

**HOUSE OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS OF KWAZULU NATAL
MEETING WITH REPRESENTATIVE OF
THE NATIONAL HOUSE OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS
INTRODUCTORY REMARKS BY
MANGOSUTHU BUTHELEZI, MP
CHAIRMAN OF THE HOUSE OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS
OF KWAZULU NATAL,
INKOSI OF THE BUTHELEZI CLAN, AND
UNDUNANKULU KAZULU
Ulundi : December 8, 1998**

It gives me great pleasure to welcome representatives of the National Council of Traditional Leaders. This provincial House of Traditional Leaders has played an important role in the development of policies in KwaZulu Natal and has carefully followed the developments which have taken place at national level in respect of legislation affecting traditional leaders. We have been very active in interfacing with several of the government's departments on issues of local government, land affairs, justice and constitutional development.

Often throughout our consultations we have felt that the positions of traditional leaders are presented in too much of a fragmented form and too seldom do traditional leaders speak with a unified voice. I hope that this meeting will be conducive to fostering the realisation that traditional leadership is confronted with such challenges and that henceforth, either together we stand or apart we are bound to be all equally defeated by those who wish to do away with the institution of traditional leadership and the culture of traditions which we stand for.

We are meeting today just a few weeks after Parliament has finalised the Local Government Municipal Structure Bill which will establish elected municipalities throughout the country. This will be one of the most far-reaching transformations of local government in rural areas since time immemorial and will have a dramatic impact on traditional leadership. On November 24, 1997, traditional leaders met with the Department of Provincial Affairs and Constitutional Development to express the hope that our powers and functions could be accommodated within a truly modern and truly African system of local government which could enable traditional authorities to perform an important role in the ongoing process of transformation.

On that occasion we proposed a two-tier model similar to that which is employed in some of our provinces, including KwaZulu Natal, so as to enable traditional authorities to exist side by side with district councils operating on a regional basis as overarching structures. Under this scheme traditional authorities could operate as primary local government structures of an elected regional municipality, a so-called "category C" municipality.

Our representatives and people from my own office have spent many nights and days negotiating within the Constitutional Affairs Portfolio Committee of the National Assembly and with the Department of Provincial Affairs and Constitutional Development in order to guarantee some protection for traditional leadership within the new system of local government. Unbeknown to me, our delegation even went so far as floating a proposal to limit the guarantees which we proposed for traditional leadership to only a ten-year period, suggesting that whatever was considered to us

to protect traditional leadership could be re-examined by Parliament after ten years. We really went all the way in trying to meet the desires of the drafters and supporters of this legislation. In the end, no significant concession was made for traditional leadership.

In terms of the new legislation traditional authorities have not been permitted to continue to function. In our province, traditional authorities currently perform powers and functions of local government, such as the assignment of land, land use and zoning determinations. The Constitution contains a provision which could have enabled Parliament to allow traditional authorities to continue to function, if it wished to do so. But the Department of Constitutional Development chose to avoid implementing these enabling constitutional provisions. Accordingly, in terms of the new bill, all powers of local government will be exercised only by elected municipalities operating in terms of national and provincial law rather than in terms of indigenous and customary law. The Constitution had opened the possibility that traditional authorities could continue to operate in terms of indigenous and customary law, but with this law, indigenous and customary law will no longer find any space in local government.

Furthermore, the new bill no longer provides for *ex-officio* positions with voting rights for traditional leaders in respect of elected municipalities as is the case in this province. Traditional leaders will only be allowed to sit, listen and sometimes to speak in councils which will have full authority and jurisdiction over their territory and their communities. However, they have no legal power to contribute to the shaping of a decision through their votes. This is not just my opinion, for the Department of

Provincial Affairs and Constitutional Development commissioned a legal opinion to a Senior Counsel usually working for them, Advocate Wim Trengrove, SC. The Department's own lawyer stated that in terms of the new bill, traditional leaders will have no real powers but, at best, only ceremonial functions. According to him "the bill does not make participating traditional leaders members of the municipal council concerned. [...] The traditional leaders ... are not entitled to vote [...]. The only specific rights allowed to them are to attend council meetings and to address the council".

From a draft white paper on traditional leadership once tabled by the Department of Provincial Affairs and the Constitutional Development in the relevant MINMEC and then withdrawn, one can deduct that the intention is that of transferring our traditional land to these new municipalities so that it becomes their public property. Within this process all the permissions to occupy that traditional leaders grant to the members of our communities will be transformed into full and transferable property rights. This means that our people can sell the land that they now occupy not only to members of their community but to anybody else that they wish to, and that an elected municipal council will have the power to utilize the remainder of the land as part of its public property and as it best sees fit. In our province this outcome is still not certain, because we have adopted the Ingonyama Trust Act.

