Witness Reporters s alleged that six ers were attacked in Richmo ers who declared : no other party being

of its supbort-

by ANC support $\frac{200}{234}$ ANC territory $\frac{200}{235}$ with allowed to operate the)

The accusation has been vehemently airman of the ANC branch 1s0 Nkabine, who said the assaulted were all mem-

in Richmond, Fi

people who w
bersofthe ANC.
C spokesman Barn,
e victims suffered
Spears and sjamboks
endale Hospital,
â\200\234The PAC once
dissent and p

ey Desai said some
wounds caused by
and were admitted to

more calls for tolerance of eace among Africans \hat{a} 200\224 stop now, \hat{a} 200\235 Desai said.

C was aware the in-

cident took place but said it was against

ANC members who were $\hat{a}\200\234guilty$ of rape, housebreaking and $arson\hat{a}\200\235$, -

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ It appears that the comrades took the situation into their own hands without going thg'gugh the ANC structures, $\hat{a}\200\235$ Nkabinde said.

He added a meeting would be held today in an attempt to defuse the situation.

Meanwhile, six men were injured when fighting broke out between Inkatha and ANC supporters on a KwaZulu Transport bus in Eastwood while the bus was en route to Table Mountain,

_ Police spokesman Lieutenant Henry Budhram said the six people were stabbed with $a\200\234$ sharp instruments $200\235$ on Thursday afternoon and they were admitted to N orth-

dale and Edendale hospitals. He said no arrests were made, $\hat{a} \ge 00 \le 30$

Chief Mhlabunzima Maphumulo claimed

yesterday the men were Table Mountain followj

i ity i ich they were charged with illegal possession of weapons. He said armed Inkatha supporters boarded the bus 'and began stabbing the men.....

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ We have lost control of our culture, our tradition and ourselves, $\hat{a}\200\235$ Maphumulo said.

He said the attack made no sense, but claimed that Inkatha supporters cannot resist $a\200\234$ soft targets $200\235$.

KwaZulu Legislative Assembley member David Ntombela said if the attackers were igdeed Inkatha supporters, \hat{a} 200\234then I condem them \hat{a} 200\235,

Reacting to the incident, KZT spokesman Mark Louden said any form of disruption to Publictransport should be taken seriously.

Also in Table Mountain, a weman died when she was shot with an AK47.

; \ S e \?%gpckâ\200\231z 1o lened The Saturday News, January 19, 1991

sat\\\a^200\\234\\a^200\\230ds PE CT

L =

FLEEING from the violence: two children run for cover as a Zulu impi takes to the streets in Thokoza on the East Rand, during vicious clashes between the ANC and Inkatha.

b KO 1 (.Z/ O ? V

ANC and KwaZulu From Mr Ben M. Skosana

Sir,] must strongly take issuc with some of Arthur Millerâ\200\231s insinuations about Dr Mangosuthu Buthelezi | and the Zulu people (Saturday . Review, January 12). The chieftain-â\200\231 ship of Dr Mandcla is nowhere cited by him or the ANC as an impediment to meeting Dr Buthelezi as Mr — Miller suggests. What is an affront is the threat by certain ANC members $10 ~ \hat{a} \ 200\ 234 throttle \hat{a} \ 204 \ Dr Mandcla if he ever goes ahcad with such a meeting.$

Anyone familiar with the ANC political campaign against KwaZulu knows that the Zulus did not initiate the attack on the Xhosas, as Mr Miller clearly implies, but that the opposite is_true. By accusing Dr Buthelezi of â\200\234justifying apartheid by accepting the leadership of a concocted homeland where his people were dumpedâ\200\235 Mr Miller displays the adulterated liberalism peculiar | o the willing viclims of ANC propaganda.

Had Mr Miller cared 10 investi- | gatc properly the genesis of the leadership of Dr Buthelezi in KwaZulu, hc would have been awarc of a monumental contradiction: namely, that Dr Mandela and most of the ANC leadership are regular VIP guests of the so-called independent Xhosa homeland of the | Transkei â\200\224 a perfect creation by Pretoria for Xhosa-speaking South Africans such as Dr Mandela, Mr Tambo and most of the ANC leaders. Yours faithfully,

BEN SKOSANA (Inkatha permanent representative in the UK), .

PO Box 1638, London NW8. January 14.

R PRSPOAT

There is also a strong feeling that foreign investment will only be attracted back to South Africa once the violence has ended.;

Thus the meeting on January 29 is likely â\200\230to be not only the first in'a series which could set a peace process firmly in place in Natal and beyond, but also a meeting which has great significance in determining any future government.

It will give a practical demonstration to supporters at grassroots lgvel, as well as organisational officials, of political tolerance, and it is also the coming together of South Africaâ\200\231s two most prominent black leaders, who at the moment compete as rivals.

