inkatha line is officially imposed on the entire bantustan. An "Inkatha syl-

labus" is taught in its schools and teachers in particular (many of whom were

associated with the strongly anti-Buthelezi Black Consciousness Moveme nt) ere

subject to very strong pressure to conform.

The KwaZulu Legislative Assembly decreed in 1973 that a Civil Servant's standing

within Inkata would be a key factor in decisions about promotion. Likewise local

businessmen keen to gather the economic erupts apartheid offers to the bantustan

petty borugeoisie, need to remain in good standing vjith the organisation end the

chiefs who run it. Through this practice of heavy handed persuasion and coersion,

Buthelezi has clearly succeeded in the first of his aims in the revival of Sa iest

Inkatha."the bantustan has been politically sewn up behind him and no opposition

to his autocratic rule is brooked.

Inkatha and the politics of resistance

Buthelezi's second aim for Inkatha is to provide an organised based for his pol-

itical aspirations in the wider South African state. Since at least 1976, Buthelezi has firmly rejected any idea of "independece" for KwaZulu. He

strong clr.iv:- to his citizenship in the wider South Africa. As the most fra ament*-

ed bantu.;' u, Kv;;«ju1vi offers its local petty bourgeoisie even fevrer e conomic

opport'.r.-.-' i than Ihose in more consolidated "homelands1' such as the Transkei $\ensuremath{\kappa}$

and even vv^wanu. Inkatha s politics reflect this fact that the political

h.

and ecor.:-; ic aspirations of the KwaZulu petty bourgeoisie can never be contained

within i;.?•• AO dispersed fragments. Hence the organisation has sought to weld

together an alliance with the urban petty bourgeoisie and to present itself as

the legitimate spokesman for this class force on a national basis. Its popul ist

platform and Buthelezi's occasionally militant rhetoric, moreover seek, to present

both Inkatha and its leader as the legitimate voice of the entire nationally oppres-

sed population. Through Inkathafs dominant role in the South African Black Allian-

ce of which Buthelezi is chairman (see entry pCWO), the Chief has assu med for him-

self the role of the chosen leader of all South African's black communities

1

â.¬

This claim is however strongly contested. The Black* s Consciousness mayment has

long condemned Buthelezi as a traitor and puppet. Students1 at Kwazulu 's "own"

"university havF stoned his car and boycotted his speeches. .When Buthe lezi atten-

.ded the funeral of the PAC founder Robert Marigaliso Sobukwe^in 1978, his life was

threatened by the crowd enraged by his pressnce, - and he_..was forced to leave under

humiliating circumstances. When one youth cast a handful of silver Qt hi

m, he commented: "They spot in Christ1s face, now they are doing it to me". His att empt to

appropriate the ANC mantle internally, collapsed in 1980 when the liberation mo-

vement finally condemned him and' his politics. Opinion Surveys conducte d by In-

katha's own Buthelez^' Commission' show^- stronger and growing support for the ANC in

all areas except KwaZulu. A poll'conducted by the Johannesburg Star n ewspaper

in late 1981 showed far stronger support for the \NC than Inkatha in all u rban areas.

even in the so-called "Zulu" city of Durban.

W5U3--Fay, janafia.w-prejuii1nTi nnyp,n«W;.^fat»cirt. rh.

The economic "strategy" of Inkatha'reflects its petty bourgeois base. It rejects

"unfettered capitalism" which is seen to have built the South African economy at

too great a cost. Inkatha formerly advocated "African Communalism" as a "form of

socialism" which encourages private enterprise whilst "protecting the people as a

whole" through state-owned organisations with a controlling interest in all enter-

prises. This allegedly "inhibits the/of capitalism to divide the people ir rich and poor". This "African Communalism" has been implemented in Kw aiSulu through

the concept

the /Apartheid state-owned KyvaJJylu Development Corporation (|<DC) and the concept

of "tripartite companies". This admits white investment into Kwa&ilu in parther-

ship with the KDC with blacks as shareholders. Little different from Apart heid

economic strategy in the othe fL bantustans, this "African communalism" has in fact

drawn Inkatha closer to large capitalist undertakings and on occasion incurred the

wrath of small Kvv.'OBjlu traders organise in the Inyanda (local Chambers of Commerce)

Buthelezi was reed to grant' the Inyanda joint participation with a white firm

i*n a wholesale venture tr. still their criticism of a decision to admit the C heckers

supermarket ch.-'in into Kwc. «yilu. Recently, even rhetorical references to "African.

Communalism",'° ve been abandoned. The 1982 Buthelezi Commission prescribes "a

mixed marked economy-" as offering "the best opportunities for economic growth and

the proper development of a society combining the best features of an e conomy of

rtunity with those uf an'Rconnmy of equity" (see below).

nnnn .

Furthermore, Buthelezi is fiercely opposed to campaigns to get foreign capital to

disinvest from South Africa. He has regularly appeared in advertisements'i n.--inter-

nacional newspapers paid for by the Apartheid state appealing for increa sed -foreign

investment in South Africa.

The phases of "collaborative opposition"

i) 1972 - 1975 _

The politics of Inkatha and its leader have been through a number of pha ses.

In the years prior to the 197S Soweto uprising, Buthelezi presented himse If as an

earnest "Bridge builder" between 'internal and external opponents of Apar theid.

He travelled to a number of African states, and held discussions with Pre sidents

Kaunda and Nyerere who were both reportedly impressed. Iste^1975 he appealed to the

ÖÁU to give Prime Minister Vorster* s "detente" policies a chance (see p dTOÂ⊚).

In this period, before Inkatha and his internal political base had been org anised.

Buthelezi's politics were conducted largely through public statements and a public

relations campaign. He gained much international prominence, but was roundly con-

demned by the increasingly influential black consciousness movement as a puppet.

This was one of the key reasons leading to the revival of Inkatha.

*

ii) 1976 - 1980

The Soweto uprisings in June 1976 marked a new phase in these politics of

"collaborative opposition". Buthelezi strongly condemned the police viole nce

but also rapidly associated himself with police attempts to stem the revolt

by promoting divisions within the black community. He called on "responsible

elements" to set up vigilance groups to protect property against militants. In

August 1976 it was widely alledged that Inkatha has assisted the police in .inci-

ting Zulu migrant vrorkers who rampaged through Soweto in an attempt to break

a stay-at-home strike called by student leaders. This led to increasing bitt er-

ness between the Black Consciousness Movement and Inkatha, Following the banning

of the black consciousness organisations in late 1977, Inkatha sought to fill the

political vacuum by forging new political alliances with other political organ

sations, The result was the formation in January 1973 of the South Africa n Black

Alliance (see entry p (TOO) of Inkatha, the (Coloured) Labour Party and the (Indian)

Reform Party entries pp Under Buthelezi's Chairmanship the Al-

liance aimed to forge black unity and prepare the way for a national convention.

Its impact however has been weak.

> . t

During this period Buthelezi likewise consolidated his international linkages,

particularly in West Germany and the US. His invitation to a "prayer break fast",

with US President Jimmy Carter, gained much publicity. More importantly, this

was the period in which Buthelezi worked hard to assume the mantle as the inter-

nal wing of the banned ANC in order^ to legitimate his position

(in increasingly open conflits with other petty bourgeois internal groups most no-

tably the Soweto "Comwmitte of- ID" (see entry p COO). However he overstepped

himself in 1979 when he publicised his discussions in London with the A NC leader-

ship. The ANC intended that these talks remain confidential and strongly repudia-

ted Buthelezii attempt to increase his own political credibility through what the

ANC regard as a strictly informal contact. This led to a final breach in 198

undermining Buthelezi's past-1976 strategy to present Inkatha as a third force

between white and black nationalists.

This final break with the ANC led to a political crisis for Inkatha. It has al ways

addressed two audiences, the oppressed population on the one hand, and the power

structures of the /Apartheid state on the other. Its political strategy aimed to

mobilise support from the former through a populist platform of the rhetori c of "liberation" as a device to win concessions from the latter. Thus Buthele zi's

attempt to assume the ANC mantle between 1976 and 1980 was accompanied by a strong

move to the right. His sharp conflict with the Soweto Committee of 10, his total

rejection by the Black Consciousness Movement and humiliating expulsion from the

Sobukwfi funeral, taken in the context of the growing, effectiveness of AN C military

operations and political organisation, all served to further isolate Inkatha and

Buthelezi, driving them closer to the /Apartheid regime. He opened a "dia logue"

and formal consultations with the ruling Nationalist Party and the powerful Afri-

kaner Broederdond (see entry p (TOO). The announcement of the proposed "Constel-

lation of Southern African States" by Premier Botha in 1979 was lauded by Buthe-

lezi as an example of the NP "abandoning apartheid" and-jne expressed hi s willin-

gness to serve on the proposed "council of states".'

By mid 1979 internal divisions had emerged in Inkatha as various local I eaders

sharply condemned Buthelezi's increasing cooperation with state plans to increa-

se slightly the powers of black local authorities as a complement to bantu stan

strategy[^] 0y early 1980, Buthelezi was publicly appealing for unity in his orga-

nisation, Feuds were fought for control of various local branches and a se nior

Inkatha official was gunned down under mysterious circumstances. With the outbreak

of a further student boycott of apartheid educational institutions in 1980 - which| unlike the previous round in 1976/7, now reached Inkatha-controll ed Natal

and Kwazulu - Buthelezi mobilised armed groups to attack students. Appropriating

the language of the apartheid regime he argued that the student boycot was "part

and parcel of a total onslaught against Inkatha". At this stage Inkatha'was again,

attempting to build a strong base in the Johannesburg Soweto township, through

participation in community Council elections. However its move to the right see-

med to have strongly diminished its popularity. Polls conducted by the A rgus qroup

p.tr~ CW-.

newspaper the Sunday Post and the Star, reported that 65% of the samp le supported

the Committee1'^ I en (boycotting the elections] compared with only 9\$> f or Inkatha.

Moreover the Committee of 10 leader Dr. Ntatho Motlana was found to en joy stronger

support amongst Soweto Zulus than Buthelezi him self.

iii) Post 1980: The Buthelezi Commission

In this context of waning support for Inkatha, Buthelezi increasingly turne d

to his second political audience^the current wielders of economic and political

cal power - seeking to present himself as the mediator who will resolve t

he pa-

ttern of conflictual politics. With all elements of the ruling class vitally pre-occupied with devising forms of restruturing socio-political relations s o as

to save capitalism and leave the basic structure of power intact (see p O OO)s in

1980 Buthelezi announced the appointment of the so-called Buthelezi C ommission to

consider "the requirements for stability and development in KwaZulu and Natal".

This was clearly seen as laying d^n a blueprint for the constitutional develop-

ment of South Africa.

The Commission was composed of a few Inkatha members, and dominate d by the repre-

sentatives of monopoly capital, toge'ther with Natal regional (white) capitalists.

representatives of professional bodies, a number of internationally prominent

conservative academics and the Progressive Federal and New Flepublic parties. The

ruling Nationalist Party and ANC declined to participate. It is interesting that

in this so-called "black initiative" to draw up a bl^print for the resolution of

South Africa's problems, the current representatives of the capitalist clas s toge-

ther with leading white South African and American conservative academic s, did all

sion

of the drawing. This clearly reflected in the recommendations of the Commission

which in effect put forward proposals to preserve the existing structure of eco-

nomic power in South Africa, and modify political relations so as to permit the entry of a small and highly controlled black elite into the political institutio ns

of the central state in such a way that they are rendered political impotent and

thus pose little threat to the existing structure of power.

