beam Weekly Update NHS 11/20/91. 4.AFR 47/HU 03/91 EXTERNAL. June 5 1991. RWANDA: AI DELEGATION TO MEET GOVERNHENT orFICIALS. epresentatives are visiting Rwanda to hold talks d security officials between 10 and 14 June tion and promotion of' Two Amnesty International r with Fwandese government an 1991. The talks are expected to centre on the protec human rights in the country.â\200\230 Al's representatives are the Vic Executive Committee, 'Bacre Naly Ndiaye, a Senegalese Lawyer. and a staff member of the organization's International Secretariat. A repreÃ@entative of the organization prevlously visited Kigall in January 1991 to observe the first of a series of trials of politicaâ\200\230 prisoners. The visit takes place in the context of Amnesty International's concerns arising out of human rights abuses which followed the October 1990 violent armed attack on Rwanda by Uganda-based members of the rebe] group known as the Front getriottgue rwandaig (FPR). The fighting is reported to be continuing despite a ceasefire stgned in the Zairian capital, Kinshasa. at the end of March 1991 by Rwandese government officials and FPR leaders. Amnesty-Interhationa] has a number of $longa^200^24$ term human rights concerns in Rwanda relating to the imprisonment of priscners of conscience and 7,,unfair trial5 of political prisoners. in particular before the State ";Security Court. ' ' Fallowing the ogtbreak of fighting in October 1990 Amnesty International was cohcerned at reports in late 1990 and early 1991 of extrajudicia] executions by members of the Rwandese security forces of civilians not involved in the fighting. Amnesty International has also been concerned at reports that FPR forces have deliberately killed suspected government supporters who were effectively taken prisoner. The organization 3 condemns the torture and killings by anyone, including non-governmenta] é groups. â\200\230 ' As many as 7,000 people were arrested in Rwanda following the rebel attack. Amnesty International was concerned that many of those detained prisoners of conscience imprisoned 501ey because they were members of the Tutsi ethnic group or were known or suspected to be critical of the government. The organization consequently welcomed the release of several thousand detainees at the end of March 1991. By mid-April 1991 most political detainees had been released and only severe] dozen are believed to be 9...] helJ. Amnesty Internationa] was a150 concerned at reports that e detained were illâ200224treated. Ieading to severa] deaths in e-President of its International appeared to be many of thos Amnesty International has been concerned at the large numbers of death sentences imposed each year by Rwandese courts. The organization welcomes the fact that there have been no judicial executions ! in Rwanda since 1982 and welcomed commutations of all death sentences then in force in September 1990 and again in April 1991. The organization has urged the Rwan

dese authorities to take steps to abolish the death pen6lty Iwnediately reducing the number of offences punishable by

. including by i \hat{a} 200\230 death.

en?

Weekly Update NNS 11/14/91

3. AFR 47/wu 02/91 EXTi¬\201RHAL

26 April 1991

RUANDA: THOUSANDS OF PRISONERS RELEASED

At least 3,500 people detained without trial in connection with a violent attack on Rwanda by Uganda-based Rwandese exiles in October 1990 were released by the government in late March and early April 1991.

- .In all. about 7000 people were arrested in connection with the rebel attack. About 3,000 of them, 011 untried detainees were said by the authorities to have been released between October 1990 and February 1991. Several dozen others whose releases were delayed because of administrative constraints are expected to be freed shortly.
- . At least 40 people arrested in connection with the rebel attack now remain in prison. They include 12 people sentenced to prison terms and eight sentenced to death by the State Security Court in January and February 1991 after unfair trials. They also include about two dozen others whose cases are still due to be heard by the court.

Many of those released appear to have been imprisoned because of their ethnic or national origins, non-violent politica} opinions or family relations with individual rebels. rather than because of any involvement in the fighting.