The head of the Department of Politics at the University of Natal,

Professor Mervyn Frost, believes the agreement by Dr Buthelezi and Mr Mandela to meet signifies a perception by both political organisations that progress cannot be made in ne-

gotiating a new constitution without

the co-operation of other parties.

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ What we $\hat{a}\200\231$ ve witnessed over the last 18 months is a belief by some members of both those parties that they could go it alone, $\hat{a}\200\235$ Professor Frost said, referring to the constant political wrangling by the two organisations who both at times displayed a complete intolerance of and disregard for each other.

 $\hat{a}\200\234I$ think this meeting is an extremely significant milestone in the negotiation process, the importance of which cannot be overestimated. $\hat{a}\200\235$

An expected \hat{a} 200\224 and greatly hoped

To PERACE

3

Α

for $a\200\224$ easing of the conflict in the _.wake of the talks will also have an:- impact on national negotiations, - which have been hindered by the

continuing violence and by lack of
;mity among anti-apartheid group-

ngs.

Previously, supporters of the two organisations have responded well to peace talks between their leaders. Examples of this are apparent both in smaller localised peace pacts, such as in Shongweni Valley and the Lower Umfolosi area, as well as more national pacts such as took place in mid-1989.

However, the price of failure is high. When talks in June 1989 led to a peace plan being worked out by high-

profile officials from Inkatha and the .

Cosatu/UDF alliance, death figures in Natal dropped dramatically to nine deaths in June and 25 in July.

But when the talks collapsed in August, death figures rose to 95 that month.

The January 29 meeting is likely to be an emotional occasion for the two leaders. Close friends in the

1940s, both have repeatedly through

the years spoken of their respect and affection for each other, and of their unhappiness that they were unable to meet earlier.

Mr Mandela has emphasised his gratitude for Dr Butheleziâ\200\231s efforts to ensure his release from prison, while Dr Buthelezi refers to Mr Mandela as $a\200\234$ the martyr of our struggle $a\200\235$.

At the same time, acrimonious exchanges through the media have left bitterness and a certain amount of rivalry, which they will have to come to terms with.

Another problem which has been raised by political commentators is that the public at large is nurturing dangerously high expectations of $a\geq 0$ 0\234miraculous peace $a\geq 0$ 0\235; that people are expecting dramatic results from what could just be the first of many meetings between the two leaders and their organisations.

These expectations, if not fulfilled,

â\200\230eould lead to the situation where _people at grassroots level lose all

hope and begin to vent their frustrations through the only option they know $\hat{a}\200\224$ violence.

However, there is no doubt that at the moment the South African community is waiting with bated breath for the meeting that many feel could go a long way towards ending the appalling circle of violence in the country.:

Whatever the final outcome of the talks, the first move towards political tolerance and multi-party nego-

"tiations will have been made.

Now i^2202a e\y must

bury the panga

tives, too numerous to mention, that have been calling for and quietly facilitating this meeting. Praise is further due to the two leaders and their advisers for deciding to act as statesmen rather than politicians in this regard. Knowing the deep respect the two leaders have for each other, and the respect they both have for human life, I have always refused to accept that it was impossible for them to launch a joint effort to

 $200\230$ their people, caused by violence.

Accusations

)

" The challenges they will face at the meeting will be enormous. Only mutual goodwill and sincerity will enable them to meet these

In the first place, they will have to endeavour to dwell more on the future than on the past. They will have to decide how to stop the killings and the hatred, and not how they failed to do so in the past. The former approach can only lead to progress in normalising their political relationship; the latter can only lead to accusa—

stalemate.

Secondly, the two leaders will have to accept that only a joint strategy will help them to address the violence. Joint peace rallies, joint and complimentary press statements about the violence, joint tours to violence-infested areas â\200\224 all these would give a tremendous boost to their efforts

to save us from the violence.

Thirdly, one of the most disappointing aspects of the relationship between the ANC and Inkatha has been the absence of a mechanism whereby the two or-

NE of the most welcome New Year gifts Through for the victims of the vio- My Eves lence between Inkatha and y Eye â\200\230] the ANC is the announce-ment that the leaders of the OSCAR two organisations will meet 4 DHLOMO on January 29. This is excit- |, ing news also for people, or- i d . ganisations and media representa- ganisations could remain in con alleviate the unbearable suffering .

are attacks (provoked or unpro-tions, counter-accusations andâ»

tact, even in times of peace. This has resulted in the organisations communicating with each other through the media.; Hopefully the meeting will also pay attention to this anomaly. Fourthly, I hope the two leaders and their delegations will find a way of saying to their followers, $a\200\234$ Do not retaliate if you are at-. tackedâ $\200\235$, and that they will also stop qualifying their statements on violence with the words, \hat{a} 200\234My people are entitled to defend themselvesâ\200\235. I say this because this violence has become a deadly triangle, the three sides of which

voked), self-defence and retaliation. .

