

THE SOUTH AFRICAN OBSERVER

ISSN 0038-2523

Volume XXXIII No. 5

Single copy R1,50, 12 Issues R20,00

AUGUST 1989

A Journal for Realists

EDITOR: S.E.D. BROWN

P.O. Box 2401, Pretoria

Fax (012) 322-0215

Phone (012) 322-2950

Registered at the G.P.O.
as a Newspaper

DEADLY ROLE OF NATIONAL PARTY SPOTLIGHTED

VOTE FOR CONSERVATIVE PARTY — VOTE WHITE!

MUCH COULD be written about the Afrikaner people and their political instrument, the National Party. But whatever they had up to the time of Dr H.F. Verwoerd's assassination in 1966, it was something that had its genesis in antiquity and which had brought them a long way.

They had a keen sense of identity, an instinct to preserve those things that had served well in the past, a prudent love of their traditions, a determination as White men to preserve their racial and national integrity — in other words, a flair for preserving life's organic unity.

They still had the nerve to have an opinion of their own.

Their former leaders, from General Hertzog to Dr Malan, Mr J.G. Strijdom, and Dr Verwoerd, were men who always stood unflinchingly up to so-called World Opinion and all its works.

They were great leaders of their people, who articulated and gave practical expression to the deep desire for self-preservation and self-fulfilment that is instinctive in human nature.

That instinct is simply the will to live, which distinguishes healthy and living organisms from the diseased and dying.

And, today — under the leadership of Pieter Willem Botha?

CONSERVATISM UNDER ATTACK

The conservatism that once welded Afrikaner culture, church, politics and press into a tight, exclusive unity, that conservatism has long been under constant attack by the present leadership of the party.

Pragmatism and material prosperity have blinded them to the reality that people who allow themselves

to be cut off from the past find themselves cut off also from the future.

Today, we see the once-mighty National Party having lost its real character, its idealism and its genius of the past. It still possesses some of its external forms, but nothing of its essence and vitality.

What we are actually witnessing is its very nationalism and conservatism — and the Afrikaner people themselves — being destroyed by those who have the audacity still to proclaim themselves nationalists and conservatives.

The leader who, with his deviationism and flights into liberalism, started the Afrikaner and the National Party on their death walk — yes, their death walk — and also that of the white man in Southern Africa — was the former Prime Minister, Mr B.J. Vorster, who at the time of his appointment promised on the steps of the Senate that he would “by the Grace of God” follow the road of Hendrik Verwoerd. But didn't do so.

COMPLETING THE PROCESS

The leader who today is *completing the process* of national disintegration and destruction — with his politics of “reform” and “power sharing,” and of surrender and sell-out to eventual black majority rule — is the State President, Pieter Willem Botha.

Mr Botha's only difficulty from the start when he systematically set out to dismantle and destroy apartheid — and which will mark the end of the white nation in South Africa — has been how to do the dismantling without disclosing that he and his government have been carrying out an expert demolition job on the white nation itself.

And if P.W. Botha politics of “reform” and “power sharing” can be said to mean one thing, and one thing only, it can only mean the wilful and systematic break-

ing down, by the P.W. Botha government of the walls of nationhood and the sovereignty of the Afrikaner people, and of the white man in South Africa — in terms of dictates and requirements of the U.N. and Unesco.

The natural consequence of Mr Botha's acts — all the way from when he became Prime Minister, and then State President, and from the time he set out to break the back of white nationalism and conservatism — has been simply and solely the advancement and victory of liberals, communists, Zionists and the men of international finance — on the road to their collectivist new "One-World" order — their U.N. "one-world" government.

LIVING POLITICAL LIE

The plain truth to be faced about State President Botha, however, is that he has been living a political lie ever since 1974, when he had no qualms, and no conscience, about turning his back on a lifetime of conservative principles and policies in order to adapt himself to those of all the enemies of his own race, nation and civilisation, and to do it all in the name of God and Christianity.

His record of collaboration in the matter of the break-up and destruction of the geopolitical unity that once was Southern Africa — in terms of U.S. State Department policy and its dictates: Mozambique, then Angola, Rhodesia, South West Africa, and today, South Africa — has been fully documented in previous issues of the S.A. Observer.

RECORD CRYSTAL CLEAR

His "anti-communist" record since 1974 is also crystal clear, first as a Minister of Defence, then as a Prime Minister, and today as the State President of South Africa.

It is the sorry record of white abdication, surrender and sell-out of the whole of Southern Africa, on the instalment plan, for which Mr Botha has so largely been responsible — while all the time masquerading as an all-out fighter against the international communist conspiracy.

It is the sorry record of his step-by-step retreat in the face of the communist enemy, with the dire consequences for the white man and his authority throughout the whole of Southern Africa.

Yet Mr Botha still had the gall to tell the country to "be calm."

"We live in dangerous and difficult times. A great sense of responsibility, great discipline and great teamwork are now more than ever necessary if we want South Africa to move forward," he said.

What is imminent, however, is nothing less than the continuing suicide of the white nation — and, with it, the destruction, root and branch, of the white man and his nation, beyond the power of man to rebuild.

"Moving forward" in the P.W. Botha meaning of the

words simply means proceeding full steam ahead with his party's whole "reform" programme — the next important step being to continue whittling away at the last pillar of apartheid, namely the Group Areas Act.

The Group Areas Act, it must be emphasised, is the last remaining *constitutional structure* of the white nation in South Africa — all the others over the past few years having been wilfully, wantonly, uncerecermoniously and criminally smashed down by Mr Botha and his government.

"Moving forward" also meant the sudden and *pre-mature* withdrawal of South African control from SWA and surrendering her white and black peoples to the tender mercies of the criminals and communists of the U.N. and Swapo.

GREAT INDABA PLAN

"Moving forward," in the P.W. Botha meaning of the words today means paving the way for the release of Nelson Mandela as the only means of placating so-called "world opinion" and getting the National Party's *Great Indaba* plan launched. This is the plan by which black leaders will be induced to help to work out a "new constitutional deal" for the whole country.

It means the South African Government moving towards a position where talks with the ANC and the communists will begin.

The *Great Indaba* moreover, is the next important step in the entire "reform" programme, which was designed by international financiers, and world Jewry; not only to gain full control of South Africa, but also to set up their prospective Southern African Common Market, embracing Mozambique, Angola, Zimbabwe, Zambia, Tanzania, Lesotho, Botswana, Namibia and South Africa.

Had Mr Botha been on the side of the enemy, he clearly could not have rendered the enemy greater service or done more damage to his own people, to the West and to the survival of his white nation in South Africa.

His whole "reform era" will go down in history as one of the most tragic and shameful episodes in South African history.

His leadership of the country, since becoming Prime Minister and then State President, readily brings to mind the story of the military General in the American Civil War who when told by an aide that he was retreating instead of going forward snapped back, "Retreating. Hell! I am advancing in a new direction!"

F.W. DE KLERK IN PERSPECTIVE

We now come to the new Leader of the National Party, Mr F.W. de Klerk, who suddenly arose from the ashes of his conservatism to become the shining star in the firmament of the far-left.

His election as the new leader was followed

— Acknowledgments Sunday Times,

BACKWARD-MOUNTED JOCKEY



"And here we have rank outsider, Action Plan, ridden by F.W. de Klerk at 100-1"

immediately by the highly organised, well-orchestrated and all-out campaign of the Afrikaans and English liberal establishments — and including State President Botha's own supporting newspapers — all yelling in unison for State President Botha to resign and make way for Mr de Klerk, a younger man who had instilled a new spirit of "reform" into the National Party.