Most of those held before the recent releases were members of the minority Tutsj ethnic group who appear to have been detained because of their ethnic origin or famlly relationships with members of the rebel Front gatriotique rwandais (FPR). Rwandese Patriotic Front. Others were members of the majority Hutu ethnic group who appear to have been suspected of supporting the FPR because they had criticized the authorities or government policies before the rebel attack. Nearly 300 of those freed were Ugandans who appear to have been detained because of their nationality. The Rwandese authorities have alleged that the rebellion was supported by some Ugandan government authorities and that Ugandan 501diers actively participated in the rebellion.

amnesty internationat INTERNATIONAL SECRETARIAT 1 Easton Street London WC1)(BDJ UnitedKingdom â\200\231 D

. axrsnmu.â\200\231 (tor geneâ\200\231vi diltribution) u Index: urn 47/06/91 ., Diltrt UA/Sc
UA 63/91 'Lognlconcernlrear of Ill:treatment 21 February 1991
RWANDA Emmanuel Hlvugimana, teacher at Rwankeri College
â\200\234 -* Justin Kunanugire

 $\hat{a}\200\230$ Laurent K-rugntan) both students at th- Adventist University Ezekiel Ngobokn')-of Central Africa, near Gizenyi

Amnesty International has received tutormation that the {bur peep e named a eve, a members of Rwanda'a minority Tutsi ethnic group, were arrusted in late January and early February 1991 in the northwestern Rwandese town of Gieenyi. They were arrested following a rebel incursion and brief occupation on 23 January 1991 of the nearby town of Ruhengeri. They are reported to be held at Gisenyi prtaon and are reportedly $a\200\230$ accused by the authorities and members of the majority Hutu ethnic group of links with

the Tutsiâ\200\224dominated rebel group known as the Front patrincique rwandais. Emmanuel Havugimana was reportedly assaulted and severely beatun by local Hutu on 26 January in Gieenyt region's Rwankeri diatrlct (communat. His attackers left him unconscious by the roadside, where Justin Kanamugire tound him and took him to Rwankeri dispensary. As Emmanuel i¬\202avugimana was beginning to recaver from his injuries at the dispensary, both he and Justin Kanamugire were arreetud by soldiers on the night of 30 January. The places of their detention have not been rnrealed by the authorities to their faleiea but they are believed to be held at Giucn(1 prison.

Laurent Karugarama and Ezekiel Ngoboka were arrested on 3 February 1991 at the Adventist University campus in northwest Rwanda where several other students were arrested between 2 and 5 February but have subsequently been released. Virtually all those arrested were subjected to beatings or other violence by local people and members of the Rwandese security forces. Ezekiel Ngoboka is reported to have been slashed on the face with a machete and also sustained an injury on the leg. Laurent Karugarama is reported to have sustained bruises on most of his body.

Since their arrest these detainees are reported to be receiving virtually no medical attention and to have been refused visits by their relatives. They are also said to be sleeping on bare floors without blankets. On 2 February 1991 a Roman Catholic priest was detained by members of the security forces because he tried to deliver a blanket to another student detained in Gisenyi prison. He was released on 9 February when his Superior intervened but the Superior was himself detained until 15 February. The student continues to be held.

Amnesty International is concerned that several dozen Tutsi have been arrested in northwest Rwanda since the rebel attack on Ruhengeri on 23 January 1991, apparently because of their ethnic origin rather than because thure la evidence of their involvement in rebel activities. The organization is also concerned that the authorities are not known to have taken any action to punish or prevent beatings and illâ\200\224treatment of those arrested by local people and mnmbers of the security force s and

thus appear to be condoning such abuses. Those arrested are not known to have been charged with any offence and are not allowed to receive visits by their relatives. ${\tt BACKGROUND\ INFORMATION\ _}$

Following the rebel attack by Uganda-based Rwandese exiles in October 1990, thousands of people were arrested._most of them memberu of the Tutsi ethnic group. Several weeks after the first arrests the Rwandese Government set up a commission to screen detainees and order the release of those against whom criminal charges could not be brought. The government later announced that nearly 4,0 > detainees had been released. The work of the commission was suspended at the end of ovember 1990 before most of the detainees had appeared before it. Between 3,000 and 4,000 detainees are believed to be still held, most of them without charge.

Twenty-five people were tried by the State Security Court between 28 December 1990 and 1 February 1991 in connection with the rebellion. Eight have been sentenced to death. The media in Rwanda is reported to have been advocating revenge and violence against the Tutsi. Hutu vigilantes have been involved in violent attacks on Tutsi. The (requency of new arrests, which had fallen, increased after the 23 January attack On Ruhengeri town.