This is not the place for a detailed analysis of the Report (see the excelle nt article by Southall cited in the .references). However it should be noted that

.e. capitalist] economy" and adopts the currently trendy political formula of "consociational democracy" as a form of "power-

* fr

-sharing designed to outflank, the advocates of violent change". It fails tot ally

• V

to address' the class inequalities in the capitalist system, or recommend a res-

tructuring of exploitative economic relations. Its economic recommendations for

greater labour mobility, freehold tenure in land^etc., reflect the straightfor war-

dly capitalist programme cf the various class forces reoresented on the Commission,

Moreover, the "consociational formula" put forward/is explicity based on the visi-

ting American expert's view of this structure as "a grand coalition of politic al

leaders of all significant segments in the plural society". [Designed to ensure

the politics of power broking, compromise and consensus between raciall v defined

elites, this formula is concerned with the regulation of conflict and the maintenanâ..¢

ce of- stability and the existing order rather than the transformation of the

existing order and the transfer of power. Buthelezi has quite explicity stated

that he has now abandoned all his previous demands for majority rule as "unobtai-

nable". The Buthelezi Commission signals to the ruling class that Inkatha

and

its leader are available for cooption in return for certain minium concessions to

its leaders, and their admission into the magic circle of political decision makers

in capitalist South Africa.

In return Buthelezi quite explicitly holds out his alledged capacity to stave off

the revolutionary onslaught. In this self-adoption of the IVIuzorewa role in

South Africa, the declining support for Inkatha is an embarrassing proble m.

Hence Buthelezi has again resorted to militant rhetoric in his rejection of the

"new ^tri-earner all constitutional dispensation"of the government - which totally

excludes Africans and seeks to coopt Indians and so-called coloured^ (se e p OtrQ).

. Vv "3o*\ua.f--i VCVS^>

He teas sharply attactedhis^SABA "allies", the (coloured) Labour Partyf f or accep-

ting these proposals when he publicly urged their rejection.

Given the growing support for the ANC and rejection of Buthelezi and Ink atha's

politics of "collaborative opposition", Buthelezi clearly "needs to demonst rate

to both blacks and the existing power-that-be that Inkatha can deliver the goods.

it calls for a "mixed-market^ |x

This however is extremely dubious.

SOUTH AFRICAN LABOUR PARTY (2)

MssMfeTffl erfr political party formed and led by sections of the petty bou rgeoisie from the so-called coloured community. Its declared objective; is to work

I

for a system of universal suffrage within a single parliament. The chose n means

of attaining this end is non violent struggle using the "plat^forms" created by

thé institutions of the Apartheid state against the /partheid system itself, f *

Despite the clairn\(^\) merely to be using Apartheid institutions to- defeat the

system, the Party's organizational machinery was in fact structured around lh«

Coloured Persons Representative Council (CRC) until the latter1s abolition in 1980.j

Frc#tthe outset the Labour Party has been a parliamentary type party, playing little

part in mass organization or-mass strugglesQ

!>When it eventually gained control of the CRC in 1975 it attempted to make a strate-^j

f gic^p shift from, what its leaders described as, the "politics of protest" to

"the politics of negotiation'. . â-

The abolition of the CRC in 1980 created a major problem of role definition

for the Party. It teo.....bootycaught between the pressures of increasingly r. iss

struggles on 'the one hand, and the temptations of the increasingly attract ive

"pergks" being offered to so-called coloured and asian leaders willing to c olla.bc-

rate with the "Total Strategy", on the other (see p /TOO).

After much vacillation, the January 1983 party congress, finally resolved to

revest to "working within" the institutions of Apartheid and put up candidat es for j

the "coloured" chamber of the three tier parliament. A number of observe rs argue " S

that the Labour Party's mass base has largely been lost to community ba sed orga--

I par C£H'

nizations and that it will have trouble in mobilising even the 13[^] of the pot ential1 electorate which it mobilised in the last CRC by election.

The Labour Party was a member of the South African Black Alliance[^] (s ee p£?uD)

lokt-

until its suspension/following its decision to enter the so-called coloured p arlia-

ment. ' • ... ---

â– The. South African Labour Party was formed in. 1964T" on the iniativ e,of a group •

of petty bourgeois from the so-called coloured community i lt drew some supportfev

from former members of the Coloured People's Congress £a component of the Congress

Alliance ~ see p 0'30}> and other militmt groupings. Ti io--Permation 'e-f -- the ~ fcpbsHr

"XV vmHcXU^

oafctawg up of â- â- ^iu,~Gulju'iiiBdfi'8-Qii^ag^rl^,WstfBreDemgjL!!!!H^6B& i /defined its strate-

gic objective as' "common roll representation with equal franchise rights for

all Coloureds and Whites". By 1969, howe^or^ it was calling for "one* ma n**1

-one-vote, with direct parliamentary representation for all South .Africans,

Ik*, Voa-Vu' 'i CoV\%v'ilu.W&rn J\£Aw ^vMjf cJjuA'XxiWA vV (-\$ ".. ~

whatever their racial group". cmnw/NttV**

The Party acknowledged.from the outset that the CRC was- an /Apartheid institution.

Nevertheless "accepted the Representative Coucil as a stepping stone to full

democratic rights because it offered the only means of political expression \- CRC

the interim to the Coloured people". In the 1969/election, $i\hat{A}$ »*Jr CLkV' A. .

Labour emerged from a 37% poll â- move-

with 35 seats compared/ie-the 11 won by the

pro-/'partheid Federal party and 3 by independents. However, it was prevented

from becoming the majority party within.the CRC when the regime award ed the 20

government nominated seats provided for in the Council's constitution to Federal:

•Pary members. One of these went to the FP*s defeated leader, Tom Swartz, who

became chairman of the CRC executive - giving rise to the popular tag for the

CRC as "Uncle Tom's Cabin".

During the five year term of the first CRC, the Labour Party^ adopted what

described as "tactics of exposure and.embarrassment". Motions were framed in

such a way that the Federal Party could only oppose them by exposing its elf as

a puppet of Apartheid and Labour refused to serve on committees set up to liaise

with the government.

Despite being reduced to the position of a largely ineffective "opposition" party, Labour remained throughout this period essentially a parliamentary type

party whose fundamental sphere of activity and tactics were orientated a round

the CRC. The leadership resisted calls from its Transvaal branch and Yo uth

Section to withdraw from the CRC and transform itself into a mass party. I t could

not, however, escape the effects of the growing tide oT~ mass resistance . At

first, it tried to compete with the emerging black consciousness movement , laun-

ching its own slogan "brown power",as a non-militant alternative. Later, it at-

tempted to use the burgeoining mass struggles as a "bargaining counter" - alter-

natively warning the government that moderates prepared to work within the system

would lose out to radicals, and threatening itself become part of the mas s move-

ment if the regime did not enter into meaningful negotiations with it.

This first phase of the Party's existence ended in 1974/5. Taking advantage of a number of defections from the Federal Party, Labour managed to

secure the- adaption by the CRC of a motion calling for the abolition of all

the institutions of Apartheid including the CRC itself. This crisisj, iiii-rtf*-Lhe"â- â- uS-ch uijiubu111um "sa-tak-led the regime to offer the chairm anship of the

executive to the Labour Party. This was refused the council was dissolve d and

pj-OjU

new elections were called in 1975. Labour emerged from the reduced (2B| v) poll

with 31 seats to the Federal Party's 8, giving it an absolute majority in the

council even allowing for nominated members.

This new situation led to a major debate within the Party's leaderships which

was complicated by an amendment to the Coloured Persons Representative Coun-

cil Act allowing the Minister of Coloured Affairs to take over the functions of the executive of the CRC in the event of the latter failing carry out its tasks. After some struggle the Labour leadership made a strategic shift from

what it described as the "politicos of protest" to the "politics pf negotiation". This involved using its base as majority party in the CRC/to negotia

p-

te constitutional changes with the regime "on an equal basis". The party took up its seats on the CRC executive and its then leader, Sonny Leon, beca-'

me chairman.-It. was also decided, apparently as a concession to the pro-boycott faction, that the chairman would not appropriate any monies

allocated to the council by the central government as this would amount to accepting the council's subsidiary status as an Apartheid institution.

This latter decision led the government to revoke the appointment of Leo n as

chairman and replace him by 'a government nominee, Mrs. ALthea Janse n. Labour

however retained the remaining seats on the executive and its position a s maiority party.

During this phase of "the politics of negotiation", the Labour Party leader-

ship became involved in numerous discussions with state officials on the "constitutional future of the coloured people" (though it boycotted the Inte

r Cabinet Council meeting" with Vorster in 1976 -fsee ftef «mf)Â «p WtJ) These^however yielded few concessions. Djririg this period for example, much of the chagrin of the Labour Party, the regime rejected the recomm endations of its own Theron Commission that inter alia, the Immorality and Mi xed Marriages Acts be abolished, that certain business areas be open to per sons of all races and that so-called coloureds be given'direct representation on

[&]quot;decision making bodies". Even over minor issues the regime often refuse d to -give way%'In 1977 for instances it refused to allow Gatsha*Buthelezi to open

the CRC session* sending the State President instead.

This evident failure of its strategy of negotiation in the context of growing mi-

litancy -of the masses, led the party to loose much of whatever mass suport it imed

previously had. This emerged in the last bye-election for the CRC held in 1978

where a devisory 13%,' poll was recorded. One of the responses of the Party to

this situation was to seek alligif merits with ^ther like minded political groupings, .

5.s"/

. In 1978 it Fair;;d the South African Black Alliance (see entry p 000). At the same

time it supported the call by the Progressive Federal Party for a "national con- .

vention" to negotiate a new constitution for South Africa, although it later argued

that the PFP was too concerned with guarantees for minority rig=t£tp.

This period also saw schisr<r within the party. Its leader, Sonny Leon, re signed

in 1978 ostensibily on the groups of ill health but in fact under a cluud of c

ticism for being both ineffective' and for collaborating with the regime. Le on ha^,

attended the funeral of State President, Diedrichs, and went on a Defence Force

sponsered tour of the "operational area".

١

The coming to office of the Botha'regime in 1978 and the adoption as official

state policy of the "Total Strategy" (see p QyQ J marked aiphase in the hi story of

the Labour Parly. Its leadership, under.Rev. Allan Hendrik^-, at first wel comed

the "reformist" rhetoric of the Botha regime particularly its announcement that it

would make constitutional changes. In 1979 Labour announced that it would now

also be willing to negotiate over"short term goals"such as housing, local govern-

ment and education, whilst not losing sight of its long term constitutional aspi-

•VWI

rations. At the same time, aspnajority party in the CRC, it set up a commi ssion

to formulate its own constitutional proposals. This du Preez Commission, issued

its report later in the year and called for a system of one person one vote within

the then existing Westminster type parliamentary system.