Mr de Klerk then wasted little or no time, in parliament and out of parliament — including visits to Margaret Thatcher, President Kohl of Germany and to high officials in the Italian and Portuguese governments, and with a visit to President George Bush still to come — to tell of the wondrous "New South Africa" to come with his leadership and his new "Five Year Action Plan" — the new South Africa "*free of racism and discrimination*" or *domination and a just and equitable dispensation in all fields for all South Africans*.

Margaret Thatcher, President Kohl and President George Bush, it should be noted, are all pro-Black and anti-White in South Africa, all call for the release of Nelson Mandela, and all are long-serving lickspittles of international financiers and World Jewry.

The government, said Mr de Klerk, is firmly committed to power sharing and "firmly committed to fundamentally changing the face of South Africa."

Power in the South Africa of the future will be shared by whites, blacks, coloureds and Indians, Mr de Klerk declared — saying that for any one group to hold on to power was "a recipe for revolution."

"The new South Africa which we are building will have to be built on these foundations, not only in respect of its new constitution but also in respect of economic, social and educational reform."

The Government was committed to full participation at all levels by all South Africans in decision-making within democratic governmental institutions "to be agreed upon," he said.

But to ask Mr de Klerk to outline the political philosophy on which his government's new "Action Plan" for South Africa is based, or for Mr de Klerk to define the political concepts and terms he so freely bandies about and which are to lead to the new "peaceful" South Africa — but all of which is simply typical liberal balderdash — this would be far too much to expect from the new leader of the National Party.

If moreover Mr de Klerk was asked to outline what he and his government see at the end of the multi-racial road along which they are dragging the country, by means of stealth, deception and force, Mr de Klerk's eyes would probably boggle and stand out of his head like a snail's.

His reaction would probably also be the same if he was called on to make known his government's blueprints for its whole "reform" programme, and its architects, all of which is vital information long and carefully kept concealed from public view.

And the only charitable thing one can say for Mr de Klerk in this matter, is that he does not know or understand half of what he says and does.

He simply ignores the realities of Africans and black Africa.

ILLUSIONS OF DREAM WORLD

Mr de Klerk prefers the liberal dream world illusions in which a man can play God, since his imagination can create whatever he wishes.

He prefers a world in which men can jump from precipices without falling. The communists, however, who are not fools, are already waiting patiently as vultures beside a dying animal.

His world of illusion and fantasy bring to mind the story of the backward-mounted jockey who after getting his horse to gallop in reverse does not understand why its head is at the wrong end.

His Party's new five-year "Action Plan" is not a big move towards "peace," progress and prosperity. It is a big gallop in reverse to more capitulation and more surrender, to all the forces of the Zionist-communist world revolution.

The "non-racial democracy" his Party seeks to achieve *tomorrow* with its "five year plan," will be no different from the "non-racial democracy" the very far-left Democratic Party seeks to impose on South Africa *today*!

PRESSURES OF THE 'NEW GUARD'

It was pressures from the New Guard of the National Party — comprising the new leader, Mr F.W. de Klerk, Foreign Minister Pik Botha, Finance Minister Mr Barend du Plessis, Education Minister Dr Gerrit Viljoen, Economic Minister Dr Dawid de Villiers, Information Minister Dr Stoffel van der Merwe, and the Minister of Justice Mr H.J. Coetzee — that led to the sudden and premature handing over of SWA to the

U.N. and eventually to Swapo — all as a highly important and necessary new "Action Plan".

It was also pressures of the New Guard of the National Party that paved the way for the startlingly significant meeting at Tuynhuys of State President Botha and the convicted communist criminal, Nelson Mandela, who was taken out of prison for the purpose.

The National Party believe that Mandela will play a decisive role in getting black leaders to come to the negotiating table, to negotiate the new constitution envisaged in the "New Action" plan.

The Party today clearly believes that Nelson Mandela, the ANC communist leader, who has been behind bars for nearly 27 years, is today not only the key to the consummation of their "New Action" plan, but the key to the whole political future of South Africa!

BREAKTHROUGH FOR COMMUNISTS

The P.W. Botha-Mandela meeting, despite government denials, was clearly a breakthrough in the ongoing saga, whether or not Mandela will be set free after the September 6 election.

The meeting, masterminded by Justice Minister Mr Coetzee, was not a breakthrough for the National Party as the National Party claimed, but a major breakthrough for Mandela, the ANC and the communists.

Since the beginning of this year, Mandela has had several visits from representatives of the South African government. In recent weeks, at least four cabinet ministers visited Mandela and had extensive discussions with him.

Thus, and stealthily so, Mandela's status is being altered in many highly important ways. His place and the body of black opinion that he leads, namely the UDF, the ANC, Inkatha and the S.A. Communist Party, must already be starting to feel assured of places at the negotiating table to come, between the government and "black leaders."

The P.W. Botha-Mandela meeting, in perspective, was that of an Afrikaner, a White man, who had turned his back on a lifetime of conservative principles in order to adapt himself to those of all the enemies of his race and civilisation, meeting with a Black communist, who still stood for the principles he had stood for over the past 26 years!

WHAT PRICE TREASON?

But how, we may well ask, does one negotiate and share power with terrorists and communists, for the "peaceful new South Africa" envisaged by Mr F.W. de Klerk and his fellow radical far-left revolutionaries?

And where does the treason against the racial and national integrity of the white nation in South Africa begin and end?

Treason, either individual and abortive, or epidemic and triumphant, is found throughout history. But what happens when a government betrays its own people, for which generations of unborn will yet have to pay with their own tears and blood, the penalty of their betrayal by their own leaders?

That our highly trained and highly dedicated Police and Defence Forces have long had the power to crush and eliminate the ANC and the communists within, and on our borders in a matter of weeks — but for the obstacle of having their hands increasingly and *effectively tied* behind their backs, by the P.W. Botha government — is a matter of common knowledge to those of our military and security chiefs not yet laid low by the deadly "liberal" virus.

This raises the all-important question: Are our military and security chiefs indefinitely going to allow themselves to be hamstrung in this manner — and at the same time allow the nation-destroying P.W. Botha government to carry forward to completion its "reforms" and politics of radical change — or are our military and security chiefs going to stand up as men and identify the real enemies of our race, nation and civilisation — and fight them as worthy descendants of their forebears?

For too long have we been fighting our communist and terrorist enemies with kid gloves.

Law and order must be restored at all costs, even if violence must be answered with violence.

WHAT IS IMMINENT

There is, indeed, a "total onslaught" against white South Africa which obviously requires a "total strategy" to defeat it. But the National Party has opted for "total surrender," albeit on the instalment plan, also known today as "*peace with no losers*" or "*peace in our time*".

The price of such "peace" will be catastrophic indeed!

What is imminent is nothing less than the suicide of the white nation, and with it the destruction root and branch of the white man and his people beyond the power of man to rebuild.

For these reasons, we would again remind our Defence and Security leaders that there can be no more slinking away from the challenges that would have roused our ancestors to instant combat.

There is a duty owed to the White man's past and to his civilisation — and an even greater duty to the white man's future and survival.

This now is the duty and the responsibility of military and security leaders who still know the meaning of the words, duty and responsibility to their race and their people.

For every nationalist and conservative worthy of the name, too, involvement has obviously now become imperative. And involvement goes far beyond casting a vote in an election.

It demands a continuing confrontation not only with the forces of evil and subversion *from without*, but with the forces of betrayal, sell-out and treason against the white nation *from within*.