'3: (44)(71) 413 5500 Telegrams: Amnesty London WCt Telex: 28502 FAX: 956 1157 Amnesty Intomational Is an Independent woodwldo movement woaking to: the international protoctton at human

tights. It seeks the release 0! men and women detained anywhere because at their belleâ

 $200\234$, cotour, sex, ethnic

orlgln. language or rallglous croodmmvldod they have not used or mtvoce tod violence. The se are termed prisoners $\,$

o! CunsichutCO. ll walks tor Inlf and pmmpt ttluls Im «I! pullâ\200\235. nl p:l-.uu-us m u! wink. nu lmlnull M such puuplu

detained without charge or trial. It opposes the death penalty and torture or other cruel , lnhuman or degradlng

luuulmuut or punlulmwut ol allpllsunms. _

```
EECtuuzubi¬\201s ACTION: Tetcgrazi/tellxcs/faxcs/ex;:e:u an: airmafl iei¬\201ters, 1n FFE
KCH, 1:
a\200\224 Please lakoa\200\230action as so
\hat{a}200\224 Telegvams and let
pcssible:

    expressing concern

cthera,
'and that they have been
at report
have been arrested in northwest Rwanda principally because they are Tutsi,
severely beaten or ill-tteatad by local people and members
9 that the four pecple named above, and pcseibly many
e time of their arrest;
{our people arelatill held and, 1f BO, whether they
have been charged with any Specific offences;
- urging the authorities to
eetablich rapidly whether these detainees have committed
any criminal offences and to ensure that people arreated on account of their ethnic
origins are
released without delay;
- urging that noâ\200\224one should be taken into custody unless there is evidence that t
hey
have committed a crime and calling on the authorities to give clear
public and the security forces that noâ\200\224one
Tutsi ethnic group;
the
belong to the
instructions to
should be detained solely because they
- calling on the authorities to make it clear to the public and the security forces
that 1t 15 illegal to subject suspected criminals to aaeaulte,
beatings and other
violence and that those responsible for using unlawfu) violence will themselves be
brought to justice;
- eeeking assurances
that the four detainee-
named abovn and others are being allowed
full access to medical attention and visits by their relatives and that those who
have been deliberately injured will receive compensation.
APPEALS TO
Son Excel lence
President Juvénal HABYBRIMANA
Présldeht de la République
8915.
'Klgali, République Rwandalee
Telegrams: President nabyarluana,
Telexelt 22517
Faxes: + 250 7458]
Monsieur faustln MUNYAZESA
Kigali, Rwanda
Miniatre de l'IntÃ@rieur et du dÃ@veloppement communal
Ministers de l'IntérLenr
B? 446'
Kigali, République Rwandaiso
Telegrams: ,Hiniltre Munyazesa,
Telexes: 22502
Honsieur Sylvestre NSAHZIHANA
='Hlnistre de la Juathe
Ministéte de la Justice
8? 160 '
Kigali, République Rwandalae
Telegrams; Hiniutre Nlanzinana,
Talexelt
:2â\200\230202
Kigali, Rwanda
Kigali, Rwanda
Honéleur.1e'Docteur Caalmir BIZIHUNGU
Hinistte des.Affairea
6trangErea et de In cooperation
Ministere dee Affairea étrangéree
```

```
BP 179
Kigali, Républiéue Ruandaiee
Telegrams:
Faxes:
Monsieur le Rédacteut-enâ\200\224Chef,Imvaho, BP 83Kigali,
Kinyamatekg,
La Releve, Office rwandatne d'informatlon, BP 83,
Monsieur le RÃ@dacteur-enâ\200\224Chef,
Honeieur le Rédacteut-en-Chef,
Kigali, Républiqueâ\200\230Rwandaise
Ministre den Affaires ettangeren,
+350 72902 or +250 72904
Kigali, Rwanda
République Rwandalae
BP 761, Kigali, République Rwandaiee
and to diplomatic representatives of Rwanda in your country.
PLEASE SEND APPEALS IMMEDIATELY.
section office if sending appeals after 4 Aprtl
oi the addresses given.
that your concern [or human tights Is not
politically pattlsan. Rotor to tolevant
Doclamlton 0! Human Rights:
Article 3 -\hat{a}\200\224 "Everyone has the tight to
accunly 0 | pumun."
Article 5 -â\200\224
()t ((l (zvtnt)l,
punhdununL"
AIIII hli¬\202
detontluh or uxlluâ\200\230â\200\235
duqtmuno
~â\200\231
hi¬\202unnun at
on as you receive thls Urgent Action