^_the end of 1979^'the Party Found itself in deadlock with the Botha re gime. When

the latter set up the Schlebusch Commission to work out...proposals for a new cons-

titution setting out from the 1977 Nationalist Party proposals (providing for three

racially separate chambers of parliament^ see p CJCiO)s the Labour Party declared

that it wi^uld only submit evidence if this did not prejudice the rights of the

coloured^to other constitutional negotiations. When the Botha regime refused to

give such assurances, the Labour Party and the CRC resolved to boycot tSchlebusch.

This move, interpretted in the Nationalist press as a shift to "confrontation " tac-

"who want to cause chaos".

These vacillations reveal much of the character of the Party's leadership as a petty bourgeois force caught in the midst of an intensifying struggle between the fundamental class forces in the society. They also reflect the

dilemma of.a pariliamentary type party deprived of a parliament. One of it s members commented that".., the party had lost its direction and was no I onger able to survive as a political force outside government-created structures"

It is thus not surprising that when Eotha offered, tie prospect of further negotiations late in .1980, it was eagerly accepted by the Party's leadersh ip.

<T\9A.Wa

After a/in wnich Botha agreed both to release a number uf students detained

during the 1980 school*s boycott; and to abandon the idea of the nomina ted

Coloured Peoples.Coucil, Hendrikse declared that the freeze in relations. since

the end of 1979, was over and that both the Party and "government were now see-

king" the togetherness of the middle of the road". "While the Labour Party un-

derstood the problems the Prime Minister faced with an increasing radical sation

of the white right wing", he continued, "The Prime Minister had understood

the problems that the Labour Party faced with the radicalisation of the left

ft)-

January 1983

Congress the Labour Party "committed" itself to contesting seats for

the so-called coloured chamber of the proposed-three chamber parliament , after

*fK«i-AiiMCwv «â- **» «tvVu^nJ>\s*<5ivA* cvcrvajc*- WeAV-Afs C6 '\«km,Vur*I< .XV

previously hinting that it may not, nrbs» led to the resignation from the party

of some of its more militant leaders. Meetings held by the labour leadersh

in an attempt fo "explain" this step have been broken up by angry crowds accu

sing the party of collaboration with apartheid, TLt AjXpiWA, "W -s-frXcr

islWwk *0,k WUwM* j-»- HUV ~

:yV^mass base largely)

A Quflber of jsfcfs'ervers feel that the ^Labour Party ^-5 mat ost to ^e^mmunity o3rg^nizationsJ//and that if ^these were to paunch a boy jjit

nti SA Ipdian Council^dampaign f 1.9ST1 (see p),

ampaign^similar tD^, • >--•- j*

the Labour Party wpdld have difficulty in rnpmlising^ven/tne 13% cf/me Ct o- /

which turoeo out to vol/fe in the lasi/ CPC by-election

Important leaders: Rev. Allen Hendrikse

David Curry

\OtCS.

uj&Avs-ttr

nis-

The Coloured Persons Coucil Act of 1980 the abolished CRC and gave the Minis-

ter of Coloured Affairs the right to Create a nominated Coloured Peoples Coun-

cil to take over the legal responsibilities of the CRC. Presented ideologica

as a response to the manifest "lack of interest" on the part of members of the so-called coloured community in the CRC, the Coucil*s abolition was in

fact a shrewd tactical move by the Botha regime designed to weaken the Labour

Party. And indeed it did create a major problem of role definition for the Party.

For a short time, with its leadership visibly angered at being outrnanouvr ed

by Botha and^under pressure both from the increasingly militant mass st ruggles

and its own youth wing, the Party showed some signs of trying to

move "leftwards"., A strategy conference held after the dissolution of the CRC, resolved.to. strengthen its grass roots organ â— zafxcsn and form new branches*

It declared it would work for the holding of a black convention, to be fall owed

by a convention of all progressive forces. These conventions would draw up

a representative alternative constitutional programme to that of the regime . It

also declared its intention to work for a united front with bodies like AZA PO,

COSAS and MWASA (see entries p,OCK? and0C0). It lent its.name to the Free

Mandela campaign and set up a commission to work out ways of mobilising "worker

and consumer power".'

However, the- Party soon came under the counter^-pressure of the more a ttractive

jrip' "perks"•being offered to so-called coloured and asian political lead ers wil-

ling to collaborate with the Total Strategy, In 1980'when the Rothe regim e abo-

lished the Senate and set up the President's Council (PC) to formulated new

constitutional proposals (see p GCO }, it offered places on the latter body

to the Labour Party. This created a major debate within the Party. The right

wing argued that the logic of the "politics of negotiation" implied entering such bodies.^>

C^When the majority of the Party decided against participation on the gro

ups, that

African were not included in the PC, a group of right wingers, including t wo

former'party leaders and the chairman of the CRC's constitutional commission,

Du Preez, resigned to^a new political group, the Congress of the People (COPE).
Some COPE members now sit on the PC.

In thefcace of these pressures the party leadership, under Hendrikse,

*r r . .. visibly vacillate^. •

o*0,xn response to pressures from mass struggles

IV declared itself in favour of the objectives, but not the strategy, of the ANC,

PAC, Black Consciousness movement and SWAPO. On other femmi, bow ing to pres-

sures from its pwn right wing, it tea declarated itself in favour of "free enter

t«-- ajUmiuA. â- -. ...

. prise", iswpsN:ssewil its total opposition to Marxism and criticised trade unionists

REFORM PARTY (RP) ^

Political party based on certain bourgeois and petty bourgeois class force s within the Indian community

The Reform Party was formed, by members of the South African Indian C oucil (SAIC^ in 1976. It ciaimCdto be using the plat\$form created by the Apartheid stat e against the Apartheid system itself, a policy, is similar to that of certain Bantustan parties such as Inkatha and the Labour Party, the RP

ec fASJ'f'.fe.ft.r- 'J..SWSB - S-SIt- Is> Cf0^1)

isthe South African Black Alliance ^ j.

If1.

The Reform Party evidence/to the Schlebusch CommG/ssion of Inquiry i nto a new

constitution, called for a single parliament for all races, but said this did

not mean one person one vote. The Party was dealt a.....decisiv e blow by the

mass campaign against the elections for the SAIC in November 1981.- A lthough

the RP belatedly announced a tactical withdrawal in the face of this cam paign

one candidate did stand under its banner. His "success" in the election whose

^ 16 ^.ercar'i-

average poll was tessssteteas gives the RP one seat out of 45 in the thor ou-

ghly discredited Council.

Lacking any real effective base from which to pursue its strategy of nego-

tiation, the RP appears to be for the moment largely inactive, though it continues to serve as the Indian contingent"of SABA. However it, or so me

similar grouping could potentially emerge as a force based on the "India n cham-

ber" of the proposed three tier parliament.

Indian Council from which it emerged/aRd-wi4^-^t^i©f^4^^ufemer;ged--a m-i--ei&fe---a--W'a.va

The history of the Reform Party cannot be separated from that the South African

Indian Council from which it

C^The specific characteristics of the SAIC ISBSjfSBfciy derive from the fact that

devising a formula for the inclusion of the Indian community within the politi-

cal institutions of Apartheid has always posed a particularly difficult problem for A|ertheid constitutional planners. It was in fact only comparatively

recently that the permanent presence of the Indian community in South A frica was

officially acknowledged by Apartheid ideologues. Until 1957, official Natio na-

list Party policy.was that the whole of the resident Indian Community ought even-

tually to be "repatriated" to India or Pakistan. Until 1975 a free passage was

available to anyone from the community willing to accept "voluntary repatria-

tion" (although there were very few takers -- 24 between 1955 and 1975).

Thus even by the standards of Apartheid institutions, the South African In -

dian Council has from the time of-its establishment in 1968 been a particular-

ly ineffective body. Until 1974 all its members were government nominee? .. unlike

the Coloured Representative Council which was partly elected from the st art.

After half of its members became indirectly elected on behalf of the community

by members of local authorities, local affairs committees and management or

consultative committees. The first time the SAIC was due to be directly elected

was in November .1981, These* elections were met by a massive boycott by the

Indian community. SAIC has accordingly been based throughout on certa in sec-

«'

tions of the. bourgeoisie and petty bourgeoisie with very little mass support

amongst the Indian community as a whole, (important bourgeois as well a s petty

bourgeois class firees within -the Indian community have, it should be not ed,

refused to participate in the Council).

AW

The Reform- Party was formed within/SAIC in 1976. The immediate issue giving

A£ise to its formation was a proposal by Prime Minister Vorster to set up an

"Inter Cabinet Council" consisting of members of the "white Cabinet" plus the

executives of the Coloured Representative Council and the SAIC. This council

was to have had no decision making or legislative powers but was merely serve

as a forum for an "exchange of opinions". It never really functioned.+w *#»%t»3»i.

The majority of the SAIC decided to participate in this body "on a trial basis".

This decision was opposed by five members on the grounds that the proposal coun-

cil would exclude Africans. Led by Y.S. Chinsarrojthis group constituted themsel-

ves as "the Reform group". They were later joined by-another small factio n, originally calling itself the Peoples3Party. These two groups then merged to form the Reform Party, with Chinsamy as president.

At its inaugural meeting Chinsamy defined the Rp*s immediate abjective a s "... to get outspoken people elected to the Indian Council, and use the Counc il as

â-»

a community platform from which the grievances of the people of South A frica

could be aired", Its ultimate declared aim was to secure a society in which

there would be, inter alia, "... peace and goodwill among the races;... equitable

sharing of power by all citizens with safeguards against domination or op pression

of one race by another ... (and) economic equality of opportunity for all (with a)

raising of the status of workers". It pledged cooperation with "organizations

striving for democracy by non-violent means". The last clause led it to affil ia-

te to the South African Black Alliance } when the latter

was formed in 1978.

Virtually the entire organizational effort of the Reform Party was directed towards the SAIC elections originally scheduled for the end of 1079. The RP

opposed the various postponements of these elections, but used the time

launch a recruiting drive amongst members of the SAIC, arguing that the

would be no place in an elected SAIC for unaffiliated independents. A ma

coup/; in this campaign was the recruitment of the nominated SAIC chair man.

J.N.Reddy, in mid 1979, after which emerged as the majority party in the SAIC.

The attempts by the Botha regime to formulate a "new constitutional dispensation 1' faced the RP, with major choices, 'After considerable interna I dis-

cussion and debate the RP, unlike for example the Labour Party, (see p. 000)

eventually gtwe-ife&idence to the Schlebush Commission of Inquiry. RP Congress

^solved that the party*s evidence should advocate one

man one vote, as an ultimate goal but recognized that there were 1 differe nt ways

of acheiving thisf the RP favouring the summoning of a national convention

t iAflW^ouk'i,

In the event, however, its /atel ogatas- w&fcafiod before Schlebush stated that

the Party stood- forparliament for all races, which did not necessarily mean one person one vote.

This rather loose interpretation of the Party's agreed policy led to severe criticism of£leadership. 'Eventually five branchs disaffiliated in 1980 ov er

this incidentthey subsequently declared themselves totally disillusioned with the SAIC. ' --

At the same time.. as in the case of the Labour Party, the RP leadership came

under pressure from elements within the party eager for the "perks" being

offered by the Botha regime to so-called coloured ancL Asian leaders will

to collaborate with the Total Strategy'. In accordance with SABA policy, t

he
RP refused to accept seats on the President's Council on the grounds tha
t
Africans were excluded. However a significant element within .the RP, o

pposed this decision arguing that bodies like the Presidents Council rather than

the obsolete SAIC provided a more efective base from which to pursue the "politics of

negotiation". Three executive members who favoured this position were suspended

from the party in 1980s provoking a number of resignations both from the Party

and the SAIC in the sane year.-^gsasto^ogs^^sssteSssffiteEi^B^*. One member of

this group, Abram Mayet, subsequently accepted a seat on the President s Council.