LAST CHANCE FOR WHITES

It is seldom indeed that Fate will allow anyone a second chance. Yet against all probability, South African Whites have been given such a chance with the September 6 general elections.

It is a last opportunity to save themselves from extinction.

It will be the last chance they ever have.

The issue facing them is racial and national survival.

But if every nationalist and conservative does not

take the fullest advantage of the opportunities presented by the coming September 6 election — *and sweep the Conservative Party into power, and the subversive National Party out of power* — they themselves will be responsible for their nation being flushed down the drainpipes of history — as was Rhodesia! □

ILLUSION OF CHOICE

BY N.A. HUNT

*"I tell you naught for your comfort,
Yea, naught for your desire,
Save that the sky grows darker yet
And the sea rises higher."*

— G.K. Chesterton

SOUTH Africa will soon be voting in a general election. The ruling National Party hopes to be returned to power yet again. If they are, this will be the last election in which the views or the votes of the Whites are of the slightest interest or importance to anyone.

Another period of office will give time enough for the National Party to effect so many "reforms" that it will be impossible to prevent South Africa from becoming *Azania*. This has been their undeclared intention for years.

The National Party has already gone a long way towards its covert goal of black Marxist-Leninist rule for South Africa. Most of the legislation which buttressed *apartheid*, and so kept the White man safe, has been repealed. What remains — the Group Areas Act is one example — has in effect been repealed by simply instructing the Police not to enforce the law.

The recent agreement in "Namibia" has probably made our Western flank indefensible — as was all along the undeclared intention.

Nor will the pace of "reform" slacken if the National Party is returned to power. Mr de Klerk has already told journalists that he intends to take a greater and more direct interest "in negotiating an accommodation with Black leaders."

SOUTH AFRICA CAN ONLY LOSE

A readiness to negotiate always means a readiness to make concessions to other racial groups and to our enemies overseas. South Africa can only lose by negotiation, never can she gain. So the White elector may be certain that the intention is to make concessions at his expense.

To anyone who knows the realities of African life, Black leaders can only be the tribal chiefs and headmen. But in the mad world of South African politics "Black leaders" is political-speak for Marxist-Leninist terrorists who happen to be Black and the puppets of

our international enemies.

In and out of Parliament Mr de Klerk has said that "the present situation cannot last indefinitely. Things will have to change drastically and quickly." He rejected what he called "White domination"; at the same time he rejected "the unattainable liberal non-racial utopia" of the Democratic Party.

So just what are the National Party offering as a policy?

Well, there is some fine rhetoric. It seems that there is to be "justice for all," whatever that may mean. There will be "a new era free of racism, discrimination or domination, with a just and equitable dispensation in all fields for all South Africans!"

Believers in the Easter Bunny and the Tooth Fairy may find comfort in such verbiage. Those who live in the real world will feel their blood running cold.

This is the authentic language of appeasement and surrender.

THE ELECTORAL CHOICE

Until recently, it seemed that electoral choice lay between "reform" and "White domination"; that is to say between the National and Conservative Parties. But this meant that the voter had to make a straight choice: to fight for his country or to give it away. There was no comfortable middle ground in which to hide from this stark choice.

The National Party is well aware that "reform" is making more and more voters uneasy. Election results have shown that "reform" costs votes. At the same time, a large body of electoral opinion — largely from South Africa's Achilles heel, the Cape Province — shies away from the idea of "White domination."

It jars on the soggy-centred liberalism of many Cape voters. Yet what to do? The choice lay between the National Party and the Conservatives. Both were unacceptable to a large number of fence-sitters.

Politics is little different from salesmanship; the art of tempting the buyer — in this case the voter — into choosing one article or policy rather than another. Good salesmen are always careful to give the buyer a choice; while at the same time making one option seem more attractive than the other. To the relief of

many voters the salesmen of politics, experts in their field, have given the voter a choice — or so it is made to seem.

The choice is provided by the newly-formed Democratic Party, launched in April this year. It was formed by an amalgamation of three "liberal" parties. It claims to speak for one-fifth of the electorate.

It is headed by those three political thunderbolts Dr Denis Worrall, Mr Wynand Malan and Dr Zac de Beer. Dr Worrall is so far to the Left that even other liberals notice it. In the past, none of them commanded much political support. Now they have a party of their own, backed to the full by the men of Big Money.

They exemplify the truth of the Chinese adage that "he who has failed three times sets up as an instructor."

The main point about the Democratic Party is that it advocates universal suffrage. Thus those nervous voters who cannot bring themselves to support their own race and to rule their own country have a choice: they can support either the National Party of the Democratic Party.

RACIAL TRAITORS

Racial traitors — that is to say, committed liberals — will opt for the Democratic Party and the universal suffrage which has done so much to spread misery throughout the world. Those for whom the Democratic Party pill is too much to be swallowed without gagging, but who yet lack the intestinal fortitude to keep their country can find a haven in the National Party.

Because that is why the Democratic Party was founded: to split the White vote.

Whatever they may say in public, the National Party approves strongly of the Democrats. Every vote for either the National Party or the Democratic Party is a vote for White surrender; a vote denied to those Whites who still think their country worth keeping.

To the manipulators behind the scenes it matters not whether the voter chooses to support the National Party or the Democratic Party. He is lost in either event.

The Democratic Party will introduce universal suffrage. The White will thus be voted out of existence almost at once. The National Party will, by gradual "reforms," bring about the same result over a longer period.

By voting for either the elector is committing suicide. The only difference is that he pulls the trigger sooner if he allows the Democratic Party to come to power.

DOOMED EITHER WAY

Here then is the choice: sudden death with the Democrats or a long and ultimately fatal illness with the National Party. In either case, the White is doomed.

This is the price which Whites pay for allowing themselves to be persuaded that in South Africa politics concerns the clash of ideologies or philosophies. It does not.

Politics in South Africa are about which race shall rule, and about nothing else.

The stakes in the South African political game are high. For the internationalist financial groups and the one-worlders the prize is two-fold: the elimination of the formidable bastion of the West which is South Africa, and control of the enormous mineral and agricultural wealth of the country.

Against this the White South African is staking his life, the future of his country and his own racial and national future.

If the White accepts further "reforms," if he does not resolutely reject the Democratic Party and undo all that the National Party has done, he condemns himself to death and his race and nation to extinction. □

VIRUS OF LIBERALISM

HONOURABLE, gracious, generous, open-minded, wide in sympathy, enlightened. Such are some of the effulgent meanings that my dictionary gave when the word cropped up. It was once used correctly and aptly described the person or movement to which it was attached.

Today that is no longer the case. It has become a kind of front-word for every manner of neurotic hatred and longing, a rallying-banner for the mean, the stealthy, the ignorant, the haters of order, the self-piteous, the self-seeking and all the Dotty Oddies of the day.

Never has there been such a staggering spectacle of undone humanity since Dante lifted the stone from his imagination and gaped upon hell.

This "liberalism" creeps like a virus into every quarter of vitality in the State, insinuates itself into newspapers and runs out of the pores of television screens and loudspeakers, contaminating every question of importance with its disorder.

Over the straightforward style that marks the honest and the natural is laid a degenerate cosmopolitanism that attempts to persuade us by its words and sneers to abandon instinctive truth and join it in the pit.

Little wonder that these same "progressives" — having created their own intellectual Inferno on earth — should now proclaim hell to be a thing of our primitive obscurantist past and God himself to be somewhat questionable, except as the embodiment of man's first crude fumbling towards his splendid destiny — as announced by Karl Marx.

