BUSINESS DAY, Wednesday, December 6 1989

COMMENT EREE

 $\hat{a}$ 200\230A job for the police

OLICE have good reason to be  $a\200\234$ worried about their public im-, 'age. But when the outgoing: police commissioner says the police force is about to be subjected to another vicious smear campaign, he is mistaking symptoms for cause.

To head off the cammign he believes is coming, Gen Hennie de - Witt states in advance that the SAP has no training camps for former terrorists, has never forced people. to become policemen and has not trained people to be assassins. He does not ask why people should believe such things, or why allegations of police hit squads have not been rejected outright by a loyal public. The generals, and a succession of politicians in charge of and ac-

countable for the actions of the po-

lice force, have only themselves to blame. The politicians cloaked police activities in a veil of secrecy and the police failed to solve a long succession of killings of government opponents. That combination has produced a climate in which rumours proliferate, suspicion abounds and public confidence in the police suffers, to the detriment of thousands of honest policemen

doing a difficult, dangerous and

underpaid job. :

Where there is secrecy there is bound to be suspicion. The secrecy

is ensured by section 27B of the'

Police Act. Introduced in 1979, it forbids newspapers to publish untrue reports about police activities without having reasonable grounds for believing the reports to be true.

As the onus of proof is on the news-

papers, whose staff face a R10 000  $\,$ 

fine or a five-year jail term, or both, if they get it wrong, newspapers

have been frightened off the sort of investigative reporting which might have exposed erring policemen, kept the force on their toes

and their public image wholesome.

Law and Order Minister Adriaan Vlok might consider that a law designed to protect the police from vilification has had exactly the opposite effect.

Where there is uncharacteristic meffxcxency, there will also be suspicion. Case after case of brilliant detective work comes to the courts, but the police experts are strangely helpless in the face of political assassinations and a myriad of unexplained arson attacks on the homes

and cars of dissidents. The onus

here is on the pohce If they wish to dispel the growing concern that the allegations and suspicions may not be unfounded, they will have to solve the murders, track down the

' arsonists, bring people to court and

secure convictions. They will also have to ensure that arrested people do not escape from police custody. The police image cannot be protected by law, or by secrecy. It will have to be rebuilt by policemen demonstrating that they are as determined to solve political attacks as they are other crimes. When all citi-

' zens patently enjoy police protec-

tion, and when all police activities are subject to public scrutiny, denials of skulduggery might be less necessary and more effective.

South Africa

A moment

ATALLIITN eS8 -

THERE was a moment outside Paris last week, during the conference at Marly le Roi, when it became clear to me that in South Africa, at this time of momentous worldwide dislocation, it is not enough to win the arguments. A heavy duty rests on every one of us to search diligently through the debris of communist ideology for conciliation. The realisation  $\hat{a}$ 200\224 less than epiphany, more than an intellectual insight  $a\200\224$  came as I listened to the flat South African accent of Albie Sachs, the broken-bodied victim of a car bomb whom I had regarded for nearly 30 years as a fanatic and a killer, a high priest of the doctrine of violent seizure of power â\200\224 what today we would call a Stalinist.

When he was freed from prison and immediately went to run on the beach (was it 1964? and was it Clifton?) I noted the fact without emotion. I cared nothing for the man, only for the principle that nobody should be imprisoned - without trial. Even when he was maimed by the bomb in Maputo, I refused to trivialise a life dedicated to revolution by making a display of shock. Live by the sword, I said, so die by the sword.

The rules of the conference and the laws of South Africa forbid me to quote Sachs but I found myself, astonished, in agreement with him. The people of this country have accumulated enough suffering to deserve freedom; none of us needs tyranny to replace tyranny. Somehow a way must be found, and soon, to make this country safe and free for all its people, and to bring our scattered children home.

Sachs took the risk of dropping his ideological guard in the presence of his own ideological constituency; I could do no less. That night I rewrote the draft of my own contribution to the conference, abandoning the habit of brute intransigence â\200\224 total war in debate â\200\224

which is my personal style, and casting my arguments in a form that, I thought, might invite constructive response. As bluntly as I could, I spelled out my own central fear for the future: that liberty will be betrayed at the moment of liberation when the South African Communist Party, acting as a vanguard party of the revolution, and equipped

with considerable military resources, embarks on the  $a\200\234$ second stage $a\200\235$  of its agenda to overthrow the new democracy.