The Reform Party* was swamped by the anti-SAIC campaign in 1981. As indicated

above (see p Ct>ti), the anti-SAIC campaign raised issues going for bey ond the

question of elections for the SAIC, and the campaign became a real force for

mass mobilisation.

The HP's initial response to this campaign was to try to distance itself from

the SAIC by agreeing with a number of criticisms being made of this body , but

arguing that it was still worth while to capture it as a government recognized

platform. Eventually, however, after intense pressure from SABA, the part y,

made a belated tactical retreat and announced in late September that it would

not stand in the elections due in early November. In the official statement

Chinsamy declared that "while he remain^gd) committed to negotiation as a strategy ... the prosent climate made it untenable for him to enhance the credibility of the South African Indian Council" (our emphasis).

Despite this decision, however, one candidate stood "successfully" under the

Party's banner in the subsequent election. His return in an election whos e

' owfyvsr.o. ^ i© cn*J"

average poll was/leaes^iaao \$8^ gives the RP one seat in the SAIC.

tow deprived 'of any effective base from which to pursue its"strategy of n egotia-

tion", the RP is largely ianctive, though it continues to attend SABA

-meetings. J^IrtlTough it ^ha^fiot yet dec^r

n nf n nrr.-^ n 4- In o TnHi nn .(^hnrnhDV rrF fko

â- ared itself' on "the question of partici- \

[^pati^g-"i'rTthe Indian^ff amber of tile three tlt?r parliament/provided for i n/"

Jifie regime's nejjf^constitutional proposals/ the potential exists for the/RB or some slmiA&f' grouping to^e-emerge as/ta force basedxjn this body. Mdre over, the

3manc.ed hw thc/fnnt: that thR mn/nr

RPs

ididature for, fenis role is of

by tha'ract that the me^gor groupings j mthin the SAIC^lave apart from being massively rejected by the/Indian c ommuni- / incapable of/even forming a/Stable bloc within/the Counci],

the Igen elections thrare have been a •drpecticns from^SAIC, as well as ^several tive.

nsiderable number/of resignation changes in -pie composition i

\

Leader#: Y.S.Chinsamy

SOUTH' AFRICAN-BLACK ALLIANCE (SAGA) (4)

	_ â–		1
\			

An alliance grouping/two Bantustan parties (inkatha of Kwazulu and the Inyandza

National Movement of Kangwane) plus two parties which emerged out of Apartheid

institutions imposed on the so-called coloured and Indian communities/* t

•TU-. VoJbcwr toCfiAkw itttu. vv, ^ VU?\

Labour and Reform parties respectively/see-entri-es-pp------)___

All these parties claim to follow a strategy of using the institutions of Apar - theid against the system itself.

. Sinfce its foundation in 1978 s SARA been dominated by the Inkatha m ovement led

\ C'5J1S- ^ ot;>®y •

by Chief Gatsha Buthelezi^ Indeed to a large extent it has functioned as a •vehicle for the promotion of the pretensions of the class forces control ling

V.•

Inkatha to leadership of the oppressed masses.

. One of SABA major objectives was to prepare for a representative national conven

tion to draw up a charter for a non-racial constitution. This project was however

'abandoned in 1981 when it became clear that the alliance could not attra ct a

sufficiently representative grouping. Since then SABA has been much less actively

promoted and in 1982 Inkatha produced its own proposals for a "consoci ational demo cracy" (see pGco).

SABA affiliates however continue to meet regularly and the alliance is us ed from

time to time when the Inkatha leadership feels the need for a broader pla tform.

Meetings were held under its banner to protest against the proposed In awayuma-

--Kangwane land'transfer to Swaziland (see pCRSO). It was also used to condemn

the Labour-Party1 s decision to enter the new constitutional arrangements of the'

/Apartheid regime (see.).

The South African Black Alliance- was formed in March 1978 at a meetin g chaired by Chief Buthelezi and attended by Inkatha plus the Labour' and Reform p arties.

It succeded the abortive Black Unity Front (BUF) set up on the iniatitive of

Buthelezi and two other Bantustan "leaders" (Ntsanwisi of Gazankulu and Phatudi of Lebowa) in 1976.

Lebowaj III 13

i;

Both SABA and its predecessor emerged as part of an attempt by certain class

forces operating within the Bantustan structures and other Apartheid institu-

tions to widen their political bases in the wake of the 1975 uprisings. The immediate impetus giving rise to the formation of the BUF was a meetin g between

the then Prime Minister Vorster, and Bantustan "leaders" at the height of the

Soweto revolt ir, August 1975. Vorster refused to make any significant c oncession

to the Bantustan "leaders" who were then both eager to take advantage oc jr the upri-

sings to advance a number of their own specific demands and worried about

the effects of continued mass action on their own positions. ^

/'Vorster's intransigence part prompted Buthelezi, Ntsanwisi and Phatudi t o call

for a meeting of "black leaders" to discuss a "closing of the ranks".

About 50 "prominent blacks" including a number of "community leaders" from

Soweto and some representatives from Black Consciousness organization s atten- .

ded a fo].jow-up meeting chained by Buthelezi later in the year. Although a

number of political differences emerged, the meeting decided to set up a Black

Unity Front. This front basically represented an attempt by class forces among

the Bant'ustan*leadership<^which did not' see their long term future* inC indepen5 *

dence, to forge an alliance with sections of the black urban petty bourgeoi sie.

However it proved-few-tee still born. By the BUF's inaugural conference in April

1977 Buthelezi's opportunism in Soweto (see p Otto) led to a boycott by most of

t.he urban "community leaders". Moreover, even the support among Bant ustan leaders

proved to be patchy. The conference held in Lebowa and opened by Pha tudi was

only strongly supported by Inkatha and was generally regarded as a flop.

Meanwhile as part of .the Inkatha leadership's efforts to promote their org ani-

zation on a national level, contacts had been established with the Labour and

Reform parties. After several preliminary meetings these three parties, e ven-

tually constituted themselves as the South African Black Alliance in Marc h 1970.

'Ku joll&uxA AU AU. VAacK r I am aWA ou /de.or ^ Vokt. avur J&sX*' «no .*jKjL.

/jPoABf\ defined its objectives as being "... to endeavour-to create a just

society

in South Africa .. [through] determinig a common strategy in struggle and uni-

fyong all black organizations", More specifically, the alliance pledged itsel

to "... prepare for a national convention representative of all South Africa to draw up a charter for a non racial constitution". The platter was clearly in tended

as a 'moderate' alternative to the Freedom Charter (see p); its envisaged

role being to' serve as the focus for a campaign (backed up, if necessary, by "in-

dustrial and consumer action") to "impress upon the white electorate their res-

ponsibility to force the government to negotiate".

However, in the event SABA palpably failed to emerge as a sufficiently b roadly

based alliance to undertake this project. Buthelezi immediately tried to us e

SABA as a base for ejrenewed effort to mobilise "anti independence" Ban tustan

leaders. These effort were eisa largely insuccessful, mainly bet^u^se mos t S'A.cly

"leaders" regarded SABA as too "radical". After making initial declarations of

support Ntwanwisi and Phatudi eventually decided against joining. A ple dge by a

meeting of 6 Bantustan "leaders" to send a delegation to a SABA meeting in Fe-

bruary 1979 has not honoured and the Dikwankwetla. Party of the Qwa Qwa bantusLan

(see p fitiO) which had joined in 1979, disaffiliated in 1981. / Only the

Inyandza National Movement of Enos5Mabuza of KaNgwane (see p (TGO) which

joined in 1978, has stayed with the alliance.

,<sisa

•es»-4«-48^©* SAB/yfailed to attract other urban based community or political

organizations. The alliance became a grouping of 4 parties: two (inkatha and

the; INM) based on Bantustans and two. (the Labour and Reform Parties] ! based on

"councils" imposed by the Apartheid regime on the so-called coloured and Indian

communities.

SABA confinement to this narrow political base led to the abandonment[^] of

its initial project to prepare for a national convention, This occured in

,*\o Jt

BA

1981 in the face pf a mass campaign/affirmysupport for the Freedom' Charter

and following the collapse in June of .preliminary discussions between S ABA.

the Progressive Federal Party, Azapo and the^fcen (see pp(TO\$, Cno'V Od©).

After the failure of the June meeting Inkatha announced...that it was abandoning

the idea of-a national convention. It has subsequently presented its "own" coN»s®cvaWor\aJ[

proposals for a "ee«Â«&l-3-4at'4&^ democracy" in the 1982. Report of it s Buthelezi Commission (see p <300).

This, setback has led to SABA being much less actively promoted in recent years. However, its member parties continue to meet. In the past these meetings have had some influence on the policy of individual affiliates. A SA

resolution-criticising the President's Council because it excluded Africans was clearly a significant factor in the eventual decision by the Labour and

Reform parties, not to 0 accept nominations to the Council. Similarly, the Reform Party's belated tactical withdrawal from the 1981 Indian Council e lection

(see p 0130) followed a SABA meeting in which the RP came under strong pressure

from other SABA affiliates anxious to avoid the effects of the anti-SAIC c am-

paign rubbing off on them. More recently, with both the KaNgwane and Kwazulu

Bantustan administrations affected, SABA meetings discussed the proposed

Ingwavuma-KaNgwane land transfer to Swaz.iland^S

July 1982 a number of small protest meeting against- the land deal were held

under the SABA banner. The decision in January 1983 by the Labour Part y to

put up candidates for the "coloured" chamber of the proposed three tier parlia-

ment (a decision strongly opposed by Buthelezi) led to the Labour Party(being

suspended from SABA

Chairman: Chief Gatsha Buthelezi.

The Media - Press and South African Broadcasting Corporation (SABC) (5)

The South African media consists of two major sectors; the privately owned press and the state owned broadcasting service.

Despite its widely promoted self image; the South African press is not a separate independent force in the political-ideological arena. Rather, dif

ferent groupings within the industry are linked to specific class forces en gaged in the wider struggle.

''•'.I

'The major division in the. press is between the so-called commercial pre ss on

the.one handy owned and controlled by capitalist and allied interests - an d

oh the other hand a range of progressive publications currently produced by

cdmmunity organisations, the labour movement and student groups, as well as

clandestine publications of the national liberation movement.

Within the commercial press there is a further broad division roughtly cor-

responding to language cleavages. The English language commercial press is

dominated by two conglomerates: South African Associated Newspapers/ and the I

Argus Group, "Both^linked to major non-Afrikaner monopolies. .Politically , these

groups strongly support capitalism but are critical, of certain aspects of A par-

theid policy. The Afrikaans language commercial press is also dominated by two

groups: . Nasionale Pers and Perskor. Nasionale Pers. is effectively controlled

by Saniam (see p 030) - and the leadership of-the Cape Nationalist party, and 14-

functions as the principal organ of the P.W.Botha faction of the Nationalis

Party (see p OOO). Perskor is linked to the leadership of the Traansvaal Natio-

nalist Party (traditionally more petty bourgeois-dominated and reactionary

than the Cape Party). The class conflits in the Nationalist Party and the eventual triumph of the monopoly-linked Botha faction have led to intens e com-

petition between the/major Afrikaans press groups.coupled with the defection

of large sections ofthe Transvaal readership to the right of the NP this has j created serious problems for Perkor.