appeal. Carefully read the recommended action. 11 possible,
send a telegram or express lettel immediately to one or mate
Other letters can be sent atterwards.
ters should be brlet and courteous. Stress
pmvlslons In
international law, such as the Un|ted Hatlons Universal
ma, liberty and
a\200\234No one shall be sublacted to tenure
lloatnrutn
"Nu nnh nhull lm mulllnnttâ\200\230ut tn mhtlrmy annm,
Check with the International Secretariat or your
1991.
\hat{a}\200\224- The name of Amnesty International may be used, although
lettets wiltten in a pflvate or personal capacity may be more
eHechu. '
Copies 0! appeals should be sent to (elevant diplomatic
tepresentatives In yon! country.
In Urgent Acllon cases! Aninesty Intemational has to act
rapldly to prevent the Ill-treatment ot prisonets. An appeal is
lssuud when Amnesty International believes it has received
retiable and accurate inlormation in such cases. It is not
ntwnyu nm.r.lhlu to vovlty nll (Intullu lndupumlnnlly mm in
sontulnslanues thu sHuaHon outnncd a\200\234Ilhu dppuu|luuy
tillanuu. tlmant Amlnn participants am always notified at
any :alunlllcuht now lucla.
|n any way
Copies Ot any Ieplies received ltom govemmenl authorities
LHDHM [-0 soul tmmudwloly to your suction's lquuut Action
 \texttt{t.liiilt} | \texttt{lliili"}) \texttt{l} \; \; \texttt{ill} \; \; \texttt{alltt-n:t} \; \; \texttt{1::} \; \; \texttt{I'll!} \; \; (-\tilde{\texttt{A}} \odot \texttt{lll} | | \, ) \\  \texttt{t} | \texttt{l} | \texttt{lliilt} | \; \texttt{lii-t} \; \; \text{`v\^{a} \ 200 \ 230111111111'} \text{ for all the line of the li
tuunuhuuntulHu:humuuunulhmuuhnhn Huuqnnpi¬\202uhy
thunk thu ochial who has rcptlud anu uzm [u bl: hum
InhnnnHIHhuHIHutunnu
0!
                        ___.__â\200\224â\200\224-â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224-â\200\224-â\200\224
```

```
1
- J . -
C .. International
â\200\230_
             ______..â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224-â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224â
\200\224--.
amnesty
INTERNATIONAL SECRETARIAF
I FaslnnStmol london WCIX mm
Unilcd Kingdom
AI Index: AFR 47/03/91
EXTERNAL (for general distribution)
' Distr: UA/SC
UA 17/90 â\200\230 Death penalty I 17 January 1991
RWhNDA: Jean Chryanstome Knruranga, I driver
â\200\224_-______â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224â\200\224â\200\
\224-
Jean Chryeoetome Karuranga was sentenced to death on 7 January 1991 when Rwanda's State
Security Court convicted hlm of recruiting and training people to take part in a
rebellion to overthrow the government of Prenldent Juvï¬\201nal Habyarlmana. A violent
attack was launched on northeast Rwanda in October 1090 by Uganda-baeed exiles and
rebel bands are said to be still active in parts of northern Rwanda.
He was tried with 12 others, nine of whom were sentenced to prison terms of
between 15 and 20 years for agreeing to be recruited by Jean Chryaostome Karuranga and
failing to report the offence to the authorities. One was acquitted while two others
received shorter prison sentences. When the case came to court on 3 January, the
prosecution requested death sentences for 12 of the accused, including a 16â\200\224year-
old
boy.
An Amnesty International representative observed the trial of the 13 people on 3
January and concluded that the trial was summary and unfair in many respects. The
```

â\200\2317hearing lasted less than five hours. Although 12 faced capital charges, none wa

assisted by legal counsel. Most of the accused told the court that they were beaten or threatened into making admissions of guilt while in pre-trial custody but the court did not investigate these claims or rule such evidence inadmissible. Prosecution accusations against Jean Chrysostome Karuranga were not supported by material evidence; in particular, although the prosecution said he had hidden a firearm, this had not been found at the site where it was said to have been burled.