The struggle against apartheid, which is the doctrine of the spawn of Hitler, has lasted so long that I really do not have the heart for another liberation struggle, this time against a regime headed by the spawn of Stalin.

All this needs to be kept in perspective. The conference was inherently unequal. It brought together leaders of the ANC and the SACP â\200\224 Thabo Mbeki, Steve Tshwete, Pallo Jordan, Aziz Pahad, Jeremy Cronin â\200\224 with leaders of Cosatu, the UDF and the MDM, and on the â\200\234other sideâ\200\235 a disparate gaggle

of bu\_siness leaders, academics, writers  $a\200\230$  and journalists who might, at a pinch,

be described as  $\hat{a}$ 200\234liberal $\hat{a}$ \200\235.

The  $\hat{a}\200\234$ liberals $\hat{a}\200\235$  (some will hot forgive

me for the label) lacked any mandate, -

either to negotiate or even to speak for others. While both the ANC-SACP group and the members of the MDM caucused regularly, the rest were individualistic to the point of self-indulgence and some were free spirits to the point of anarchy. Only the businessmen showed a capacity to get together, but as individuals.

The whole affair got off to a rocky start, the first day consumed by boring Marxist rhetoric from the  $a\200\234$ outside $a\200\235$  speakers, and intransigent posturing by the  $a\200\234$ inside $a\200\235$  spokesmen. That night I

## Ous

was close to flying home. On the second morning, given the rare honour to address the French Assembly and to engage in a public exchange of views with

the deputies of France, Murphy Mo- "

robe of the UDF, Pallo Jordan of the ANC, and Jay Naidoo of Cosatu made ringing speeches designed to reinforce the desires of the deputies to impose sanctions; only the vice-chancellor of Wits, Professor Robert Charlton, demurred firmly. Both Wynand Malan

and Frederik van Zyl Slabbert fumbled:

ineffectually in trying to redress the balance without precipitating a civil

Many of the rest of us, taken by sur-

prise, suspected a left-wing plot, espe-

cially since the French persisted in referring to us all as  $a\200\234$ the South African delegation $a\200\235$ , but it turned out to be simply the consequence of the imbalance of forces. Trust was restored and by the end of the week, Thabo Mbeki was publicly inviting Murray Hofmeyer, chairman of JCI, to speak for us all.

Perhaps I was mistaken to think that it was Sachs who broke the initial log-Jjam, but whatever the reason, the debate was joined, and it deepened throughout the week. There was no

agreement, but much illumination. -

Lines of stress, even fissures, appeared where they were least expected; unplanned alliances emerged (on one occasion, disconcertingly, between me and the ANCâ\200\231s Pallo Jordan).

What follows is not a report on the conference, but a distillation of my own observations  $\hat{a}\200\224$  my tentative conclusions:

Firstly, the liberation of Eastern Europe has shattered all post-war paradigms, but for the left it has been devastating. Old-style communism is as dead as God was once said to be, and nobody is a Stalinist  $\tilde{A} \odot py$  more. The

## meeting

communist world is embarked on a transition, historic in its scope, towards democracy and while the South African left obviously cannot shape that immense process, neither is it immune. Liberals, I suspect, would be wise to stand back, and give the best members of the left the space they need to work out a new definition of themselves. South African socialists, like all socialists, are groping towards ideas of liberty, and we should not throw obstaclesintheir path.

Secondly, I think ideological dominance has shifted from the SACP and the exiled ANC to the internal forces of Cosatu, the UDF and the MDM. The â\200\234in-sidersâ\200\235 are closer to the reality of South Africa than the ANC exiles, more familiar with the terrain of apartheid, more able to see tactical possibilities, more independent and creative in their thinking. They are -certainly

tougher, more flexible and more optimistic, and I think more formidable than most  $\hat{a}\200\234$ outsiders $\hat{a}\200\235$ . 3

The implication, of course, is that the government, if it really does seek negotiations, might find advantage in letting the sophisticated and subtle exile leaders come home. If nothing else, it would weaken their ties with the opportunists who have climbed on the international anti-apartheid bandwagon to make a living out of the destruction of this country, and who care nothing for our people.