In terms of circulation figures, it is clearly the commercial press (and within

, f that tho English language, comercial press) which dominates. This is part ly

because of the huge competitive advantages enjoyed by the capitalist owners

of these publications, but is also partly- the result of restrictions placed on progressive publications by the regime - ranging from outright banning s to

strict and costly/for registration. Further restrictions can be expected in the rs-sht future, following the February 1982 Report of the Steyn Commis-:

sion of Inquiry into the Media. .. j

V/ *

The state-owned South African Broadcasting Corporation has long been a

е

â— straight forward propaganda uhicle for the ruling Nationalist Party. In the 1960's it was a bastion of far-right fverkrompte) politics. It is now however a crucial vehicle in the mobilisation of "total resources", particularly those of psychology and propaganda^against what the Total Strategy of the Bo tha regime

labiLieis the "total onstaught" against apartheid South Africa.

I The Commercial Press

The current-' commercial press conglomerates came into existence through the

* merger and .take over of a number of individual newspaper companies f ormed in th.e

• case of the English language press since the mid nineteenth centur y and between

OAtA.

19l5\in the case of, the Afrikaans language press.

(1) The English commercial press; This i\$ dominated by two conglomerat es - The

Argus Group and South African 'Associated Newspapers', (SAAN). The Argus Groups

larged of the two. Its origins date back to the foundation of the "Cape,Arg us"

newspaper in 1857. Intensly pro-British and pro-imperialist from the outse t the;

K. J

Argus company was eventually taken over by a syndicate of rnini,g capital ists inclu-

ding cecil Rhodes. They used it as a base to launch another newspaper*' The Star,

in Johannesburg in 1899. Until 1931 all directors of the Argus Group wer e drawn

from two minig houses - 'ihe Corner House Group (now part of Barlow Rand sen p<7cTOj5

and Johannesburg Consolidated Investments (JCI - now controlled by Anglo American!,

(see p CThereafter a Voting Trust was set up in an attempt to still, the

gorwing criticism that the group's newspaper were the mere mouthpieces of foreign

minj^g capital. At the same timf-t the previous practice of recr-uiting edito rs and

senior journalists exclusively froAtEngland was abandoned. However, in terms of

effective control these changes were more cosmetic than real. Until 1949 the

Voting Trust consisted of two trustees - John Martin and Reginald Holland - both

former Chairmen of the Corner'House Group, After their deaths (in 1948 and 1949 '

respectively) a formula was devised under which half the directors were appointed

by a somewhat widened Voting Trust and half by the leading shareholder s. Through JCI

and various nominees. Anglo Anerican currently owns 28,02°/o of Argus' share capital;

/ • p.c>- CXn)-

the Argus pension fund .^4,91^'the Standard [^ank 10,05^ (see p CTCW)|SAAN in a cross

shareholding 6,94^and sA Mutual 8,53^ (see pCKTO). The group's major newspaper are

The Star ¹, The Argus "(Gape Town), the Daily News (Durban), Sunday Tr ibune (D-irban

Diamond Fields Advertiser, (Kimberley) The Friend (Bloemfontein) and P retoria News

plus Post Natal, The Sowetan, Ilanga and Cape Herald - the last four aimed at different sections of the black population. In addition to its public a-

ejtOAj-.

tions together with the food group, Pr'eraierJWzmzste&e the Argus group also con-

e land trols the Central News Agency* the monopoly distributor of English language land

magazine'

South African Associated Newspapers (SAAN) was formed in 1955 through the merger

of fjfand Daily Mail Ltd. and the Sunday Times Syndicate which then' cont rolled both

4Va-ffvvuAM

*'!ie Sunday Times and Sunday Express. The Rand Daily Mall, had been founded byS

Sir Abe Bailey, At- the time of the formation of SAAN it was

controlled by the trustees of Bailey's estate. The Sunday Times•syndicate was

. closely tied to the families of R.Ward Jackson and G.H. Kingswell, British and

Australian journalists who had come to South Africa with the objective of e stabli-

shing down-market "popular" newspapers.

*. mr--«

.The Bailey Trust remained the clearly dominant force within SAAN until 1962 when

the company became a public corporation quoted on the Johannesburg s tock exchange.

Thereafter Bailey's relative stake has progressively declined. In the late fe&s

and earlyWOs large blocs of SAAN shares were bought by the Argus group in an

ft-fe-feamp&flwl take over bid. This was however blocked by the intervent ion of Prime

Minister Vorster in the earlyfPOs who threatened legislation to prevent "monopo-

Ly control" being established over the English language "opposition" press.

•'.i:

Neverthless the Argus Group remains the largest single shareholder in S AAN, with

-39,397s of its share capital in 1981. Other major shareholder include A nglo Ane~

1 ' . aer taro- ce/i- > .

rican (through nominees) 20, 96k, and Nedbank 7,54%. (see p GxX>)-whii

e the Bailey j

"CCJ'Jt I •pJU" C-f-A x

Trust holds 8,21& and the editor of the Financial Mail, Stephen Mulhollan d. 1.18lb^

Major SAAN publications include The Rand Daily Mail, Sunday Times, S unday Express,

CapeJpLmes, Eastern Province Herald, The Evening PostfPort Elizabeth) and the influential business journal The Financial Mail.

In addition there are four important English language commercial newsp apers which

do not fall under either the Argus or SAAN groups. These are the Natal Mercury and

Natal Witness.. (Durban and Pietermaritzburg), the Daily Dispatch (East London) and

The Citizen (Johannesburg), The first two are owned by the same 'comp any based in

Pietermaritzburg whilst the Daily Dispatch has a complicated ownership s tructure

in which its editor and staff hold a large proportion of the shares. The Citizen

differs from all other English language commercial newspapers. It was set up in

1976. by the former state Department of Information in a secret project to develop

a conservative, "patriotic" English morning newspaper, after the Departments attempt

to take over SAAN failed. Both of these projects were fronted by NP indust rialist

Louis Luyt u.sing secret state funds. The uncovering of these projects by the

other English newspapers was a major factor provoking the 'Information Department

Sacandal* in 1978-9f following which the Citizen was bought by the Afrik aner

Perskor group, (wd-»4;h*>OHgh ^

With the exception of the Citizen* no English language commercial news pa-

per directly supports the Nationalist regime. Rather as their ownership patterns

suggest, they are supportive of monopoly capitalist class forces outside of the

governing party. They are all strongly pro-capitalist and "anti-communist",, $^{*}..\,^{'}$

yT" 11 * 1,""1." +-hn yirujnnl mf> fhn V.1 ncr. hoh-i Hlj fhTlfft^ They BTe

opposed to and cfb^n critial of specific aspects of Apartheid policy, such as job

reservation, "petty Apartheid", aspects of the Bantustan policy, blatant forms

of repression considered likely to provoke a response from the oppress ed masses

or criticism from abroad and, in the Total Strategy period, the slowness in acco-

modating a blade middle class'. An interesting indication of the basic political

stance of the liberal commercial press emerges from the fact that the Ran d Dailv

Mail coined the ~sloc(rj^ ' Adapt or Perish' in 1964, prefiguring the sloga n "Adapt

or Die" later adopted by P.W.Botha to promote the Total Strategy' .

i

In terms of party political allegiance most of the English language commer cial

press now supports the Progressive Federal Party (see ewfeapy OTTj) a lthough the

Natal Witness publications support the New Republic Party (see ont^y p 0 t)O) this

represents a change from the position prior to the collapse of the United Party

in 197? (see pdOOj, when only the Rand Daily Mall and the Dally Dispatc h - gene-

rally regarded as the most liberal bourgeois papers - clearly identified wit h-Pro-

gressive Party. Most of the others than supported either an undifferentiat ed par-

liamentary "opposition1^ or the United Party specifically. Given the extr eme weak-

ness of the parlamentary opposition the editor of the Sunday Times during r,his pe -

riod argued that the press itself jghould assume the functions of the loyal oppositi-

on. In some cases, the -^liberalism of certain editors allowed space for the

printing of occasional articles more "radial" than the general political stance of

the paper concerned. Furthermore, some papers have from time to time been willing

to enter into confrontation with government officials on specific issues. P erhaps

the best known example here was during the Muldergate scandal, when the role of cer-

tain English, language papers in publishing damaging material leaked to them by

anti-Mulder, factions in the Nationalist Party was crucial in the latter's eventual

defeat by the P.W.Botha faction (see p).

A further important factor accountig for the "anti-government" tone of so me

sections of the non-Afrikaans, commercial press is that both the Argus â €¢ and

SAAN groups have sought to expand their black readerships both on pur ely commercial

grounds and in order to counter more redical publications. Most major English

language papers now have "township editions" and the Dally Dispatch even has a

majority black readership. The Argus, group in particular produces a number of pu-

blications aimed exclusively at black readers. The most important of thes e The

Sowetan/ 'fjie most recent successor to The World which was itself set up in the <

1930s with the deliberate objective of combatting the influence of publications

emanating from the ANC and Communist Party. Although these publications consist#

largely*of sexs scandal and sport stories they are occasionally compelled to

permit certain community issues to be taken up.

However, there are definite 'limits to how far any of these publications is pre-

pared to go in allowing criticism of the Apartheid system of capitalist explo

tation based on national oppression. Extremely rare indeed are articles p or-

traying capitalists as anything other than enlightened benefactors of the commu-

nity as a whole.' Articles dealing with conditions of black workers in the mi

ning industry have been practically non-existent - not because they have not been

written t.a. cecause they have been spiked by editors anxious not to ant agonise

their major shareholders. Further more, where there have been conflicts between

the demands of investigative journalism (even on topics normally permitt ed) and

the demande of profitability, it is invariably the former which has to give way.

The best example of. this came in 1955 when the Rand Daily Mail publish ed a series

of articlesy©fr prison conditions. Ihe regime's initial response (prior to the

enactment of a law prohibiting the publication of all unapproved articles on

prisons) was to bring a costly prosecution using perjured witnesses. The response

of the directors of SAAN to this strategem was to warn its other papers no t to

print similar stories and to manoevre the dismissal of Laurence Gandar as editor.

Another slightly different incident also affecting the Rand Daily Mail, came in

1982 when the editor Allistair Sparks, who had followed a policy' of promoting

critical investigative articles, was dismissed in a bid to increase circulation

by giving more' emphasis to "popular" articles on sports, entertainment a na crime.

(2) The Afrikaans Language Commercial Press is dominated by two groups Nasio-

nale Pers Beperk and Perskor^ Both/closely connected to factions in the ruling

Nationally Party, There are also smaller companies which produce paper s supporting far right parties.

Nasionale Pers is the oldest of the Afrikaans language publishing companies.

It was formed in 1915 by the very same individuals who in the same year founded

the Nationalist Party in the Gape and who were to set up SANLAM three y ears later.