The courtâ\200\230s judges did not appear to be fully independent or impartial. Four out oi the five judges who heard the case were closely linked to the armed forces or the government and only two of them had significant legal training. The court's composition has since been changed.

Under the terms of Rwandese law, prisoners convicted by the State Security Court have no general right of appeal to a higher court but they can petition the Cessation Court on points of law within 10 days. In practice, however, those convicted who have no legal counsel rarely submit such petitions as they are unaware of the procedure, have no legal expertise and fear that lodging an appeal will be interpreted as a further challenge to the governmentâ\200\231s authority. It seems that none of those conv icted

on 7 January hav $\tilde{}$ appealed to the Cassation Court. It is feared that an execution could take place at any time. ' -

There have been no judicial executions in Rwanda Hlnce 1982 Qhen 43 people were shot by firing squad. However, the courts have continued to impose hundreds of death sentences. More than 1500 death sentences have been commuted since since 1987, 480 of them in September 1990. The government said in December 1990 that 1,566 people were to be tried in connection with the rebel incursion and the trial on 3'January took place in an atmosphere of vengeance with recordings of songs celebrating the victory of government soldiers over rebels in recent months being played in court before and after the trial. According to press reports, the court was critlclzed for sentencing only one defendant to death.

Amnesty International opposes the death penalty in all cases as a violation of the right to life and the right not to be subjected to cruel, inhuman and degrading punishment. The death penalty is the premeditated and coldâ\200\224blooded killing of a h

being by the state. It '18 a violent and brutalizing act. .. â\200\230

1 7:} (44)(71) 413 5500 Telegrams: Amnesty London W31 Telex: 28502 FAX: 956 1157 Amnesty Inlernationnl Is an Indnpendonl wovldwido movnmeni working {or the Intemaï¬\202on al protection of human

rights. It seeks \hat{a} 200\23418 release 0! men and women detained anywhere batouseol their b allots, colour, 2.6x, ethnic

origin, language or religious crond, provldnd they have no! used or ndvnculod violence. T

hese are Qermecl prisoners of conscience. H wmks [or full and prompt trials {or nl.â\200\231 political prisoners and works on behalf 0! such people dolnlned without chargn m Mal. 11 opposes the death penalty and 10mm, or other cruel, Inh

uman or degrading

hnnlment or punishment 0! all prisoners.

Rwanda acceded in 1975 to tho Ian-nntlmml C(Wlmnnt on Civil and political Righm . whone Article 14 guarantees everyone's right to fair trial, including assistance by legal counncl, and the right to appeal to a higher court. Its Article 6 prohibitu the sentencing of persons lean than 18 years old to death. RECOMMENDED ACTION: TelQXOI/telograms/fnxos/nxpress and airmail letters, in Ftendb if possible: â\200\224 expressing concern that Jean Chrysostome Karurang State Security Court on 7 January 1991, - â\200\235 _ - expressing concern at reports that he and 12 others tried with him were convicted after a summary trial which did not meet internationally recognized standards for fair trial; as examples refer to allegations by the defendants' that they were beaten and threatened into confessing their guilt, 'which were not investigated or considered by the court; and to the absence of any legal cou although they faced capital charges; - urging that all those convicted be allowed to appeal against thei 5conviction and sentence to a higher court and, if the death sentence la confirme , that Jean Chrysostome Karuranga be given a full opportunity to petition the Head of State for - welcoming the commutation of death sentences ov President, if the sentence is referred to him, to exercise his pte as he has done since 1903, and to commpte it to a different and mo punishment; - . - explaining that executicms are a violation of human rights which not only ,deprive of a human being of his right to life but are also a form of cruel, inhuman and degrading punishment, the use of which contravenes the Universal Declaration of Human 1 Rights. a was sentenced to death by the er the past seven years and urging'the rogative of mercy re humane form of APPEALS TO: Son Excellence President HABYARIMANA Juvénal President de la République SP 15 Monsieur MUGEMANA Jean-Marie Vianney Hinistre de lâ\200\230Intérieur et du développement Ministére de 1 ' Intérieu: Kigali, République Rwandaiae BP 446, Telegrams: President nabynrimann, Kigali, République Rwandaise Kigali, Rwanda TelegramS? Ministre Mugemana, Kigeli, Telexes: 22517 V Rwanda Faxes: + 250 74583 Tolexes: 22502 Honeieru MUJYANAMA Thã©onebte Monsieur le Docteur BIZIMUNGU Casimir' Ministre den Affaires étrangérea et de Hinistére de la Justice la coopération BP 160 Hinistére dee hffaires étrangéree Kigali, République Rwandaiee BP 179 Telegrams: Ministre Mujyunama, Kigali, République Rwandaiae Kigali, Rwanda Telegrams: Hinistre des Affaires Telexes: 22502 etrangeres, Kigali, Rwanda Faxes: + 250 72902'02: + 250 72904 Ministre de la Justice ICOPIES TO: Monsieur le Rédacteur-en-Chef, Imvaho, BP 83, Kigali, République Rwandaiee Monsieur le Rédacteurâ\200\224en-Chef, Kinyamateka, BP 76, Kigali, République Rwandaise Monsieur le Rédacteur-enâ\200\224Chef, La Reléve, Office rwandaiee d'infotmation, BP 83 Kigal i , Républ ique Rwandaiae PLEASE SEND APPEAI': IMMEDIATELY. Check with the International Secretariat or your section office if sending appeals after 28 February 1991. â\200\224â\200\224 Please take acIIon as soon as you tecelve IhIs Urgent Action Vâ\200 \224- The name oI Amnest InternalIonal may be used, although appeal. Calelully read the recommended acIlon. II possible, Ieuers wrmen In a prlvaIe or personal capacity may be more send a Ielegvam or exptess Ieuer immedIaIer to one or more eIIeclive loe addresses glven.0IherIeIIevs can be sentanerwalds. -â\200\224--CopIes oI appeals shou ld be sent â\200\2300 relevanI deIomaIlc 1 . represenIaIIves In your counIry.