It is the great armies of the confused and compla-

cent being created by the determined few who will (by doing nothing) be decisive in the battles about man's destiny that lie ahead.

Dr Verwoerd was right to warn South Africa of the dangers of being drawn into the "sickbed" of the modern world. — London Mining Review, 1959. □

SURRENDER, APPEASEMENT, COWARDICE

PROF DR A.D. PONT

MORE than twenty five years ago the liberals in our country who were aiding and abetting the communist imperialistic aims in Southern Africa, published a pamphlet, *Face the Future*.

The main thrust of the argument was that South Africa "belonged" to the black people of South Africa, although the Xhosas never had laid any claim to a part of the Transvaal, nor the Zulus to any part of the Karoo.

But, according to this perception, the whites and especially the Afrikaners, were pictured as a colonial force who dispossessed the black peoples of their ancestral land.

The solution of the problem it was stated, was the ejection of the Afrikaner from Africa. As it was stated: *"There are moments in history when considerations of justice and tolerance give way to the necessity to root out a canker which poisons the whole society."*

Since then much has happened.

Of historic interest is the fact that forces within the Afrikaner people have succeeded in convincing the majority of Afrikaners that resistance to communist imperialism is useless. It was, and is, the former Leader of the National Party, Mr P.W. Botha's main or only claim to fame and posterity that he succeeded in getting the Afrikaner to accept a basic premise of communist expansionism in Africa.

This means that the Afrikaner no longer has a fatherland in South Africa, and that the Afrikaner is now a nation without a homeland.

COMPLETE TURNABOUT

It is the National Party that has led the Afrikaner to acceptance of the myth that his whole history from 1652-1983 has been a ghastly mistake; that his only future lies in accepting Black majority rule, communism (thinly disguised as American liberalism), and his gradual extinction as a white race.

Everything that has happened in Afrikaner circles since 1983 is an effort to convince the Afrikaner not only to accept the 1983 watershed decision but also the consequences that flow from it.

It boggles the mind that an Afrikaner politician, Mr P.W. Botha has convinced his people that national suicide is the road to national survival:

In slightly more than twenty years the National Party has made a complete Turnabout, now exhorting

the whites to speak out for a multi-racial South Africa, for citizen rights for all South Africans, and for a new South African constitution with all racial discrimination abolished.

'CHARTER OF HUMAN RIGHTS'

Part and parcel of the brave new world the National Party is building for the blacks in South Africa is their so-called Charter of Human Rights which does not differ much from the ANC's Freedom Charter of 1955, masterminded by the communist Joe Slovo.

Of ominous potent in the envisaged National Party Charter is the fact that the rights of the Afrikaner and the white man will be severely curtailed. In Art 2 the future black majority will be given the authority to review the whole situation and to redress any imbalances that are due to the result of the previous era of discrimination.

Art 2 read in conjunction with Art 15 means that the Afrikaner and the white man can be, and probably will be, dispossessed of everything he has built up in what was formerly his country.

Because the Afrikaner will be a minority in the new multi-racial South Africa he will be the victim of a majority who neither in history nor in right ever had any claim to his land. In practice this will probably result in the Afrikaner being condemned to the same fate as the *Palestinians, who were remorselessly kicked out of their own fatherland to make way for a Zionist homeland.*

Imperialist communism and intolerant American liberalism (two sides of the same coin), have decided that the Afrikaner must be eradicated as a political and historical force in Southern Africa. Unfathomably the National Party and the Afrikaner Broederbond proclaim this as the road to survival for the Afrikaner.

The spirit of Kruger and of Afrikaner survival will have been extinguished by the Cape liberal Nats, the progeny of the colonial Afrikaner, whose only aim in life is to make money.

NOT FAR-FETCHED TO SAY

It is, therefore, not so far-fetched to say that the moving force behind this whole National Party policy of surrender, appeasement and retreat, is the master-plan devised by a Rockefeller-Rothschild-Oppenheimer triumvirate to create a Southern African eco-

conomic and trading zone.

In this projection the blacks will have the political power and the international Big Money capitalists will have a free hand to exploit the mineral wealth of Southern Africa, while the white man, if tolerated at all, will be the political and economic slave of the two former groups.

The *Washington Observer* of January 1975 revealed this plan which had already been devised in the early 1960's. And in the light of all the recent events, a re-reading of the *Washington Observer* confirms that the programme of the super Money Power is straight on course.

AMAZING ANOMALY

The sudden propagation of a Charter of Human Rights for South Africa is clear proof of the fact that the National Party has become nothing more than the political tool of the fact that the National Party has become nothing more than the political tool of the Money Power.

That the hidden hand of the latter is in control is accentuated by the fact that the proposed South African Charter of Human Rights says exactly nothing about money, finance or the economy.

This is an amazing anomaly since the UN's Universal Declaration of Human Rights, on which the South African version is based, deals with these subjects quite comprehensively, in Arts 22-25.

The provisions of the U.N.'s Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights are not even reflected in this National Party Charter. Even the provisions of the ANC's Freedom Charter are totally neglected.

One can picture the absolute horror with which the Big Money Powers would view an article of the U.N.'s Covenant on Civil and Political Rights if it were written into the South African proposed Charter. After all, the U.N.'s Covenant states:

"All peoples may, for their own ends, freely dispose of their natural wealth and resources without prejudice to any obligations arising out of international economic co-operation, based upon the principle of mutual benefit, and international law. In no case may a people be deprived of its own means of subsistence."

This is certainly not what the Big Money Powers would want for South Africa!

Gradually the conviction is growing that the South African Charter of Human Rights is specifically directed *against the Afrikaner and the white nation* — and that it directly favours the Big Money capitalists and their political tool in South Africa, the black man.

One can only wonder at the National Party's enthusiasm for their new Charter which inevitably would finally destroy their own white nation in South Africa! □

POLITICAL BLACKMAILERS WILL BE FOUGHT

BY D.L. DU BOIS

ECONOMIC blackmail has long been an instrument of political interference and manipulation in attempts to control South Africa's vast mineral wealth.

It is in this context that the recent claim that by 1992 the EEC will be in a decisive position to force political change on South Africa should be viewed.

Nearly 90 years ago the British journalist J.A. Hobson saw this very clearly when he wrote in his book, "The War In South Africa," that the Anglo-Boer War was being fought so as to place a small international oligarchy of mine-owners and speculators in power in Pretoria.

Today the power of those Randlords has become crucial to the new international economic order the fulcrum of which is centred in the EEC.

The reason the Orwellian planners in their Brussels headquarters are unremitting in their demands for so-called reform in South Africa is simply to extend their control over this country's resources.

Their clamour for black social and political rights merely serves to disguise their true intentions.

JUDAS ISCARIOTS

In the name of 'human rights' and 'democracy' these modern day Judas Iscariots seek to destroy white nationalism and political control in South Africa and to supplant it with a pliant black proxy government, thereby acquiring the full title deeds to his country and its resources.

However, what these political blackmailers have not reckoned with is a South Africa that is prepared to fight back by bargaining with its mineral resources and using its economically dependent African neighbours as hostages.

For no self-respecting nation can be expected to abdicate its sovereignty and right to self-determination.

The coming Conservative Party Government of South Africa will ensure such a stand because the alternative is capitulation and demise. □

RACE PREJUDICE ESSENTIAL

THESE instinctive likes and dislikes of ours, which I speak of as prejudices, have come down to us from the prehistoric machinery which Nature employed throughout aeons of time to secure the separation of Man into permanent groups and thus to attain production of new and improved races of Mankind...