(see entries pp (X&M500). The group's first newspaper^' Die Burgher, be gan publica-

tion in the same year. Its first editor was D.F. Malan, Prime Minister from 1948

to 1954, who fgrsook his clergyman's pulpit for the more effective podium of the

editors chair. He became leader of the Cape NP at the same time. The groups next

paper Volksblad began'publishing in the Free State in-1917 and its third Die

Oosterlig first appeared in the Eastern Cape in 1937.

From the outset Nasionale Pers committed itself to the promotion of the variant

ofâ— Afrikaner nationalist ideology favoured by the agrarian capitalist and aspirant

fianancial capitalist class forces dominating the Cape Nationalist Party.

When the Nationalist Party split in 1934 over the formation of the fusior/'g over-

nment [see p (HTO") Nasionale Pers supported the Purified Nationalist Party led by

its first edito®., D«F. Malian.. In the following year in association with the

leadership of the Cape party .it took the .initiative, in_setting up Voortrek kerpers

<xs Akt <s^at^ -tU.Tt<wi vo-od. Kip iri the Transvaal, which began publishing Die Transv/aler/ in 1932. This represen-</p>

Nf -----^

ted a deliberate attempt by the Cape^pa**fey to counter what it saw as the republi

-can extremism of the petty bourgeois Broederbond leadership of the Tra

party (see p SCCt). However, this manoeuvre backfired whenH.F. Verwoe rdj sent

up >as the nominee of. Nasionale Pers and the Cape party to edit Die Tr ansvaler

\-----j

sided vyitii the leadership of. the Transvaal party, Nasionale Pers withdre w in

1939. and the papper was-placed under the effective political control of the

Transvaal NP.

After the coming to power of the Nationalist regime in 1948, Nasionale Pers, initially had extremely cosy relations with the government leadership.

 â- cularly under the premiership pf Malcjn 1948 to. 1954. Die Burger for instance â-

was the only paper permitted to^s-it--ir meetings of the NP parliamentary caucus.

However the intensification of conflict within the Afrikaner nationalist allia n-

ce in the 1950s led to, the dominance of the more petty bourgeois orient ed Trans-

vaal NP. Given its deep with the. larger Afrikaner capitalist grouping

Sanlam and the mn'-'e capitalist oriented Cape NP by the late 1950*s^ D ie Burger

become somewhat isolated from the dominant tendencies in the NP. In ti ie 19GC.

the paper had a major clash with the then Premier, Verwoerd, when it op posed

proposals to remove coloured representatives from parliament. This led t o an

oft quoted retort from Verwoerd that the whites could defend themselves without

the support of any other population group. When the verligte / verkrampte split

came out into the open (see p<yA°t)), the Nasionale 13ers groups clearly i dentified

-\U- ttvc^cr " ---- - •

itself as a verlighte force. In 1965, against the express instructions of P rime

Minister Verwoerd, it launched a verligte Surtday newspaper Die Beeld in the

Transvaal in direct competition with tha-flmalH-fen the Transvaal1 in'iria ipegiyncornpg-

with the Sunday paper of Northern Afrikaner nationalism Daabreek. Die Beeld

broke new ground in Afrikaans journalism.' It was explicitly used to "let the

voice of the Cape be heard in the Transvaal and began an unprecedent campaign

.» *

of exposes of the far-right " Hertzog group" in the NP. These exposes tog ether

with the furious circulation battle which developed between Die Beeld a nd Dagbreek,

were a major intensifying the verligte/verkrampte schism in the late 1960 * s and

the eventual split of the Herstigte Nasionale Party (HNP) in 1959 (see p (F&XD)â-

The success of P-:e- Beeld forced the northern Afrikaner press group, Pe rskor

to enter into an agreement with Nasionale Pers to merge Pie Bgeld and



o*y ^-vT'V.d ^

1

'.into the jointly-owned Rapport in 1970. Rapport followed basically the same

line as Die Beeld, Vith the fresh intensification of factional struggles.in the

NP in the mid 1970s, Nasionale Pers again intervened in the Transvaal. In 1975

it set up a new morning daily call{cje(. Beeld with the explicit aim of drivin g,

oASO

Perskor1s Pie Transvaler out-of the market. Like its predecessor, Beeld J teo-

basically propaganted the line of the P.W.Botha faction of the NP. Its circular-

tion battle with Die Transvaler was also a significant factor in the resurge n-

ce of factionalism in the NP in the late 1970s. Eventually in early 1983, D ie

Transvaler was forced to withdraw from the morning daily market resulting

in a complete triumph for Nasionale Pers - and P.W. Botha's faction in the

Nfp, .

V-/ * '

Ιâ-,

Currently' Nasionale Pers* most important newspaper are Die Burger, Be eld

Rapport, (in association with Perskor) and Die Oosterlig., It also produce s a

number of entertainment magazines including some aimed ""at black rea derships, and

retail outlets, including the Via Afrika ohain operating in seve

ral Bantustans as well as Botswana and Lesotho.

The other major Afrikaner press group? '{ihe Transvaal- based Perskor, was formed

in the late 60s through the merger of the two existing Transvaal-based Afrikaans

newspaper groups, Afrikaanse Pers (1962) Beperk and Voortrekkerpers.

Afrikaanse Pers was fovined in 1931 by the then Prim&JVlinister and lead er of

the Nationalist Party, General J.B.M.Hertzog. Its published an afternoon daily,

Die Vaderland. Afrikaanse Pers^-^e fusion of the Nationalist and South African

Parties in 1934 (see pfftrti). After the 'reunification' <gf the Nationalist Party in 1940 (see p 0t5£>) control over the Afrikaanse Pers passed through

the Hertzog trust to N.C. Havenga, leader of the small Afikaner Party. During the M40s Afri-

urv.nL "-

kaanse Pers became a refuge for a number of figures associated^the para -military

and pró-Nazi Ossewajfrandwaa. In 1947 a group of these^led by Marius Jooste and

P.J.M@yer; formed Dagbreekpers, as a vehicle for their reintegration into the

mainstream Nationalist movement. Dagbreekpers took over 1Sondagnuus ', launched

only 6 months earlier by Afrikaanse pers and relaunched it as Sunoay we ekly'* Eag-^-

break en Sondagnuus . In 1962"Yunder effective Qagbreek control, as Af rikaanse

Pers (1962) Beperk. '

The origins of the other component of Perskor, Voortrekker Pers have al ready been

discussed in the section on Nasionale Pers. After the withdrawal of Nasionale

Pers in 1939, the Board of Voortrekker Pers -esaae was dominated by the leadership c

the Transvaal NP, with the Transvaal leader serving as chairman.

Perskor was formed in the late 60s in an attempt by the two Transvaal groups

h each other 5'!'V£Â£'vvfc-

si«G©-&te flatter an afternoon and Sunday paper, jaaasssaisJBaKa iagH^®!9®*1^^

f«w»e»~f*ape«i. However, each faced growing pressure from the verligte Cape-

-based -group trying to break into the Transvaal. Although Perskor continued

until the Muldergate scandal (see p CO©) with the Voortrekker Pers trad ition

of appointing the leader of the Transvaal Nationalist Party as chairman of its

board, the leading force within the group has undoubtedly been the Dagb reek

Trust dominated, until his death in October* by Marius Jooste.

Despite the greater resources made available by this merger, Perskor has

not been able to insulate the Transvaal papers from the effects of the progres-

sive break up of .the Afrikaner nationalist class-aliiance. the group was b adly

" - i fAvtXde*-

affected by the .Muldergate pcandalg-* fas leader of the iransvaal party*/

A-

was a one time chairman of the Perskor board^ and the scandal revealed that

Perskor had inflated the circulation of its papers to the. Audit Bureau of C ircu-

lation >- widely used in the placement of advertising revenue. This led to a

multimillion Rand suit against Perskor' by Nasionale Pers. The triumph of the

P.W.Botha faction in the NP in 1978 also resulted in Perskor- losing a number

of highly profitable government printing contracts to Nasionale Pers, Moreover,

Psrskor has also been caught in a classic crisis of indecision in the face of increased competitive pressures from Nasionale Pers on the hand,

and clear indications that a large section of its own petty bourgeois reade rship

are increasingly turning to parties to the right of the Nationalist Party, on .

the other. This emerged clearly in September 1982 when Willed de Klerk was dismissed as editor of the Transvaler by Jooste. De Klerk, a well known ve

rligte

who in fact first coined the terms verligte and verkrampte, had been brought

in some years earlier in an attempt to offset the inroads made by Beeld.

His

dismissal was widely interpretted as the prelude to a"sharp change in direction

in which the Transvaler largely for commercial reasons would taken an in creasin-

gly Conservative Party line. Jooste1s/however gave rise to a sharp strugg le in

Dagbreek Trust. Eventually.a "moderate" faction won out and entered neg otiations

with Nasionale Pers., These resulted in Perskors1 agreement to withdraw Die Trans-

valer from the /me%rirr§ daily market in return for an end to the civil act ion

brought against it by Nasionale Pers â— English language newspapers have commen-

ted on the "total triumph" of this "total onslaught" on Perskor.

Another millstone around the neck of the group is the English language

Citizen, launched in 1976 in conjunction with the Department of Information

after the latter had failed to take over SAAN. Despite being financed by a

F} 12 million state hand-»out, subsequent identification as piece of the disgraced- Department has badly affected its credibility) ^d-%^-^;4,!4&F--^CrjJ.ca;jng oumX ' iV is AOir W b-*-

QA-lvJur C\ V r\.V.O.0J\S

I newspapers*. In addition to the Nasionale Pers and Persic or papers, a number

of other Afrikaans newspapers are published by smaller companies. The most

important of these are: Die Afrikaner, the official organ of the Herstigte

Nasionale Party - published by St&ydpers; and Die Konservatiuf/The Conserva-

tive, the bilingual organ of the Conservative Party.

II The Progressive ' Press.

No attempt will be made here to provide a comprehensive guide to progressive

publications, ndr to trace the history of the progressive press in South Afri

ca. It is sufficient merely to note that both .the Communist Party and the ANC/Congress Alliance (see pp *3TTO) produced a range of publication s before

their banning. Best known was the New Age weekly, banned in 1962. Cur rently

the organizations of the liberation movement produce for circulation und erground

such journals as Sechaba^. The African Communist, Dawn and Workers Unity (SACTU

A number of publications linked to the trade union movement have also emer-

ged. The most comprehensive of these is the quarterly South African Lab our Bul-

letin. -Individual unions and federations also produce their own newspape rs,^.

WyUod)vaU-0.<.^*.i fvCfrt \-G CkAU V\ v

also

A number of community newspapers have Jappeared tte"ps¥^r®â,¬t~s ince 1976. The

best known of these is the Cepe Town based monthly Grassroots. The st udent

press, after undergoing a.major transformation since the midl^Os has als o emer-

ge as a significant force in progressive publication* SASPU National is on e of

the best sources of regular news on developments in the democratic movement.

Finally, mention should be made of the journal Work-in Progress, providing •

one of the best informed analyses of recent developments.

Ш	State	Intervention	and	Control	
	Olulo		uiiu	COLLIGO	

The existance of progressive publications challenging the Apartheid syst em plus

sections of the liberal English language commercial press opposing certa in

aspects of government policy, have prompted numerous interventions by the Natio-

nalist regime to control or restrict the press.

