```
; Fresxdent Mr P W '1
Botha, needed m:
black support far â\200\230m
n the support of -
splinter right-wing
groups to bring about changes which would
break the increasing vio-
he had to a\200\234move boldlya\200\235
if he wished to eliminate
~the »p§qsent climate of -
uncertain ty in which ex-
mist politics thrives.
The committee also
warned that there mlght'
be less time than gener-
gotiated future for the
country and urged Mr
a\200\230Botha to ensure that the
proposed National Sta-
Governmentâ\200\231s search
whlte pnvxleges
Fnll backxng w
\hat{a}200\230given for the call byl
\hat{a}200\230katha president Chi
Mangosuthu Buthelezi
for the release of Nelson
Mandela and the com-
ANC leader has shown
toward Chief Buthelezi.
lence in the country and
ally perceived for a ne-
tutory â\200\230Council was not:_
â\200\234']ustanmstrumentmthe E
for another form o:f â\200\231_
mittee noted the cons:s-r{ :
```

tent sense of brother-

 $hoodâ\200\235$ that the jailed

_weto Day memor $\hat{a}\200\2301'$

ceremonies Wwere oon-' cerned, the Inkatha cen-

tralcommltteesaldthey, 2

were appalled at the ex-

tent the ANC, UI?SF Cosatu were claim

the day as their own exclusive polmcal pro- \circ

perty.

The committee urged '

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ blacks not to be intimidated by these gwups

and resolved to remmd
black Sou s of
the funlity of becemmg :

cannon fodder for the

glonfication of self-

styled leaders pursuing

narrow party pO litical ends $-\hat{a}\200\224$ Sapa :

Cu'cumstances are responsable for

the masks many black leaders in

South African history have worn in Rl

their dealings with colonial rulers, with the white government after Union, and even in playing off their position between the tribal leaders - and their people. In a difficult world $a\200\234$ restricted or clashing:authority, it is the politiciana $200\231$ s way to survival.

This is the theme 'of Shula

Marksâ\200\231s perceptive study of three

black leaders in Natal $\hat{a} \geq 00 \geq 224$ Solomon ka Dinizulu, John Dube and George Champion. Each had a role to play that involved asserting hunself as well as deferring.

Dinizulu had been exiled to St
Helena for treason in the clashes
between the Zulus and the British in
â\200\230the second half of the 19th century,
but the British believed he could be
restored as chief in the 1890s once
Zululand had been locked into the
~ colonial economy.

Rather than be their pawn, he built a $a\200\234$ pageantry of royalty $a\200\235$ protectively around him. And his Usutu 'kraal at Nongoma became $a\200\224$ as the Native Commissioner saw it $a\200\224$ a\200\234a place of intrigue and a place of refuge for all those fleeing from $a\200\230$ authority $a\200\235$. Though the authorities

in Natal did not like it, it was also not possible for them to accede to

. settler requests that the royal

family be destroyed. Instead they sought to manipulate Dinizulu, just

as he was seeking to mampulater

them.

John Dube, for his part, as leader of the educated Christian community among blacks in Natal,

_ rose to prominence in the SA Native

Congress (later the ANC) and used his = influence as editor of the newspaper he founded, Ilanga lase Natal.

PROVOCATION

He was viewed at one time by whites in Natal as a provocation . and a challenge, but later was

regarded as the voxce of $a\200\234$ responsible native opinion $a\200\235$.

But that very role involved Dube in a $a\200\230$ balancing act with his own constituency $a\200\224$ the clergy, the

clerks, interpreters and teachers (a
' group somewhat alienated from

normal Zulu tribal life, but under

- pressure from white segregatlomst

ideology) \hat{a} \200\224 who expected him to

use his posmon to advance their causes.

He, too, turned to the Zulu royalv

family for strength. The ambiguity of his situation was that he had simultaneously to espouse liberal and missionary norms against

- settler nationalism, while calling on

the masses and defending his

' position against them on the other.

George Champion, described by Margery Perham as the $a\200\234$ arch agitator of the Union $a\200\235$, was $a\200\230$ the forerunner of today $a\200\231$ s more militant black trade unionists. His popularity

stemmed from early successes in - fighting for worker rights. through the Industrial and Commercial

Workersâ\200\231 Union and later its offshoot, ICU Yase Natal. Municipal monopolistic control of beerhalls in Natal gave him a popular subject for a protest boycott campaign. It led to four years of exile in the Transvaal while he was banned in Natal.:

His liaison with Zulu bruxalty again demonstrated the need for. black actors on the political stage at the time to use for their own

they wear

purposes whatever props and cover

were available.

 $\hat{a}200\234\hat{a}200\230$ Looking - from past to - present, $\hat{a}200\231$.

Shula - Marks concludes that ambiguity . continues, although structural complexities = . have changed. . Chief Mangosuthu | Buthelezi, opponent of apartheid, himself occupies aâ\200\231'seat within the system. He has also been involved - in intrigues with the royal house.

' TIGHTROPE

She sees him as both a threat to the State and indispensable to it ina^200^231

- his roles as critic and collaborator.

He appears as the master tightrope walker.

. $\hat{a}\200\234$ It would be unwise to underrate - the force of his newly re-created and reinforced ethnic nationalism or his capacity to manipulate the elements of ambiguity in 'the current and coming struggles, $\hat{a}\200\235$ she says.

The author holds this view even though Chief Butheleziâ\200\231's more recent clashes with liberation movements have affectedâ\200\235'his popularity.

@ The ambiguities of dependence in Soiith:- Aï¬ $\201$ tga by Shula Marks (Ravan).

Т