Thirdly, the international standing of the ANC is simply astounding. We were treated by the French government as no South Africans since the Smuts era have ever been treated. We were sponsored by Danielle Mitterrand, wife of the French President, courted by the President of the Assembly, Laurent Fabius, feted and feasted by the Prime Minister, Foreign Minister, Cultural Minister and diplo-

T A meeting with a US black leadership grou the White House ast month, President George â\200\230Bush appeared to revive the notion â\200\224 Kkilled by Secretary of State James Baker and other senior advisers earlier this year â\200\224 that it might be helpful to invite President F W de Klerk to Washington. The balloon remained firmly earthbound.

Bush had tried to float it after listening to Richard Hatcher, the former Mayor of Gary, Indiana, and a member of the Rev Jesse Jacksonâ\200\231s inner council, lay into his administrationâ\200\231s SA pohcy as a continuation of â\200\234constructive engagementâ\200\235.

Hatcher, speaking on behalf of the delegation, replied that whatever De Klerkâ\200\231s achievements to date, he would not be welcome until all polltl-cal prisoners, including Nelson Mandela, had been released, all South Africans had the vote and blacks were no longer required to carry identity documents mdlcatmg their race.

Of course, by the tlme such condltions prevailed, especially the bit about enfranchisement, the purpose of De Klerkâ\200\231s coming here would be fairly moot.

After all, the idea behind inviting him is to encourage Pretoria to take the very steps that Hatcher et al would set as preconditions for his visit. Bush made it clear he disagreed with the delegationa 200 231s position and asked it to submit its views in writing. This may have been evidence that the President was serious. On the other hand, it may simply have been a means of avoiding unpleasantness.

Without doubt, Bushâ\200\231s natural predisposition would be to want to see De Klerk. He is a great believer in one-on-one diplomacy and likes to communicate with foreign leaders directly, whether by personal note, or telelyhone or in face-to-face meetings. The real question, however, is how such contact would benefit De Klerk. Beyond the thrill of being ushered into the Oval Office, he could expect to gain very little from an

isit to US would

TouaiNESS DAY

e a waste of time

or F'W de

& DecEmeer \

K

SIMON BARBER in Washington

hour or so with his American counterpart. When it comes to policy on SA, Bush has next to nothing in his

gi¬\201t

Over the past decade or so, the US has erected its own kind of Berlin Wall around SA. Unlike the real one,

. this wall is not about to be carved up

for souvenirs. With the exception of the over-zealous customs agents who seem to have developed a taste for tricking naive South Africans into violating the Comprehensive Anti-

" Apartheid Act (CAAA) in front of

hidden cameras, the American

equivalents of East Germany $\hat{a}\200\231s$  vo-  $\hat{A}^{\circ}$ 

pos, or border guards, are not under the central government  $200\231s$  control. On the contrary, they dictate US policy.

In theory, at least, De Klerk is

 $^{\star}$  within sight of creating the circum-

stances that would enable Bush to remove the CAAAâ\200\231s sanctions. Boiled down to their essence, those circumstances are the release of all political prisoners and detainees, the unbanning of political organisations, the lifting of the emergency and the start of  $a\200\234good$  faithâ\200\235 negotiations on a new constitution. The repeal of the Population Registration Act would also be nice, but as the CAAA is written, would not be essential if the other provisos were met.

If and when the President judged that De Klerk had done the neces-

sary, the law permits him to issue an

executive order lifting the CAAAâ\200\231s sanctions. Congress, under a process of dubious constitutionality, would then have 30 days to enact a resolution of disapproval. This would require majorities in the House and the Senate. Unless Bush had been excessively optimistic in his evaluation of SAâ\200\231s changed circumstances, it is entirely conceivable that, in the Senate at least, such a majority would not be forthcoming. The order would stand.