Prior to 1948 state control over publications was principally exercised through

the common law (which forbade the publicationjof "libellous" or "obscene " mate-

rial) and the 1930 Riotous Assemblie.a-Act which prohibited the publication of

"material likely to have the effect of undermirrig the security of the state o

engendering feelings of hostility between Black and White persons".

The first additional controls were imposed by the Nationalist regime in the

1950 Suppression of Communism Act, allowed the Minister to ban any publication

```
-- - ----- - .. nv
```

considered to be "furthering the aims of communism". Anars, was used to ban

the Congress alligned newspaper, The Guardian (which promptly reappe ared under

•the names Mew Age, Clarion and Spark each banned in succession). In order to

prevent any reocurrence of this episode the Act was amended in 1962 to prevent

any newspaper from registering under more than one name. Proprietors of any

new newspaper were obliged to deposit with the Minister of the Interior s uch

amount not exceeding R20.000, as the Minister might determine - a clau se which

has acted as a major barrier to the formation of community and progress ive-news-

papers ever since, . The Minister was also given powers to refuse the registra-

tion^ Of any newspaper he considered likely to be banned.

```
<xW'rC/
```

After the prison conditions expose mentioned^the Prisons Act made i^Tan offen-

se 'to photograph or sketch any prison or publish "any false information" about prison conditions.

A more comprehensive attempt 8p^j3ontrali±Â »g publications came wit h the 1963

Publications and Entertainments • Act. This set up a Publications Cont rol Board

(replaced in 1974 by a Directorate of Publications) with wide powers to c ensor

booksj films, magazines and other publications. However, members of the

е

Newspaper Press Union (NPU to which belong all major' English and Afrikaans lan-

guage commercial newspapers) were exempted from the provisions of the Act after

agreeing to draw up their own "self censorship" Code of Conduct. The NPU Code

of Conduct, rejected by the SA Society of Journalists and only accepted under

protest.^ by SAAN, requires inter alia, thatV "Comment should take due cognisan-

ce of the complex racial pr blems of South Africa and take into account the

general good and safety of the country and its people". Frequent complaints*

I; ':p ^ C£TiS>e**KtJp(t/irHo.'jArM

and threats by government officials that the NPU members were^following the ^

1976 uprisings, led to an amendment of the NPU code. In 1977 the NPU established

an "independent" Press Council consisting of with greater powers to "police" the industry.

an "independent" Press Council consisting of a retired judge and two ass essors^

Despite, this, a number of further controls and restrictions have been imposed

on the press by the Botha regime. In 1979 the Advocate General Act was passed.

This was a clear attempt to prevent anyone doing to the Botha faction what it hat

done to its opponents during the Muldergate scandal, It provided for the appoin-

tment of an Advocate General, to investigate any allegations "regarding the

m- ' dishonest use of State monies". During such an•investigation publishe d com- '

ment is prohibited and the report of the Advocate General^recommend prohibition

of the publication of information considered prejudicial to state security.

In 1979 the regime also appointed a Commission chaired by Judge M.T.S teyn to

make recommendations on, inter alia, "ways of reconciling, without detriment

'to the State: on the one hand the interests of the news media in informin

the public..

5?Dn the.-; other hand the interests of the State ... which require that ne wswortly

information should sometimes not be known'.'

The Steyn report was published in February 1982. It argued that the pres s'

generally "lacked professionalism" and was "over politicised", while some

sections, noteably the English language press, displayed "undue negativ ism"

towards "persons in authority". "The situation is even more infortunate",

- wrote .Steyn, "as overseas attacks on South Africa, including ANC propaganda,

are inspired to no small extent by reports and comments emanating from the

English language newspapers". The Commission said of the Afrikaans I anguage

newspapers that "...though supporting the government and ruling party on most

fundamental issues.... are no longer mere party organs. They indeed play

an ever more important role in educating the Afrikaner on economic and labour

reforms". .However, they had not in the past been sufficiently "aware" of the

"aspirations of the black community^ and "their challenge'in. the future" was

to "promote moderation in South Africa". ~

The Commission concluded that the strategic objective ought to be to est ablish

a "moderate" press in South Africa broadly supportive of the programme of the

Botha regime. There would be no place in such a press it suggested for t he -

"extremes" of the HNP organ Die Afrikaner on the right or the Argus-own ed

Sowetan oh the •f.eft1 . The commission thus recommended inter alia t hat a regis-

ter of journalists be established. Only registered journalists would be perm itted to write for registered newspapers. No person^ who had ever been convicted

of "subversion" would be permitted to register as a journalist. In addition, a
 Code of Conduct for journalists should be drawn up.

Another recommendation (which the Commission freely acknowledged ori gina-

ted with Nasionale Pers) was that the leading shareholders in the Argus group

and SAAN 'be required to divest themselves of their monopoly control within

three years. No equivalent recommendation was however made in respect of

SANLAM'S position in Nasionale Pers. Finally, it urged that the state revamp its information and public relations bodies to create a better relationship between government and press,

• ko&

By the end of 1982 no legislatiorj/emerged from the Steyn Commission report.

The regime kas apparently opted for a tactic of negotiation and discussion with bodies like the Newspaper Press Union to see how much 'it can first get

them to accept "voluntarily".

IV The South African Corporation fSABC-]

«

The other key component in the South African media, the SABC controls radio

and television services. In formal legal terms it is an independent state corporation with its own statute, board of governors and policies. Formed

in the 1920s, throughout its first thirty years of existence the SABC main-

tained a relative balance between the different bourgeois factions and par

ties in its presentations of news, information and entertainment. However

under successive NP regimes -since 1948, and in particular following the

appointment of Broederbond Chairman^Dr. P.J.Meyer as Director General in

1958, it became a highly partisan vehicle for the propagation of the curren t

line of the Nationalist Party. Dissenting views, even those of the ineffectual parliamentary opposition, were systematically denied any platform in

the broadcasting media. With the attempt of the Bctha regime to widen its

political base after 1978, this "slanting" of the news has changed slightly and more time is now given to the views of the official parliamentary oppo si*-

tion. However the SABC is firmly closed to political views outside the narrow range of parliamentary politics, and is a crucial veh-de for. the propagation of the "total onslaught" hysteria of the Botha regime

The basic services of the SABC today cover radio and television broadca s' ting. However for many years the corporation firmly resisted the intro-

ang. However for many years the sorporation minny resisted the

diction of television. Its radio broadcasts were divided into separate English and Afrikaans services until the early 1950s when a bilingual Co m-mercial Channel (Springbok Radio) was introduced. The late 1950s and early 1960s saw the establishment of broadcasts in various African languages under the "Radio Bantu" rubric, consisting of bland musical programmes and pro-regime propaganda. The establishement of Radio Bantu coincided with the intro*-

duction of Frequency Modulation (FM) broadcasting in the early 1960s,, T his

"technical innovation" had a highly political purpose. In an era of intense anti-apartheid struggles and the independence of. much of Africa from formal

colonialism, the /Apartheid regime feared that South African blacks would be

incited by foreign (and particularly African) news broadcasts. The introduction

of the aggresively publicised. FM'services went hand in hand with the promotion of

relatively cheap, single-charinel FM receivers incapable of receiving foreign

short-wave broadcasts, thus barricading South Africa's oppressed popula tion^

behind a wall of. misinformation and propaganda about South Africa' and the world.

The introduction of FM also- saw the establisment of. local musical commercial

channels, aimed al.white listeners, and likewise trivialising news and informa-

•• tion. In 1965 external broadcasting in several languages was int roduced. This

"Radio.RSA" was explicitly seen as .the regime's external propaganda w eapon.

Directed mainly at Africa, it seeks to present an idyllic picture of life in "developed" and "stable" South Africa, compared with "underdevelopme.it" and

"instability" in the rest of Africa. Like all SABC services, Radio RSA active

ly promotes the view that the problems of Africa in general and South Africa in

1 particular are all the result of the "total onslaught" against South Africa,

ı

inspired by the -"imperialist" Soviet Union. An important sub-theme is constant

reference to the "decadence" and alleged lack of political will in the major

Western powers in the face of this "Soviet threat".

These themes were taken up with a vengeance by the television service^, introdu-

ced after much internal conflict in 1976 (see below). There are currently three

television channels in South Africa, one for whites (balancing English and Afrika

 \hat{A} « ans programmes) and .two- for blacks. The introduction of television, and the

heightened technical and artistic demands of this medium over the relatively

simple one of radiojhas forced the SABC to move awayTrom its often lau

ghably

Č^UjJe propaganda slant of the 1960s, and develop a more-sophisticate d approach.

Neverthless television is now perhaps the most important medium in the propagatior

of the "total onslaught" ideology of the regime. It has isolated white South Afr:

 \hat{A} «cans even further from any anti-Apartheid world view and source of information.

i

Given the SA'BC's'partisan position and crucial role in the dissemination of in-

• formation, it has inevitably featured centrally in emerging conflits with in

the Nationalist Party since 1948. In the 1950s the most reactionary NP e lements.

roughly grouped around Dr. H. F. Verwoerd, fought to replace the pro-Na tiona-

list but neverthless relative unpartisan Gideon Roos as Director General . When

Verwoefd became Prime Minister in 1958, one of his first acts was to insta II

the Broederbond Chairman P.J. Meyer as DirectoSlGeneral of the SABC . Immedia-

tely the SABC became the central propaganda vehicle in the NP* s strugg le to

declare South Africa a republic. The control over crucial policy decisions now rested very frimly within the Broederbond (see p <XCJO)â-

Qjring much of the 1960s the SABC was a major base for the extreme rig

; in the Afrikaner nationalist class alliance (of whom Verwoerd .was the acknou?-

ledged leader). The responsible Minister (and leading theoretician of this far right) was Dr. Albert HertzQg - who was later to lead the breakaway fa

right Herstig-te Nasionale Party (HNP - see p). Director General Meyer was another prominent philosopher of the far right and until the HNP split was

regarded as a leading member of the far right "Hertzog group". Under Hertzog

and Meyer the SABC not only vigourously propagated full blooded Verwo erdian

Apartheid policies, but also a narrow Calvinistic moral philosophy.

Among other things this led to a long standing refusal to countenance the introâ..¢ '

duction of television - on-the grounds that it would inevitably lead to cont ami-

nation by "l'iberalistic" and/or "communist"ideas.

With the split in the NP in September 1969 and the formation of the HNP by Hertzog,

the SABC again became centrally involved in these struggles. Giv/en his position

as Chairman of the Broederbond (clause 6 of whose constitution forbids " party

politics" in the organisation), Meyer was unable personally to support the HNP

despite a strong affinity with its politics. Prime Minister Vorster thus skill-fully used the arch-verkrampte Meyer and the SABC in an extended witch unt

against the HNP. As a result, although Meyer remained as director genera luntil

1976, and as such supervised the introduction of the television services

resisted, he himself was politically largely discredited and the Corporation was

- • ^firmly in the hands of the Vorster "centrists" in the NP.