Usually, self-defence and retaliation become more ferocious and devastating than the original at-

tack and a\200\230the violence cycle goes: -

on and on: I know this is quite a diffi

cult, one for leaders to swal-

lead people? ;

In this regard, I was highly im- | pressed by Archbishop Tutuâ\200\231s re- | cent call to the victims of the recent virgil massacre in Sebokeng , not to go out and retaliate. - |

Ample space

```
Finally, I pray that the meeting '
will lead to the realisation by the
two leaders that South Africaâ\200\231
needs both of them to grace our
political stage and strengthen
each other. The future of the {\tt ANC}
does not depend on the elimina-
tion of Inkatha and vice-versa.
There is ample space for peaceful
political co-existence in our-coun-
try. It behoves all our political
leaders, through words and deeds,
to be seen to be creating and en-
larging, rather than diminishing,
that space.
Gl 4 b o
e Jreigor
Р.е
lowai ho said it was easy to
q
-â\200\230Acâ\200\231h.iiï¬\201ime--ï¬\201&sâ\200\230b&'i-&&hla-
e e T T T T Y R TR S R Rl
'.ia; nau-vdes â\200\224
â\200\230BOLIJVY Yjnos 03 uor}
-erjedal Sunjieme 919Y) SaIPeD
Kep-om)
â\200\230BIquIeZ 03 3181
-je woJj Jej AI9A I8 M,
slaj10daa p[oy.
JIapes] DNV a3 . \hat{a}\200\2301omod asn [[Im
am \hat{a}\200\230paaoons jou op 9s:} J,
® Jo pud 3y} je
U0 eYESNTT Ul pPaAliIe 9y
DNV Uim. sy[e) Joj Aepsaupap
â\200\230uorsensiad pue jusuIn3ie
```

```
â\200\230SOAI[
pres | snT |
SEEELC B
SeogE E 2
Eggg""ï¬\201g w @ smESEE> & w
S 2B
251t B E
a"â\200\230gzéz?é 28
523058 m @
E f3=38 ®
& 88=8F @ O°
ggs E°Â§~m g%
3=ÂŞ−E BE e
s mEkEm 3 s
EgeEite 3 9H
Somasel BN Z
4 5\(\alpha\)200\\2305_=;3\(\alpha\)200\\230\(\alpha\)30 \\00=
нна е
aégg 5â\200\230? S e
\hat{A}$zg. go \hat{a}\200\224
0!
2883 g5
Esds I3
29BE m<
```

8A THE CHARLOTTE OBSERVER Saturday, January 19, 1991

By TINA SUSMAN Assoclated Press

JOHANNESBURG, South Africa $\hat{a}\200\224$ A judge ruled in a defamation suit Friday that two newspapers were probably justified in linking a police officer to alleged death squads.

The ruling in favor of the newspapers raised anew the question of the white-led governmentâ\200\231s tactics against its opponents. The opinion clashed with findings of a government commission that concluded there was no proof of organized death squads.:

The judgeâ\200\231s ruling also struck a major blow for press freedom in this country, where the media have often been repressed.

The police officer, Lt. Gen. Johan Neethling, sued the Vrye Weekblad and Weekly Mail news-

papers after they printed the allegations in 1989 that he supplied poison to kill activists opposed to the official system of racial separation, known as apartheid. A for- $a\200\234$ mer police officer who claimed to have taken part in death squad activities made the accusation.

In his ruling, which took two days to read, Judge Johan Kriegler described Neethlingâ\200\231s accuser, Dirk Coetzee, as a $\frac{200}{234}$ \200\230self-confessed hoodlumâ\200\235â\204¢ â\200\224 but said his stories were believable,

 $\hat{a}\200\234Why$ would he declare himself guilty of such heinous crimes if it ha_c(ii not been the truth? $\hat{a}\200\235$ Kriegler said.

Neethling denied wrongdoing, claimed he never met Coetzee and sought \$600,000 from the newspapers. He stood up angrily after the ruling Friday and said he would

appeal.

As head of the South African Police forensics - department, Neethling had access to poison, Kriegler noted. He said evidence indicated Neethling lied to the court and the government-appointed Harms Commission when he denied knowing Coetzee.

The commission concluded in November that police probably committed violence against antiapartheid figures, but there was no evidence of organized death squads in this nation of 30 million blacks and 5 million whites.

The judge said the publicâ\200\231s right to know the truth supersedes potential damage to Neethlingâ\200\231s

reputation. He ordered Neethling to pay the newspapers $\hat{a} \geq 00 \geq 31$ court costs. Max du Preez, editor of Vrye Weekblad, estimated costs at \$400.000.

Allegations of death squads were first raised by a former police officer, Almond Nofomela, in October 1989, the day before he was to be executed for a murder with no political overtones. Coetzee subsequently said he also took part in murders sanctioned by superiors during the 1980s, and he implicated Neethling.

quorpela_ was spared and remains in prison. Coetzee fled the country and is in Britain.