All of which, it could be argued, would militate in favour of De Klerkâ\200\231s coming to Washington. Bush could discuss with him in detail what it would take for an executive order to be issued and the South African might, in his persuasive way, be able to help convince a few swing votes in the Senate not to disapprove the order once the President made it.

The trouble is, such a scenario is

- impossibly rosy. From a domestic

political standpoint, the benefits that might accrue to the administration from lifting, or even modifying, existing sanctions before there is a complete transfer of power in SA, are not only vastly outweighed by the inevitable outcry  $a\200\224$  they do not exist.

There is no constituency for promoting â\200\230positive change in SA. By contrast, at least 15% of the electorate would regard any alleviation in sanctions as a direct affront. There would be one hell of a fight with the vopos in the House of Representatives, plus a lot of street theatre and yet more countervailing legislation adopted by states and municipalities. In short, there would be an uproar, and the Bush administration holds no opinion so dearly that it is prepared to do battle for it.

But assume De Klerk did convince

' the President to be bold and the Sen-

ate to uphold his boldness. Would SA really be any better off, or American policy as a whole any more salutary than it had been before? Aside from the resumption of direct flights between New York and Johannesburg, the answer is, probably not.

<sup>&</sup>quot; US investment in SA is already

. about as uncomfortable and isolated

as John le Carreâ\200\231s spy who came in from the cold. The reason is not only, nor perhaps even Jmnclpally, conditions on the ground, or the CAAA and threats of worse. Increasmgly, it is harassment at the local, home base level as state, county and city authorities, and the activists who drive them, seek out and bludgeon

anyone with even the slimmest

connection to SA.

The real w.vfos guarding the antiapartheid Wall are at the grassroots level. Pending a constitutional showdown far more bloody than any setto that might be contemplated with Congress on the sanctions issue, they are entirely beyond the administrationâ\200\231s reach.

As of January 1, any company offering shares for sale in the US will be obliged, under penalty of law, to disclose in its prospectus any dealings it, its parent or subsidiaries, might have with a\200\234persons or groups

located in SAâ\200\235.

Who says so? Not the federal government, but the electorate of California who voted in favour of a referendum proposition to that effect in November last year. Because anyone who offers stock in this country obviously wishes to offer it in California (as a country, it would have the 13th largest economy in the world), what Californians think on this issue applies nationally. Or rather, internationally, as foreign co spam whose shares are sold in the US in the form of American depository receipts (ADRs) are covered, too.

What this means is that any public company that so much as buys from, or lends to, a US firm which

- has operations in SA will have to

announce that fact, thus laying ifself open to charges by the vopos that it is helping maintain a  $\operatorname{eid}$ .

The consequences of this, in places such as Los Angeles, San Francisco, New York and Miamiâ\200\231s Dade County, are severe and include loss of hi y lucrative municipal contracts. Elsewhere, firms may get off lighter, merely being shaken down by local officials and activists for grants, jobs and loans. And, of course, â\200\234cleanâ\200\235

companies are rarely above using a competitor  $a\200\231s$   $a\200\234taint a\200\235$  to gain an edge.

The American vopos have thus seen to it that whatever Bush, or even their brethren in Congrws, might decide, their Wall stays. Therefore US trade with, and investment in, SA (and by unplication southern Africa generally) can only continue to shrink, regardless of what happens to the CAAA and other sanctions laws.

De Klerk would be wasting  $\ln s$  time to come here.

HARARE â\200\224 Will the party stick to socialism? This was the headline over an article in a Zimbabwean newspaper about the formation of the United Zanu (PF) Party whose first congress is to take place from December 18 to 22.

It will be a mammoth affair with some 4000 delegates and guests from all over the world including the leaders of other Frontline states and liberation movements.

The answer to the question is yes, though endless debate could go on about what is meant by socialism particularly in view of what is happening in Eastern Europe.

But the constitution of the new party, which brings together Mr Ro-

bert Mugabeâ\200\231s old Zanu (PF) and

Mr Joshua Nkomoâ $200\231s$  PF-Zapu, lists as one of the aims and objects:

â\200\234To establish and sustain a socialist society guided by Marxist-Leninist principles but firmly based on our\_historical, cultural and social

experience, and to create conditions for economic  $\hat{a}\200\230$  independence, increased productivity and equitable distribution of the wealth of the nation  $\hat{a}\200\235$ .