This antipathy or race-prejudice Nature has implanted within you for her own ends — the improvement of

Mankind through racial differentiation.

Race-prejudice, I believe, works for the ultimate good of Mankind and must be given a recognised place in all our efforts to obtain natural justice for the world.

— Sir Arthur Keith □

QUESTION FOR 'LIBERALS'

IF whites were black and blacks where white, the same people would be in charge.

For thousands of years whites have evolved not

superior skins but superior civilizations, the like of which the blacks have never even remotely approached in human history, anywhere.

The people whose skin happens to be black have so far proved little ability anywhere for responsible, fair, representative, prosperous, democratic government. That's fact, not opinion.

In building a new, powersharing, one-man, one-vote, democratic South Africa, just which black nation would our "liberals" and other hypocrites insist that South Africa use as a model?

— American Way Features □

MARCH INTO THE 'NEW WORLD ORDER'

FROM DON BELL REPORTS*

AFTER the changing of the tenants at the White House, what kind of a Central Government do we the People have? There is a 41st President. There is the new 101st Congress.

Then there is the permanently installed dictatorial bureaucracy which continues to make its own laws, try its own cases, and administer its own idea of justice.

Finally, there is the Elitist Cabal, still pursuing its unimpeded march into *The New World Order*.

And among those who are governed by this four-headed Central Government, there is anger, disgust, distrust, and a successful teabag revolt led by tax protesters, radio talkshow hosts, the independent alternate press, and hundreds of thousands of individuals.

Concerning the Internationalist-Communist-Monopoly Capitalist Cabal, what we wrote in our report of January 27, 1989, has been confirmed.

The New York Times of February 1 reported that President Bush has approved a revamping of the foreign policy machinery under which the White House National Security Adviser will be at the center of decision-making, administration officials said on February 1.

Under the plans endorsed by Bush, the national security adviser, Brent Scowcroft, and his deputy, Robert M. Gates, would serve as chairmen of the two top governmental panels involving senior national security officials (including Secretaries of State and Defence)."

This means that, with his associates Brent Scowcroft in charge of National Security, and Lawrence Eagleburger as Assistant Secretary of State, Henry Kissinger is once again in charge of American foreign policy and free to conduct the Internationalist-Communist drive toward Socialist World Government.

While James Baker will carry the title of Secretary of State, he'll be a showpiece ambassador, traveling from Nation to Nation to instill good will, while Eagleburger

will really run the Department, on behalf of Kissinger and the Cabal.

In reference to the above, in previous Don Bell Reports we have stated that the creation of Regional World Governments is an aim of the Conspirators, their final goal being the merging of them all in a Global Government.

We have noted that the Communist Warsaw Pact Nations formed the first such regional government, beginning as a Common Market and later becoming a political reality.

COMMON MARKETS

The European Common Market was next, to become a United States of Europe in 1992. In the process of development is the East Asia Common Market and the North American Common Market.

And now we must add a new one: The Southern African Common Market which is destined to become a fifth Regional World Government if the plans of the Conspirators are carried out.

S.E.D. Brown is editor and publisher of *The South African Observer*, a monthly that is highly regarded and respected in conservative circles throughout the world. Editor Brown is a dedicated anti-Communist, is in a position where he can still battle for the preservation of his beloved country, the Republic of South Africa. He pulls no punches and names names.

In the December, 1988, issue of *The South African Observer*, on page 3, under the heading "Facts of our Situation," he wrote and we quote verbatim:

"There are times in history when people can get by without any clear political attitudes, but not today, and certainly not in South Africa. We must be prepared to face the facts or we shall continue to be destroyed by them. And the *first most important fact* to be faced is that Mr P.W. Botha's politics of detente, 'reform' and change, which include an elaborate plan for a united states of Southern Africa, and for a Southern African

Common Market — and which eventually involves the integrating of the economies of Angola, Zaire, Zambia, Malawi, Zimbabwe, South West Africa, Tanzania, Mozambique, and the Republic of South Africa — was not masterminded by our present leaders. It was masterminded by the Rockefellers and Rothschilds, by Zionist and communist Jews, and by Harry Oppenheimer and international financial Jewry, whose ultimate global aim is to force not only the remnants of America and the West, but the entire African continent, into their strait-jacket of international-socialism — in their drive for their New World Order. It is they who are calling the tune, beating the time — with the P.W. Botha Government doing its best to get into step, and keep in step.” (The South African Observer, P.O. Box 2401, Pretoria, South Africa.)

Mr Botha, due to ill health, is expected to resign the presidency after the next election, reportedly in September. His post is to be taken by Mr F.W. de Klerk, Minister of National Education. Of de Klerk, Mr Brown inter alia had this to say:

“... The only charitable thing one can say for Mr de Klerk in this matter, is that he does not know or understand the consequences of half of what he says or does. But the move toward a Southern African Common Market is expected to continue.”

COMMON CURRENCY

In this connection, national currencies are to be eliminated, and replaced by a common, regional currency. This is necessary when each nation's taxing system is to be merged.

For United Europe there is some argument as to whether the common currency should be the *ECU* (European Common Currency, based on the original French currency of that name) or the *EMS* (European Monetary System which is the German favorite).

Says U.S. News and World Report: “A drawn-out birth must be expected for a common currency.” But such a common currency must follow if a 12-nation taxing system is to become practicable.

As for the East Asia Common Market, the Japanese *yen* is to be used, as is the American dollar in NACOM. The *Ruble*, presently a fiat currency honored only in the USSR, can become a common currency when made acceptable as an international unit of exchange. And the *Rand* would be used in the South African Common Market.

Of course, when the global merger takes place, a world currency would be established and forced upon the world.

Meanwhile, the USA-USSR Merger continues to develop.

Militarily, the U.S. and the USSR are supposed to be enemies. Nuclear weapons are aimed at each other, the contest to build SDIs and better offensive weapons continues. Yet, *The Raleigh (NC) Times* of 2/3/1989, carried this item:

“Washington — West Point is planning to exchange cadets with a military academy in the Soviet Union, Army officials said on February 2, 1989. Just as senior officers of the two military forces have visited each other, the cadets would try to establish a dialogue so they could determine each other's intentions and reduce the risk of miscalculation, which history has shown to be a prime cause of war.”...

*DON BELL REPORTS, P.O. Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, USA □

REMINDER TO WEST

YOU of the West have in your traditions certain invaluable beliefs about man and society and history and human destiny and the nature of God — beliefs that you should feel free to export and to teach others.

But many of you have taken life too much for granted. Therefore, the great deposit of belief and conviction and interpretation of life which has come down to you, you simply don't honour enough. You are a bit diffident.

“The Communist world will force you to articulate yourselves. Asia and Africa are going to ask, ‘What do you believe?’

My deepest fear — if you want it put bluntly to you — is that you don't know the infinite values that you have at the basis of your own civilization and you don't believe in them enough to put them strongly to the rest of the world.”

— Charles Malik Past President of the U.N. □

LESSON IN SOCIALISM AND COMMUNISM

A TEACHER in the U.S. once explained to his class the Marxist precept, “from each according to his ability” and “to each according to his needs,” as follows:

When one of the brighter or harder-working pupils makes a grade of 95 on a test, I suggest that I take away 20 points and give them to a student who has made only 55 points on his test. Thus each would contribute according to his ability and — since both would have a passing mark — each would receive according to his need. After I have juggled the grades of all the other pupils in this fashion, the result is usually a “common ownership” grade of between 75 and 80 — the minimum needed for passing, or for survival. Then I speculate with the pupils as to the probable results if I actually used the socialistic theory for grading papers.