 $\hat{a}\200\224$ T ms an Ieners should be vaeI and courieous. Stress . -

"35:33)â\200\234! cogcem 10, human vlghts Is not In any way .â\200\224 In Urgem AcIIon ca ses, Amnest lnIernauonaI has Io acI

.ponncauy parilsan. Refer Io relevam provisions In rapIdIy Io maven! Ihe $\hat{a}\200\234$ Hreaime m 0' prisoners. An appeal ls

inIemaIIonal law such as me UnIIed Nations Universal Issued when Amnesw IMemaHonal bellev es I! has reqelved

- -- $\hat{a}\200\230$ Declaration 01 H1; man mgmg reIIable and accurate InIormaIIon In such cases. I IS not
- ' always possible Io verlIy aII deIaIIs Independenuy and In
- u some Instances the sIIuaIlon outIIned In the appeal may

Arhcle 3 $^{\sim}$ Everyf'me hes Ihe rlght $\hat{a}\200\2300$ IIIe. Ilbeny and change. Urgem Action parII pranIs are always notii $\neg\201$ ed of

secumy $0a\200\231$ person. any sianIIcanI new Iacts. I

Aercle 5 $\hat{a}\200\224$ - $\hat{a}\200\234$ No one shall be subjected Io torture $\hat{a}\200\224\hat{a}\200\224$ CopI es oI any teplles recered Irom government athoritIes

or Io ctuol. inhuman or degtadlng Irealment or Should be senI ImmedIaIer Io your sectIon's Urgent Achon

I coordinalm or direct Io the Campalgngnd Membershlp punishment."

Departmoni o! the Internauonal Secretariat. II appropâ\200\230rIaIe,

Articleg $a\200\224$ "No one shall be sublocIed Io arbIItary arrest, Ihank Iho oII icIaI who has repIIed and $a\200\230$ ask Io be kepl

rwmminn or exile." inIormod «bout Ihe case.

 $.//, a\200\224a\200\224a\200\224.a\200\224/$

Weekly Update NWS 11/07/91

3. 'v'AFR 47 /wu 02/91 EXTERNAL

RWANDA: SEVEN SENTENCED TO DEATH AFTER UNFAIR TRIAL Seven people have been 5 .

second in a series of political trials of over 1,500 people arrested last year.

- Those being tried were all arrested in connection with a violent attack on northeast Rwanda by Uganda-based Rwandese exiles in October 1990.
- _ So far, 25 people have been tried by the State Security Court and eight of them have been sentenced to death. Amnesty International is urging that all trials should be conducted in adcordance Wlth international standards for fair trial. The organization said the latest trial, which ended on 1 Februaryf was unfair in many respects.