The draft constitution which will go before the congress for approval reflects a retreat from some of the more doctrinaire elements contained in the constitution of the old Zanu (PF) which were adopted at the 1984 congress.

No more is there reference to a political order under the vanguard leadership of the workers, peasants and intellectuals.

Instead the new party will aim  $a\geq 00\geq 34$  create conditions for the establishment of a democratic, political

\* and social order which shall guaran-

in perpetuity that the Govern-

ment of the State shall be answer--

able to the people through periodic

elections based on universal adult

 $suffrage \hat{a} \geq 200 \geq 31$ ) Rule of law

The proposed new constitution also lisis a new aim  $\hat{a} \geq 00 \geq 24 \hat{a} \geq 00 \geq 34$ To uphold and apply fully the rule of law, equality before the law and the civil, social liberties and equality of opportunity for all people in Zimbabwe regardless of race, tribe, sex or religion $\hat{a} \geq 00 \geq 35$ .

Political observers here believe the amended objectives reflect the moderating influence of Mr Joshua Nkomo, whose party, while proclaiming loyalty to the socialist concept, is widely regarded as being happier in the promotion of free enterprise.

Leading members of Zapu are also known to have serious reservations about the creation of a one party state and this may account for the softer aim of  $a \ge 0 \le 34$  seeking to establish a one party state in Zimbabwe $a \ge 0 \le 35$  as against the former objections

tive  $\hat{a}\200\234$ to establish a one party state under the vanguard leadership of Zanu (PF) $\hat{a}\200\235$ .

It has already been agreed in terms of the unity pact signed two years ago that the new party will be led by Mr Robert Mugabe as

president and first secretary.

Corruption

Mr Nkomo and Mr Muzenda will both be vice-presidents with the rank of second secretary.

The supreme policy-making body will be the National Peopleâ $\200\231s$  Con-

gress which will be convened every

five years.
Under it will be a Central Com-

mittee of 160 members, whose job -

will be to implement policies and decisions taken by Congress.

 $\hat{a}\200\230$ The Central Committee will elect 22 full members of the Politburo as

Mr Robert Mugabe ... a retreat from doctrinaire elements.

В

Zlmbabweans may. see a
softer brand of socia

Mr Joshua Nkome ... has a moderating influence.

Of particular interest to many anbaâ\200\2301)) weans will be the approach of the new party to the contentious Leadership Code, which was sup-

to ensure that the nation  $a \geq 00 \geq 31s$  political leaders were not only socialists in name but also in d deed.

However, dnsc}«:lurt:s tl?f rc;:;ggt

ces wluch e gl(.):c%r of five Ministers
and the degree of cynicism and disillusionment prevalent in Zimbabwean society will lead to bitter debate at the congress on this issue.

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ Socialists by day and capitalists by night $\hat{a}\200\235$  is how many people regardthepartyehteandth:svxewns bound to get an airing.

The constitution requires every member of the party to behave honestly and  $200\231\$  a  $200\230$  honourably in all dealings with the party and the public and lays down disciplinary procedures for those who fail to observe policies, rules and regulations.

\

SERSMEINEIN

FERARS kave been expressed that the viglerce which hag ravaged Mpumalangn, the Umelndusi ares, 2nd the rural 2reas surrounding {nchongs recently, may be a forerun: ner of what 13 to come in tewnakips throughout Natal avel {he Chrisitnes seasen,

T, This pradiction corqe? in the wake of a2 week wiich has

1 weedlating desths, injuries 2nd arson sitacks on pri-Africen Palice and  $\hat{A}$ ¥itskonsisiel involvement in the mayfetiz, & one-dev worher stiysway o protest against the violena and 2 slesdy flow of refugees into churches and white homes,

And thers sesron little hope at this stage that peace taike bodween the Uipited Demoerstic Front/Congress of

CRouth African Trade Uniosk and Inkelhs, which were soittles (v Qotober following Takathe's decision to pull

ot sre fikely 10 got baok airack hefore Christinas.