In addition, the demarcation board which draws the boundary lines of these new municipalities has not been mandated to respect the existing boundaries of traditional communities, but has only to consider them as one amongst many factors of its decision making. This means that when it finds a good reason to do so, the

demarcation board may divide traditional communities into several municipalities or aggregate together pieces of land belonging to different traditional communities and leaders. Our representatives did their best to negotiate a provision prohibiting the alteration of boundary lines of existing traditional communities without the consent of the relevant traditional authorities, but were not successful.

I do not need to tell traditional leaders what they already know. This new system undermines the foundation on which our traditional communities and leadership are founded. The notion that the whole of the community owns the whole of the land would no longer be applicable and the powers of traditional leadership to administer the land will be faded away. Our traditional method of decision making based on the constant strive towards consensus will be replaced by majority rule. Our notion of direct democracy in which decisions are taken with the necessary participation of all those who are affected by them, will be replaced by elected representation where decisions are taken only by political representatives rather than the people concerned. I hoped that our traditional model of societal organization could evolve and grow to meet present and future challenges, rather than being modernized out of existence.

Traditional leaders know that in the past five years I have done everything I could to prevent this outcome, whilst constantly warning traditional leaders of what was likely to happen. I have opposed legislation in Cabinet and in Parliament, on two occasions I led delegations of traditional leaders to meet with President Mandela. I have chaired many meetings of the House of Traditional Leaders of KwaZulu Natal when we conveyed to the Minister of Provincial Affairs and Constitutional Development

and his officials our request and our aspirations and I even participated in the consultation process on November 24, 1997. I have spoken in the defence of traditional leadership on innumerable occasions and in almost all my public addresses. I have written about it in domestic and international publications. I have sent many delegations to negotiate with those who have been intent on bringing about the demise of the traditional model of societal organisation. Twice my representatives challenged before the Constitutional Court the failure of the present Constitution to protect traditional leaders. My heart is now heavy with disappointment not only because of this negative outcome but also because throughout this process I often found myself to be a voice screaming in the desert, without the necessary support from other traditional leaders.

I am duty bound to continue my fight to enable traditional leadership to perform a positive role in the ongoing growth and transformation of our society. For as long as there is still breath in my chest, I shall continue to fight to enable South Africa to benefit from the contribution that traditional leaders can make towards its development and for the progress of our people.

Many politicians, government officials and even some ambitious traditional leaders have spoken to traditional leaders. Traditional leaders have heard many promises and many, many words. Those who know me, know well that it is not my habit to make wild promises. Often I tend more to look at problems, so that together we can find a way to solve them through our collegial wisdom. When I have spoken to traditional leaders in the past I have pointed out the difficulties and uncertainties of

the future. I am sure that even if we are now at the end of the road of the many games of deception and manipulation which have been played with traditional leadership since the opening of constitutional negotiations at CODESA in January, 1992, more words will be spent to reassure traditional leaders that their role is protected. We are indeed at the end of the road, and I hope that traditional leaders will not once again fall for empty words and promises.

We need now to resort to our collegial wisdom to find a way forward. We need to set aside differences to reach country-wide unity of intents and purposes. Together, we can fulfil the responsibility that we have towards our communities and our forefathers. It is important that we do not underestimate the threat before us and we do not overestimate what we can achieve in the future. Our negotiating position is now much weaker than it was four years ago. We should not allow anyone to make our position even weaker by dividing us. I remain convinced that our collegial wisdom cannot be defeated and that the strength of our nations is the true strength of South Africa. We owe to South Africa to pursue our unity of intents and purposes for the sake of our children's children. I hope that through this meeting we will be able to bring another brick towards the construction of the great edifice of our unity.

Next year we will be working under the auspices of a government which will be strong of a new electoral mandate. After the next elections a difficult process of transition is bound to begin. We must ensure that traditional leaders remain protagonists of this process and do not become sidelined. It is also essential that traditional leaders find ways and means to provide their contribution towards the

solution of the country's problems.

-----oo0oo-----

3005

MEMORANDUM

TO : PRINCE MANGOSUTHU BUTHELEZI, MP
FROM : MARIO GR ORIANI-AMBROSINI
DATE : DECEMBER 4, 1998

I am enclosing the draft for Tuesday. Not wanting to delay translation, I have reworked, modified and adjusted the previous draft which we utilised for a private meeting rather than a public occasion, and which was not translated. I thought it was a draft that we did very well and had the right tone and slant to it. I hope that this meets with Your Excellency's approval.

FAX COVER SHEET

MARIO G R ORIANI-AMBROSINI

ROOM 80, GOOD HOPE BUILDING
P O BOX 15, CAPE TOWN, 8000
Tel: (021) 403-2992
Fax: (021) 451-967

Please note: the information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual named below and others who have been specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify us immediately by telephone (021)403-2992.

Attention : PRINCE MANGOSUTHU BUTHELEZI

Fax No. : (0358) 874-2777

Date : DECEMBER 4, 1998

From : MARIO ORIANI-AMBROSINI

No. of pages : 1 + 9
(Including cover sheet)

Message :