SG1M2402.WS WG4 SUB-GROUP 1/MINUTES/24 FEBRUARY

THESE MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO THE MEMBERS OF SUB-GROUP 1, WORKING GROUP 4, THE DAILY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE AND THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

ADOPTED BY MEETING OF SUB-GROUP 1 OF WORKING GROUP 4 ON 2 MARCH 1992 MINUTES OF THE SECOND MEETING OF SUB-GROUP 1 (TESTING THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE) OF WORKING GROUP 4 (THE FUTURE OF THE TBVC STATES) HELD AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE ON MONDAY 24 FEBRUARY 1992 AT 15H00 PRESENT: Delegates (see Addendum A)

E Moorcroft (chair)

M Durrheim (minutes)

Chairpersonâ\200\231s opening remarks

Delegates were welcomed by the Chairperson.

Agenda

The agenda for the meeting was adopted.

Confirmation of minutes

- 3.1 The IFP recorded its objection to the inclusion of working document B in the minute s.
- 3.2 Point 2.3.1 was ammended to read as follows:

Option 1.1 (see Addendum C)

It was agreed that option 1.1 is not viable at this stage because no new dispensation exists yet

in South Africa. The ANC, NIC/TIC, SACP, Venda government and UPF moved for action by the South African government.

Point 2.3.2. was amended to read as follows:

Option 1.2 (see Addendum C)

Only the Bophuthatswana government supported option 1.2 i.e. that it is the responsibility of

the Bophuthatswana government to decide regarding re-incorporation.

Item 2.3.3.1. was amended to read as follows:

there was broad agreement that a referendum would be the desirable means of testing the  $\mbox{will}$ 

of the people. The question arose as to whether the referendum should be on a national or  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$ 

TBVC state basis. The SACP requested that it be noted that while they would prefer reparation to a referendum, they are not averse to a referendum. The debate continues.

SG1M2402.WS

WG4 SUB-GROUP 1/MINUTES/24 FEBRUARY

Point 2.3.3.2 was amended to read as follows:

it was agreed that race and/or ethnicity would not be criteria in the referendum. The A  ${\it NC}$ 

proposed that the referendum should also be supervised by Codesa. The question of Codes  $a\hat{a} \geq 00 \leq 31$ s

involvement in the supervision of the referendum was raised. Some were in favour, other  $\boldsymbol{s}$ 

were not. The debate continues.

The minutes were adopted with the above-mentioned amendments.

It was agreed that minutes of meetings of sub group 1 should in future be adopted by the sub

group before they are circulated to other committees.

Appointment of Rapporteur

Advocate Tladi, legal advisor to the Lebowa government, and Mr Montwedi of SECOSAF, wer e the

two names were put forward to the meeting to act as rapporteurs, but finality could not be reached.

It was therefore agreed that a brief CV of each person would be made available by the n ominating

parties to delegates in order to facilitate a decision at the next meeting of the sub g roup.

Documents distributed

The following documents were distributed:

 $^{\star}$  Submission by the Venda government of Sub-committee 1 of W.G. 4 on Testing the Will of

the People (Addendum B)

Intando Yesizwe Party (Addendum C)

Submission by the South African government containing:

- (i) Department of Home Affairs: Paper regarding Referenda
- (il) South African Law Commission: In its Report on Consitutional Models Chapter 19, inter alia, Deals with Referenda: October 1991

Date and time of next meeting

The next meeting of sub group 1 will be held on Monday 2 March 1992 at 09h30.

Closure

The meeting closed at 16h00.

Working Group 4 will not meet on Monday 2 March in order to give the sub committees time to meet.

### SBG1MIS8N1802.WS

## ADDENDUM A

The following persons attended the meeting of sub committee 1 of working group 4 on Mon day 24 February

1992:

Organisation ANC

Bohputatswana

Ciskei Government Democratic Party Dikwnakwetla Party Inkatha

Intando Yesizwe Party Inyandza National Movement Labour Party of SA National Party

NPP

NIC

SACP

SA Government Solidarity Party Transkei Government UPF

Venda Government

Ximoko Party

Delegate

M Phosa

SS Seane (until 15h30) JJ Tiholoe (from 15h30) N Nogcantsi

EK Moorcroft

MJ Molapo

B Anderson

M Mahlangu

J Matsana

W Whyte

PJ Farrell

RC Panday

J Yawitch

S Ngonyama

AT Meyer

Y Seedat

LM Bengu

NM Malekane

RR Subana

C Khosa

NOTE: Working Group 4 will not meet on Monday 2 March 1992 in order to give the sub committees

time to meet.

3

### AndendOuM

SUBMISSION BY THE VENDA GOVERNMENT TO SUB-COMMITTEE I OF w.G. 4 ON TESTING

THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE

eoovreveeee ecco ee<br/>e eeseomeresececiesesce ges << eoeeeeeeeee ceoeoeeoerseeereeee<br/>Â $^{\circ}$ 0 ece ceee

As early as 1989 \_ the people of Venda have clearly indicated to the govern ment that they would favour re-incorporation back into their legitimate country South Africa. This they pronounced through petitions, demonstraâ\200\224 tions etc. However this action did not come as a surprise because the masses had already realized that by accepting independence which was proâ\200\224 posed to the Venda authorities then, did not only mean that their citizenship was lost, but that Venda was not economically viable, not internationally recognised, but continually obtained inadequate funds from the RSA

# government.

The recent political developments in RSA, especially the coming into being of CODESA were indeed exciting to the Venda citizens and the government because we view CODESA an institution which will facilitate the re- $\hat{a}$ 200\224incopo $\hat{a}$ 200\224

ration of the independent states. with CODESA in its place today, we do not see any need for any bi-lateral meetings on real 200 224 incoporation of the

#### states.

We therefore consider it a matter of importance that the RSA government prepare Legislation that will nullify the Status Acts of the TBVC states as soon as it is practical. This action will make it possible for all the RSA Citizen to participate in constituting the Interim Government and in participating in the Nation Referundum, a matter which we consider very necessary.

The holding of referanda, elections etc, to test the will of the people regarding re-incoporation is in our view just but a useless exercise became all S.A.'s citizens have always in one way or another registered their intentions to real 200 224 incoporate and to be finally united with their

fellow S.A. brothers.

eoeoeeceeeeeeeee ee ee ee Oe eceoererweeeee eee eoceeee ee

E ts

We, of Intando Yesizwe Party view South Africa as one undivided country including the TBVC States. We also view people of South Africa as one nation. It is with this understanding that we signed the declaration

of Intent, in good faith.

We therefore say all rights of the people of South Africa be brought back to the people of South Africa without further delay.

Our believe is that CODESA is the forum charged with the task of creating a premise for a change from old order of the separation of people from people ethnically or otherwise, to the new order of democratic,

non-sexist, free and united South Africa.

The government of South Africa being signitory to the declaration of Intent, and having that power of government be charged with the task of restoring the citizenship of the TBVC States by reversing all relevant

acts en-bloc as a matter of urgency.

We find it difficult to further divide the people of South Africa as a nation by testing the will separately. To us this is perpetration of apartheid and a mockery to a peace loving people of South Africa.