Dertissratie. Prrty vegional dirscior Mr Rov Ajnzlie, whs i $a\202$  directly involved fi monitoring the unrest, said th $a\200\230$  [sx wenk the wldA@spr:nd - violenes in the tawnshipn i isne 1z i sround Durbaa &nd rural i¬\201y Diane Costzer sragA® north 2nd south of the -gity wae showing no

Hanehe for the rome porviad in other townships are Ine

\LCo

LTttie Fope of peace as violence

vite g ellings in the zress, mountiig allegations of South

sign of abating.

igures recorced by the PP tneest monitoring groug sinee giid-Noverber skow Mpumealangs to be the worst it ares with X7

ands {23), Kwaraakhutha
(11}, the rurel sreax around
Inckanga {18), KwaMashu
{8}, Port Shepstone (%) #nd
St Wendoiing (2.

Research by the gooup

sontinues in Natal townships

AR

e onll Aoy Ainelis

rrrld he sttiibated to .

people being kitled while

3

indlceted the violence

b

secutity forces; unchecked aetivitigs of vigilantés and hit squads and a perceplion argcag township residents that thie legal systein had failed then ané they had te take matters Into their ows hands.

Said Mr Algstie: â\200\234gulnst thiz background the viglenice ls spreading rapldly and we fear furthes toeelaiion gver the Ciristmas periodâ\200\235

Hig fears were achoad by the Rev Athol Jepnings, TONVEROY Ol 20 Cigat-persen chureh faciiitslor group popointed by Netal ctureti jeaders sl the beglaning of the year,

Mr.Jennings sald &t
neegent the situation wes
veering towerds possibile

1

full-scale mparghy which 4id rot bode weil for the comlng few weeks.

â\200\234The fzet i thet â\200\230many
paaple ~ especially the
young - are heioming los
end 18 divectly related to
auy forinsl structures,
They are sctlug on thelr
owWn iGess BnG mtives.

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ The situation is thos very confuling.'  $\hat{a}\200\235$  i

Cormimenting on the site. ation st preseat, Mr Ainslig said aithough Mpuwoelanga 2ud Hamesrsdeis had gquietensd down in ke past few days, the visleacs aed increased 15 intessity in the neightiooring refslarans of Inghengs.

At daim on Satvrdsy & large group of srmed mes - numbsring several s dred 2rd cleiming allegianee to inhathe ~

i orossed the Umsingusl

River &t Nysvu snd ats tecked UDF supporieis in e #ree.

Six\_people died derifg

; the attuck mnd fwe people i died of thelv Injuries on

## Meondey.

Lewyers &fe currently investigating "veriouz slle gntions $\hat{a}$ \200\235 of  $\hat{A}$ \Fitskoreizbel involvement,

IGWE

allegationy ¢f f ment inibe vigisrse,

Lagt week sevecel inter i interdlety worn gt by the Pletermartizboeg. Supregne Conrf restraining the SAP from stesuiting, nerassing or threpteping (eur Mpumalenge residents. s ÂS

Mr &inslle s2id he hoged | the one-nign independent comyission of inguiry - consisting of sgiios Durbao advocste My &S B â\200\224 agpoified Ly 1he grese of Trodittengl Lesders of Souik Africe {Centralesal woald thiose kbt on thif aspoct of ths viow leaes. Spe ik

```
\hat{a}200\230Imake major
an n t
j8xpected to my
eï¬\201zl&gga n:;ï¬\202"lg
he Cabinet 1s mesting afar
tum!n: mt nlght i \neg \201om
fLwo-day works nfr ot in the
\hat{a}\200\231I\hat{a}\200\230rg:hal\ vaal\ coun\ ,Eoldm\ )
le offiol
0\hat{a}\200\231M-.mm | bout the ntent
nndml:ood comufutlonal
Nunong
'Snâ\200\235'é? x{" erk el
nt ww he wo make one
announcement More oing on
ji%ave in mid-December a\200\230ma\200\230 :
4 i¬\202t--*â\200\231
```