First, the highly productive pupils — and they are always a minority in school as well as in life — would soon lose all incentive for producing. Why strive to make a high grade if part of it is taken from you by

"authority" and given to someone else?

Second, the less productive pupils — a majority in schools elsewhere — would, for a time, be relieved of the necessity to study or to produce. This socialist-communist system would continue until the high producers had sunk — or had been driven down — to the level of the low producers. At that point, in order for anyone to survive, the "authority" would have no alternative but to begin a system of compulsory labour and punishments against even the low producers. They, of course, would then complain bitterly, but without understanding.

Finally, he returns to the discussion of the ideas of freedom and enterprise — the market economy — where each person has freedom of choice, and is responsible for his own decisions and welfare.

Gratifyingly enough, most of his pupils then understood what he meant when he explained that socialism — even in a democracy — will eventually result in a living-death for all, except for the "authorities" and a few of their favourite lackeys.

— George R. Griffin, USA. □

AFRICAN NATIONALISM

AFRICAN Nationalism, of which so much is heard, is not nationalism at all, but a movement on the part of the Western educated and Communist-inoculated leaders of a great number of disparate tribes, all of them Black, to free themselves from the rule of European peoples.

It is only the blackness that unites. There is no common peace-time aim visible, or common interest for it to serve.

History is wholly against the idea that such peoples could live together in amity without some governing power over them and also against the idea that such a power could be originated from within.

There are far too many essential differences, too many sources for strife, whatever "black federations" they may seem to be rushing into at the moment.

— Sir Charles Belcher □

BIOLOGICAL sciences will in the end take the lead; for without life there is no science. — Jacques Cousteau □

WHITE INTERESTS PARAMOUNT

BY N.A. HUNT

I WILL vote for the Conservative Party for purely selfish reasons. I am concerned for my own interests and those of my family, and their posterity.

I believe that these interests will be served best by a White government, run by Whites and with White interests regarded as paramount. I am therefore in favour of such a government in South Africa.

I am retired. I am therefore naturally interested in the continued payment of my pension. I am certain that I will continue to receive it under a White government. I am very far from certain that a Black government would honour this obligation. Should I predecease my wife I am naturally anxious that she should receive the widows' pension for which I have worked. I do not believe that a Black government would pay it.

Should I become ill, I believe that I should be best off in a hospital exclusively for people of my own race, administered by Whites to White standards. Under a Black government such hospitals will not exist. Hospitals would be multi-racial, and administered to Black standards. I should fare better under a White government.

In legal matters, I believe that I should fare better and be more likely to receive justice if my case is heard by a White judicial officer, administering a legal system designed for White people. Further, if I must be imprisoned I believe that I should be better treated in a prison run by Whites to White standards. In the multi-racial prison which a Black government would operate

I should be less well off and less well treated.

I believe that my grand-children will be happier and better educated in an exclusively White school. I do not believe that they should be compelled to attend multi-racial schools or to become part of a Negroid culture. Only a White government will ensure that this does not happen.

I prefer to live in a suburb inhabited by people of my own race and background.

I believe that I should be worse off in a racially mixed suburb. I consider it important that streets be regularly swept and kept to clean, that garbage is regularly collected, that traffic lights and street lights function efficiently and that milk and newspapers are delivered regularly. Only Whites consider such matters important. Therefore I support White rule.

LIP SERVICE

At least in theory, South Africa at present pays lip service to the idea of capitalism and the rewarding of private enterprise. I am in favour of this system. It is not to my advantage to live in a socialist or Marxist society.

"A more just society", "a redistribution of the national wealth" and similar socialist slogans merely mean, in my view, that the competent will be robbed to aid the incompetent. I do not wish to see what I have spent a working lifetime accumulating taken from me by force.

A White government will not do this to me; a Black one will. I am therefore in favour of White rule.

Since I can see no way of reconciling the right of the Black to associate with me with my right not to associate with him, I am in favour of separate development or *apartheid*.

I do not believe that it is to my advantage that I should be forced to alter my way of life to accommodate the needs and wishes of the Black man. Equally, I do not wish to force him into a White strait-jacket, or to make him a carbon copy of the White.

It follows that I believe that each race should be allowed to advance at its own pace in its own area and at its own expense towards goals which it has decided for itself. Only White rule can ensure that this happens.

I wish my children and grand-children to thrive and prosper in my country. This can happen only under a White government, guided by the principle that White interests are paramount.

I therefore support a strong, Conservative White government. This would, as it happens, be in the best interests of the Black as well though, as I have said, I regard his interests as secondary to my own.

It will, I hope, be clear that I support undiluted White rule based on the principle that White interests are and always shall be paramount. In no other way can my race survive. □

S.A. CAUGHT IN TRAP OF DEVELOPMENT AID

ONE of the world's best-known *fundis* on development aid, Lord Peter Bauer, released a series of essays entitled "Development Economics After 40 Years."

Editor James A. Dorn notes the "disregard of reality that has occurred outside economics by calling for a massive 're-distribution of wealth' in the name of equality and social justice."

He pointed out that outlining differences in income and wealth without understanding the role of institutions, self-interest, individual abilities and attitudes and a host of other important factors affecting material progress is to invite policies that undermine the very institutions and personal traits which have been instrumental in reducing world poverty and stimulating economic progress.

Dr Bauer stated in one of his essays that "*politics, cultural practices, social attitudes and motivations all have as much to do with development as do increases in gross national products. These abilities are major determinants of economic performance and thus of material progress*".

He challenged a widely-promulgated notion that

poverty is self-perpetuating and that only aid will extract the Third World from its grip.

According to this notion, stagnation and poverty are a trap; poor people generally and poor societies in particular are trapped in their poverty, and cannot generate sufficient savings to escape from this trap.

This notion is in obvious conflict with simple reality. Throughout history, innumerable individuals, families, groups, societies and countries have moved from poverty to prosperity without external donations. All developed countries began as underdeveloped. If the notion of the vicious circle were valid, mankind would still be in the Stone Age at best.

Bauer slammed the cancerous sentiment of guilt which is so often invoked as a reason for greater handouts.

The widespread feeling of guilt is a significant influence behind some of the baffling manifestations of the contemporary disregard of reality.

It helps to explain such matters as the acceptance of unfounded notions about First World responsibility for Third World backwardness.

Guilt has contributed to the confusion between the merits of charity in helping the less fortunate, and the idea that income differences as such are reprehensible results of oppression and exploitation. These differences are commonly referred to as 'inequalities'.

It may be worth while for some of our Cabinet Ministers to examine the motivations behind their demands upon the Treasury for more and more funds to "equalize" education, hospital services, pensions and the like in the light of Professor Bauer's experiences in the cockpit of the wealth-siphon which is called "*Development Aid*."

— From London Independent □

TRAITORS SWARM

Confusion reigns, the hour is late,
Traitors swarm through the unbarred gate,
Freedom's for sale, and with it men —
Hark! Can't they hear that cry again?
Down through the ages, endlessly,
Never surrender your sovereignty! □

PART OF WORLD REVOLUTION

Professor Harold J. Laski, writing in the "New Statesman and Nation" on January 11, 1942, relative to World War II, stated:

"For this war is in its essence merely an immense revolution in which the war of 1914, the Russian Revolution and the counter-revolutions on the Continent are earlier phases." □

CHRISTIANS WHO OUT-ZION THE JEWS

FROM INSTAURATION, USA*

AMERICAN Christian support of Israel has become almost as important as American Jewish support of the Zionist state. There may be 6 million Jews in America who support Israel, but there are some 40 million Christian Americans who follow religious leaders actively boosting and promoting Zionist Israel.