With the adoption of the "Total Strategy" of the new Botha regime in 1978 s the

SABC became a crucial medium in the attempt to inculcate in the white pu

blic a "total onslaught" hysteria. It top management has-been systematically inf iltrated by supporters of the Botha faction of the NP. The Directory-Genera I desig-

nated in early 1983, Riaan Eckstein, is a former South African Ambassado r to the

United Nations and a close confidant both of the Prime Minister and Fore ian

Minister Pik Botha. 'Its programmes likewise reflect_and justify the increased

militarisation of the South African state. Particularly notable in the post \$.6, 1978 period has been an increase in the number of Programmes designed to boot

morale within the armed forces and present the Defence Force's own self image to the public. ___

South African Institute of Race Relations (SAIRR) (6)

A .liberal research body and pressure group whose basis philosophy, is that:

"In South Africa more ill is wrought lack«Â£/understanding than through i

will". In accordance with this premise the Institute has dedicated itself, since its. foundation in 1929, to research aimed at improving knowledge about

the conditions of blacks in_South Africa. Although from the outset a multi-

-racial body, the Institute's research has largely been, directed at influen-

cing decision makers within the ruling, class in the hope that these will ac

to defuse potential" "racial conflits". perhaps its best known publication is the annual Survey of Race Relations in South Africa. In addition to its publications programme, it also organizes seminars, discussion groups and lectu-

res and occasionally gives evidence- to government commissions.

The SAIRR was founded in 1929 by a small group of liberal intellectuals and

professionals led by J. D.Rheinallt Jones. It grew out of the "European"1**"Bantu

w

Joint Council" movement which developed at the beginning of the 1920s in direct

response to a perceived increase in "racial tension" - manifest in such- e vents

•I

as the 1920 African mine workers1strike, the 1921 massacre by government troops

of a religious group at Bulhoek, and various forms of resistance by blacks

against the imposition of. segregation measures in the major towns. Two American

educationalists then visiting South Africa proposed to local liberals that as

an "experment in improving race relations",' inter-racial bodies be formed along

the lines of inter-r-racial commissions established in a number of towns in the

Southern USA during and after World War I. By the end of the 1920 joint councils

were functioning in most major towns. The founding of the Institute of Ra

ce

Relations was financed by grants from the Carnegie Corporation and Phe lps -

Stokes Fund in the United States. It represented an attempt to coordinat e and

spread on a national level the work begun in the joint councils.

This was an important milestone in the development of South African libe ra-

lism, refecting a break from the ideology of segregation. Prior to the mid 1920s many prominent liberal intellectuals supported "fair" segregation po li-

cies which, in particular would create sufficient opportunities for "ambitiou s

blacks" to "better" themselves in the reserves. However, after the installation

of the Pact regime (which a number of liberals had initially welcomed) many of

them came to regard an increasing number of segregation measures, part icularly

those relating to job reservation, as placing "ambitious blacks" under pre ssures

which Could drive them to take up nationalist and anti-capitalist positions.

To avoid this potential danger the capitalist ruling class/accomodate and seek an alliance with a black middle class outside of the reserves even if this meant allowing the "vanguard of. the black population" to overtake the

"rearguard o'f the whites*; Although the SAIRR statutes defined it

as an organization "tied to no political creed" and committed to taking "du e account ... of opposing views earnestly and sincerely held", over the year s it in fact provided an important platform for the propagation -of this brand of liberalism. . - •

The Institute's basic modus operand! derived from an analysis well expressed

0

by its founder, Rheinallt Jones: "In the Book of Proverb®s there is an a pho-

rism which those who are in positions if authority may well keep ever in m ind:

f «<r.__

prince that laqketh understanding is an oppressor always?, "in South Africa more ill is wrought lack of understanding than through ill will'.' Accordingly

â- the Institute defined its role as being to work for better 'race relations' in

South Africa-through research armed at "improving understanding". It so ught

particularly-to influence uiteM decision makers in the state or private sector on "race relations" problems. .Over the years it has produced nu merous

publications and organized seminars, discussion groups and various inter-racial

contact meetings. It has also regularly monitored government legislation'

carried out a number, of welfare and educational programmes. Finally it has ser-

verd as a consultant on "race relations" questions to a number of capitalis t enterprises. . .

During the period of the United Party government (1933-1948) the SAIR R had some influence on a number of legislative measures. Segregation of course re

mained official state policy. However, the Institute's recommendation that "hurtful ^" and overtly discriminatory clauses in bills ought to tie minimised in favour

of an approach which, "where barriers are inevitable...based j[them| on some principle other than colour - the fixing of minium wage rates etc"., was ad opted in a number of laws of the time - notably in the 193^Industrial Concilia

tion Act, During this period, the Institute also came to play

a'personnel management consultancy role for a number of large corporat ions.

Rheinallt Jones, for example, became an adviser on "native affairs" to the Anglo

American Corporation.

With the coming to power of the Nationalist Party in 1948 the Institute's in fluen-

ce -in government circles clearly ended. In fact the Broederbond had in 1947

set up the South African Bureau of Racial Affairs (SABRA - see entry p OTTO)

as a pro-, Apartheid alternative to what it called "the leftist -inclined" SAIR R.

S
Under the Nationalist regime SABRA rather than the Institute of Race Rel ations
served as the "independent" consultant ar>d outside "think tjrj^k" for go vernment
on "racial policy". The Institute's reaction to the advent of the Nationalist regime and decline in its own direct influence, was to expand its research

activities in the general expectation that one'day, somehow^the ^objectiv e truth® would triumph

During this period the SAIRR began publishing its annual Survey of Race Rela *"*

tionsj' which, in the absence of any alternative, serves as a standard reference

• work. ' ' \ . \

During the Total Strategy period of Apartheid, the Institute, has organized a number of seminars and conferences on the theme of "change" in South A frica.

beefa~madc ua^'gonuineiiiwforffliq-, pfa-mi.ng whi r.h, whi 1 st f al 1 n nn..-Fan.,ghnjd^-ji£L-Qnfi.-paaawm"i'" nni»"-Tm'fe*j-w,'m i'1-ri' iiwaJ up

QB4^e^sa£u^^af-JXU3suJ.±^ddJDC--aod-In addition, the Institute has also become involved in administering a number of bursaries on

behalf of private companies - as part of the accelerated drive to train mor e

blacks to fill the growing shortage of technical and managerial labour pow er.

-J-

A consultative body to which are affiliated the vast majority of organised Christian groups in South Africa, with the significant exception of the Roman Catholic Church and the three white Dutch Reformed Churches (the black

Reformed churches are members of the SACC). In 1975 some 23 denominations had

joined V.iu SACC, representing collectively a claimed 13 million Christian s.

Since r jate 19S0s the Council has taken an increasingly strong position againt. tile Apartheid state, and has been threatened by the regime on a number

of occasions. •

The SACC began life as the Christian Council of South Africa, a body which

mainly represented the white leadership of the major establisment churc hes

(excepting the- Catholic and Dutch Reformed). In 1958 it changed its na me

. to the South African Council of Churches and adopted the controversial "Mes-

sage to the People of South Africa". This marked the beginning of its sus-

tained opposition to the /'partheid state. The Message, stated that "Aparth eid,

with its attendant hardships, was do

The following year, the Council initiated the Study Programme on Christia nity

in an Apartheid Society (Sprocas), to study the practical implications of the

Message. Eventually Sprocas produced a series of books setting out its view

of the way to a "just" society in South Africa.

In the 1970s, the previous white leadership of the SACC was gradually replaced

by blacks, and the Council initiated "positive action" to achieve a just society. It began to support "Black Theology" as a way to "free .toe man of Africa from his inferiority". By 1974 the Council was beginning to support •

moves to- urge white Christians not to fight in "unjust wars", such as one in

defence of 'Apartheid, and to provide support for consciencious objectors to

military services. It also opposed foreign investment in South Africa.

Bishop Desmond Tutu was elected Secretary-General of the Council in 1978.

Under his leadership SACC took up'i «to>M stronger anti-Apartheid posit

ions.

Member churches were urged to "withdraw from cooperation with the stat e as

far as possible", and work out"strategies of resistance". At its 1980 congress, the SACC adopted the Freedom Charter (see p (JVC) as its p rogramme of

a just society, called for the release of Nelson Mandela, and the end to Ap ar-. theid. It refused to condemn violence "executed in pursuance of a just soc iety".

The Council, also resolved to withdraw its support from organisations like the

Urban Foundation (see p) "likely to promote class'divisions" amongst the oppressed population.

ctrinej truly hostile to Christianity".

The increasingly radical stance of the Council has led to confrontation wit h the state. On a number, of occasions government ministers have warned that action will be taken against the. churches if they fail to obey the law.'

A number of individual churchemen hav-i been banned, or deported, or had their passports removed etc. Most recently the state has alleged gross misuse of funds within the SACC and fees* set up a Commission of Enquiry into its finances. -j $\hat{a} \in \hat{a} \in \hat{a}$

Prominent officials

Bishop Desmond. Tutu' - Secretary-General Rev. Peter Storey - President.

Each, of the organizations dealt with in' this chapter produces its own publications* ^n addition their activities are covered in the pressfor the most convenient sotirces see &1TC Weekly ITewsbriefinrrs and S outh

African Pressclips (produced by Barry Streek, Cape Town)* See also the

South African Institute of Race Relations Survey of Race _ elations in South Africa* Johanne sburg, SAIRR, annual*

1* Sou.thai: ", lezi-t Inkatha arid the Politics of Compromise",

j'30,3210 October 1981*

Southall R* • " ezi Commission Report: Consolidation,- Consociation

-Collaboration"Paper presented to Social Science Research Council, Conference on South iifrica in the comparative study of Race', .Class and nationalism, New York, September 1982*

The' African Communist 81 2nd Quarter 1980 "Who does Buthelezi Speak For"*

The Star 23/9/1981.."

ftC«Â»v.tt.KiKonoi tseciS'sn" Oovk UA A

% ^TC Weekly I-Tewsbriefin~s *------

South African Institute of aace Relations op cit*

30 ibid.

4. ibid

•i

50 -ee "General" above j,

. Ainslie R, The Press in Africa

Pienaar S. 0-etnie van ^root Tyet Cape '^own, "Tafelberg, 1979»

Po tt er E * The Press as. Opposition; The Political Role,, eft South African newspapers« London*, oT atto^'an'd -VincEis*, 19f5*

Report of the Commission of Inauiry(in.to the Mass Medi.a

(Steyn Commission), Pretoria, 1982*

Serfontein H« Pie Verkrampte. Aansla/;, Cape Town, Human and Rous seau,

1970.

South' African Institute of Race Relations Laws Affecting Race Relation:

in South Africa, (to the end of 19765» Johannesburg:» -

SAIRR, 1970*

vA^sionoJef^vs 30 Keeromstraat, Cape Town, Nasionale Pers, 1965*

See also the various newspapers cited in the entry* itf *lieIlmann ~E* led). Handbook on Race Relations in South Africa, Cape

Town," teTol^t^nl~a,,¢f si ty "Press,"T~

v 'â- f Z? <*

South African institute of ace Relations Survey, of. Racei Re.la.tiops

jn South A.frlcs.tJolianresbur ~* 3A1RR, annual*

The Institute also produces numerous other publications*

7 a South African Council of Churches Message to the People of South.

Africa, 1968*

Reports of the Six Study Project on Christianity in Apartheid uociety (SPROCAS) Commissions, the final report was entitled Power« Privelege, aiid Poverty, Johannesburg,R<xoav\ Pv*«.*s 1S72*

South African Institute' of Race delations op cit*