A few Jewish Zionists from time to time will criticize Israel and will even call for a Palestinian state. Christian Zionists, the most fanatical supporters of militant Zionism, will never do so. Whatever Arab land Israel seizes, Christian Zionists want them to take more.

The majority of the Christian Zionists are so-called fundamentalists. In years past, they have accepted every word found in their mistranslated Bibles as Holy Writ, such as: The Jews are God's Chosen people; God gave the Holy Land to His Chosen People; God will bless those who bless the Jews, and will curse those who curse them.

Fundamentalism changed radically with the creation of Israel in 1948, and especially since the 1967 Six Day War.

In increasing numbers, evangelical-fundamentalists have turned to a belief system called dispensationalism, which places Israel on centre stage and purports that Israel must be the site for their (Christian) salvation. But before they are saved, they must go through seven time periods or dispensations. The countdown began with the gathering of the Jews into present-day Palestine and the creation of Israel.

THE NEXT STEP

The next step, the dispensationalists claim, will be the building or rebuilding of Solomon's Temple in Palestine and the reinstatement of animal sacrifices.

Jews plan to build their "Third Temple" at the spot in the Old City of Jerusalem where the Muslim Dome of the Rock and the Al-Alsa Mosque, two of the most holy structures of the Islamic religion, are presently located. Several terrorist-styled assaults and bombings have already been made on the Muslim buildings.

Christians have formed the Temple Mount Foundation to assist in the destruction of the Muslim holy places. Rev. James DeLoach of Houston's Second Baptist Church, has been quoted as saying that he and others in the foundation have raised and spent tens of thousands of dollars to defend Israelis charged with assaults on the Islamic structure.

Rev. DeLoach was reportedly asked that if the Israelis managed to blow up the Al-Alsa Mosque, triggering W.W. III and nuclear holocaust, would he and his colleagues not be responsible? "No," he responded, "because what they are doing is God's will."

The media devote a great deal of space to Shiite fanatics who go on suicidal missions because they believe they are doing the will of God. But we seldom hear about American Christians who believe that if they are responsible for starting a nuclear war in the Middle East, they are also doing God's will.

Far from working for peace in the Middle East, dispensationalists believe it is God's will — indeed his command — that the U.S. engage in Armageddon.

They believe that a 200-million-man army will invade Israel and that the last, decisive battle, involving nuclear weapons, will kill most of the earth's inhabitants!

'WORLD VIEW' OUTLINED

A leading dispensationalist is television evangelist and presidential aspirant Marion G. (Pat) Robertson, the founder of the Christian Broadcasting network. Outlining his world view in 1981 on The 700 Club, Robertson stated:

"I believe that the Bible indicates that ultimately Israel will take territory all the way up to the Euphrates River, which is north of Damascus. This might well be the trigger that would bring the Soviet Union down on Israel for an invasion that was spoken of in the Book of Ezekiel, Chapter 38, and I don't think we've got a long time to wait for this."

The dispensationalists, however, do not expect to be around for the nuclear holocaust destined to follow the outbreak of the war. They say they will be Raptured — lifted up in the clouds — just before it all begins. Blessed with this escape hatch, they look forward to the End of Time.

In 1985, Moral Majority leader Jerry Falwell sponsored a tour of Israel for American Christians. In the color brochure (printed in Israel) provided to the 850 Christians traveling with him to the Land of Christ, no mention was made of Christ. The tour itinerary skipped all the Christian sacred places: where Christ was born, where he conducted his ministries and where he died. The tour guides were Israeli Jews.

In Jerusalem, Falwell reportedly introduced Ariel Sharon to the American Christians in his traveling group and stated that in the annals of history only a few great men come along. He named George Washington and Abraham Lincoln. His third choice: Ariel Sharon!

The burly, former Israeli terrorist and general, said America made a mistake in stopping Israel's invasion of Lebanon, where tens of thousands, mostly civilians, were killed and wounded. Falwell then reportedly stated that the U.S. should back Israel in future wars

"to wipe out the enemies." As Falwell spoke, the Christians jumped to their feet and shouted, "Amen."

At a recent religious trade fair, Falwell reaffirmed the dispensationalists' position when he stated that *"theologically, any Christian has to support Israel, simply because Jesus said to."*

CHRISTIAN-ZIONIST CONGRESS

In late August 1945, the first Christian Zionist Congress was held in Basel, Switzerland, in the same hall where Theodor Herzl convened the first Jewish Zionist Congress 88 years earlier. Close to 600 Christians were present, representing 27 countries.

The Christians proposed a resolution urging Israel to annex the West Bank. An Israeli Jew in the audience rose before the motion was voted upon and suggested that the language be modified. He pointed out that a recent poll showed one-third of Israelis would be willing to trade territory seized during the 1967 Six Day War for peace with the Palestinians.

In answer to that, one Christian reportedly shouted, *"We don't care what the Israelis vote! We care about what God says! And God gave the land to the Jews!"*

The Christians then passed the resolution.

A 1987 Nielsen survey indicated that 40% of all U.S. families who have television sets watch TV evangelists at least once a month. With one exception, Billy Graham, all the major electronic preachers are raging Christian Zionists — Robertson, Falwell, Swaggart, the Bakkers, Oral Roberts, Kenneth Copeland and Rex Humbard.

This means that 45 million Americans regularly listen to dispensationalists who make a cult of Israel — or did listen until the Swaggart and Bakker scandals.

Today, American Christians are largely divided into two groups: (1) followers of those who make a cult of Israel; (2) the majority of the remaining Christians. The latter won't criticize the cult of Israel for fear someone will say, *"What, have you forgotten the Holocaust? Are you anti-Semitic?"*

Few Christians today will stand up and openly condemn the ferocious oppression of Palestinians by Jewish Zionists. Those who do, no matter how many Palestinian children are killed, are attacked as fiercely by Christian Zionists as by their Jewish Zionist counterparts. □

**Instauration* is published monthly by Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc., Box 76, Cape Canaveral, Florida 32920, USA.

S.A. OPENS TRADE WITH REDS

SOUTH Africa has opened trade talks with the communist bloc.

In a dramatic turn-about in its political stance, the Government has opened talks with Red China and

— Acknowledgements Jewish Herald Times

DANCING THE 'HORAH' FOR VOTES



The leader of the National Party, Mr F.W. de Klerk, dancing the 'Horah' as the guest of honour at the dinner dance hosted by Johannesburg businessman, Mr Anthony Solomon. The function was to lobby votes from the Jewish community.

Soviet satellite states in Eastern Europe on the creation of new two-way trade links.

The Director-General of the Department of Trade and Industry, Dr Stef Naude, has been assigned to tackle the sensitive negotiations.

WORST TRAITORS

THE whole history of the White man's retreat is one of betrayal by the moderate conservatives who at every stage, try to find an accommodation with the dynamic forces of change and the Zionist-communist World revolution.

History is replete with the disasters this brings in its train, but man never learns.

There are three forces involved, as follows:

(1) The activists of change: liberals, do-gooders, communists and so-called Christian humanists.

(2) On the other side, those who are aware of the disasters which will follow and who set out to oppose the change, or at least change on essential points.

(3) In between, a big mass of people, in leading positions everywhere, who will not face facts, who would prefer to live in a conservative atmosphere, but rather than face up to the aggressive dynamism of the Left will either remain silent or will even try to persuade the real conservatives to compromise with the extreme forces of destruction in some blind hope that this will appease and at least gain for them some respite from the strain of making decisions.

The third group is the most pernicious of all the traitors. □

BACKGROUND MUSIC

THERE is to me something not merely unpleasing but really sinister about this background music, now turned on everywhere, like an endless spray of melted, rancid butter. Somebody or Something does not want us to think. He or It is afraid of what our minds might discover in silence. — J.B. Priestley ☐

NO Liberal is completely worthless. He can always serve as a horrible example to others. ☐

THE hottest places in hell are reserved for those who, in a period of moral crisis, maintain their neutrality. — Dante ☐

CALL TO AFRIKANER

*AFRIKANER turn back
There is still enough room
For the oxen to wheel
At the edge of the Krantz
Still a chance
Can't you feel that the ground is unfirm
The spears and the impis were real
At the river
Yet you stood and you won
And now will you run
Stampeded by shadows
Trusting in mirages
What's World Opinion
Only a tissue
Of toxic hypocrisy spun by the media
What is Black Africa
Only an infant
Unable to feed itself
Help itself
Heal itself
And who are your enemies
Only a few men
Their own breed of men
The sad sons of money
Then stand Afrikaner
Be true to your own
That way alone
True to mankind
If true to your own.*

— CALEB ☐

HENRY Ford Sr. once remarked, "It is well enough that the people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system for, if they did I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning." ☐

ALL political matter in this issue of the S.A. Observer by S.E.D. Brown, unless otherwise stated. ☐

OUR READERS SAY...

I would like to suggest that the powers-that-be start their campaign of free-settlement areas in such suburbs as Houghton, Bishops-court, Constantia, etc, where live the champions of the cause of racial integration.

They should be only too happy to welcome the more affluent non-Whites into their midst, and not be too unhappy as they witness the devaluation of their properties.

By doing this we shall see how true integration works before moving gradually down the economic scale, by which time, one hopes, some of the other racial groups will have adopted more socially acceptable habits and a better standard of living. — J.R.P. (Cape Town)

* * *

SOUTH West Africa has been thrown to the wolves. We have been betrayed by the likes of Mr P W Botha and the National Party.

"We were told that Resolution 435 would only be implemented after every Cuban and Russian was out of the country, but as it is, Cubans are constantly replacing those who leave and what is more, Vietnamese are now also brought into South West Africa." — P.T.C. (Windhoek)

* * *

YOU CAN tell when you are on the right road. It's uphill. — M.N. (Durban)

* * *

A RECENT press report about resistance by northern SWA farmers to Resolution 435 was headlined "Pienaar Confronts Tough Right-wing Challenge."

In other words, if you do not want a communist government, you are "right-wing."

We would have thought it was "normal" not to want to live under Black communist rule. — W.F.A. (Windhoek)

* * *

SO-CALLED "World Opinion" is a present-day fraud being perpetrated on the world by a coterie of loud-mouthed hypocrites, moneyed liberals, anarchists, communists, political Zionists, and other experts in the systematic subversion of the West.

It is symptomatic of the decadence of the Western world and should be rejected as an alien, insidious influence worthy only of the utmost contempt. — R.S.C. F.W.R. (Dublin)

* * *

IT beats me when anti-communist countries, such as the USA, can see Zimbabwe as the Utopian situation in Africa and South Africa as the world's cesspool where only communist-leaning clerics speak the truth. — R.P.J. (New York)

LIKE all true Afrikaner patriots, who refuse to be alienated from our nationalism or national pride by the renegade National Party regime and its liberal Afrikaans newspapers, I have the highest regard and appreciation for your magnificent, invaluable and unselfish efforts to bring essential light and knowledge to people who would otherwise be carried away by their lack of information. — J.G. (Somerset West)

* * *

THE greatest superstition now entertained by public men is that hypocrisy is the royal road to success. — D.L.T. (London, WC2)

* * *

THE whites in Southern Africa can hardly be blamed for thinking that the American attitude towards Africa leaves little to choose between them and the Russian and Chinese communists. — B.C.W. (Salisbury)

* * *

THE Democratic Party's birth pangs have evoked, in about equal measure, enthusiasm, merriment and ire.

And, to be honest, a new party with three leaders and many free-thinkers is indeed a wondrous thing to behold. And of course highly dangerous, representing, as it does, no-one but the men of Big Money and S.A. Jewry. — G.H.B. (Pretoria)

* * *

MY congratulations at pointing to the realities of political Zionism. You have struck directly at the heart of the Old Man of the Sea who has kept his legs wrapped around our necks for so many centuries.

I hope that we may be as successful as Sinbad in eventually ridding ourselves of the creature that we took on our shoulders in a moment of fatuous compassion, as it whined about its pretended plight.

I hope so; if we do not, we are simply finished as a race and will join the other species that became biologically obsolete. — R.P.O. (Chicago, USA)

* * *

PRICE increases do not cause inflation — inflation causes price increases.

It is not possible for the consumer to pay more for goods and services unless the extra money is available.

Inflation is caused by printing more money, and for this the Government's monetary policies are entirely responsible. — A.L.C. (Durban)

* * *

AT least, the Ayatollah is defending his faith and culture. With the Lord's Prayer being removed from public readings in America who's defending ours in the USA?

* * *

I recently spent four days and nights in Hillbrow after an absence of five years.

I made enquiries at the hotel where I could walk, and

was told it was unsafe to walk in a certain direction.

Taking the hotel's advice, I walked in the other direction and it was like Harlem in New York. Prostitutes both black and white abounded, the streets and lanes were filthy and washing hung everywhere.

I ask South Africans — do you want to see the future under a National Party government? Go and look at Hillbrow.

It is impossible to understand how any sane person who has any values at all can still vote for the present National Party regime. — J.M.C. (London, WC2)

* * *

THE communists know that they just have to beat their drums, and threaten bloodshed, to get the liberals in South Africa to do their dirty work for them.

It is these same liberals who now sit back and urge the present Government to continue their work of eradicating Afrikaner patriotism and White self respect. — S.P.V. (Pretoria)

* * *

ARE South Africans in general and the new Afrikaner breed in particular so blind that they cannot see what lies ahead. It is definitely not going to be a blissful paradise where all are equal — it is going to be a one-party State with no room in the sun for the White.

The ultimate goal in this country is not "one man one vote", but "black majority rule".

Africa tells its own story. Show me one country in Africa that has made a success after getting rid of its whites — there is no such place. They are all poverty-stricken and disease-ridden and rely on the rest of the world to support and feed their starving masses. — W.S.A. (Johannesburg)

* * *

AS an ex-Rhodesian my message is "Awaken and Arise, White South Africa!" — E.N.N. (Middelburg) □

ONCE a nation casts away the hero in its soul, once its citizens do not maintain holy their highest hope, they cease to count in the world and they will soon disappear from the world. If men and nations surrender the will-to-live, the joy of life, the exuberance that bids them *be*, the days of their years are numbered.

— E. Merrill Root □

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

For 12 Issues . . . R20.00 (\$13.00) (£10.00) Post free

Airmail rate to Britain	£4.00 Extra
Airmail rate to Europe	£4.00 Extra
Airmail rate to Australia	\$12.00 Extra
Airmail rate to U.S.A.	\$12.00 Extra

Subscriptions to be sent to:
The Secretary, S.A. Observer,
P.O. Box 2401, Pretoria