Public opinion became particularly hostile to the defendants afteriinsurgents attacked the northwestern town of Ruhengeri on 23 January - the prosecution was applauded and the defence jeered in court, creating an atmosphere which apparently intimidated defence lawyers and made a fair trial difficult.

When one defence lawyer protested, asking the court to be more neutral, one of the judges told him the public considered him a friend of insurgents fighting the government - the "Inkotanyi" - and charged him with contempt. He and another lawyer assisting the 12 defendants later received anonymous death threats and decided to withdraw from the case. The court refused to let a Belgian defence lawyer speak in defence of the two lawyers' clients.

The court also failed to investigate allegations Ll _ least two of the accused that they had signed false statements under duress during preâ\200\224trial detention, and admitted these statements as evidence. In previous years, Rwanda's State Security Court has failed to carry out any inquiry into torture allegations made in court.

.At the end of the second trial, seven defendants were sentenced to death, one was sentenÃ@ed to 10 yearsâ\200\231 â\200\230 imprisonment, three were acquitted and one remanded to allow further investigation of his case. The eight who were convicted and sentenced were known to have spoken out in ...4_

favour of the return to Rwanda of Rwandese exiles before the October 1990 attack. They were found guilty of being in complicity with the rebels and have a right of appeal on points of law to the CQQ; de cassgtion (Cassation Court), but no general right of appeal. 2

One person was also-sentenced to death and 11 others to prison terms after the first-of these political trials on 3 January. The defendants were not represented by lawyers and most alleged that they were beaten or threatened to make them confess. The court failed to investigate these allegations.. One defendant was acquitted. -

An Amnesty International observer attended the first trial. The second trial was observed by representatives at several nonâ\200\224governmental organisations, the International Commission of Jurists (ICJ) and the Union of Central African lawyers (Union des Avocats d'Afrigue Centrgle). $a\200\234t$:

Weekly Update HHS 11/01/90 4. AFR 47/wu 01/91 EXTERNAL 10 January 1991_

RWANDA: AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL SENDS TRIAL OBSERVER The following information was sent to selected sections on 29 December 1990 and is accurate as of that date. More trials have since been held and a further update will follow shortly. An Amnesty International representative arrived in the Rwandese capital, Kigali, on 28 December 1990 to observe the first in a series of trials of some 1,566 people charged with offences arising out of a violent attack on northeast Rwanda by Ugandaâ\200\224based Rwandese exiles in early October} The trials are being held before the State Security Court. The first trial of 12 people on 23 December 1990 was reportedly adjourned until 9 January 1991 to give defendants and their lawyers time to prepare for the trial. They were only given details of the charges on 27 December. Amnesty International is concerned at reports that most of those being brought to trial have not had access to legal counsel during their detention. Previous political trials have occurred in the absence of lawyers. The prisoners at the hearings on 28 December were only able to contact lawyers one week earlier.

Amnesty International is also concerned at reports that the Rwandese authorities are not allowing detainees to be defended by lawyers from outside Rwanda, although the country ,has few lawyers of its own. The authorities have frequently cited this shortage as an explanation for the absence of defence counsel at trials. $\hat{a} \geq 00 \geq 30$

The State Security Court hearing the present ca~es tried more than 20 people last year. At previous.trials, the court was composed of five judges, including two soldiers and an official of the President's office, so raising doubts about the court's independence. $\hat{a}\200\230$

Trials have occurred sporadically before the State Security Court since the first trials in 1979 - in 1981, 1983, 1986 and 1990. Amnesty International.is concerned that all these trials have not met international standards for fair trial. Trials have Ofton henn summary, with defendants given an inadequate opporLunity to [?Lâ\200\230fâ\200\230iszL lelr dutcmm and the court aning lâ\200\230n invrâ\200\230nl'iqnlâ\200\230n nlninr: by dnfmvlnnts: thnf sr_ntnments used as prosecution evidence Were made under duress. Many_o£ those convicted by the State Security Court have haen prisoners of conscience, including people imprisoned for g the government (1981 and circulating documents criticizin ' 'ty religious denominations such as_ Jehovahâ\200\231s witneses (1986 and 1990) and individuals who have formed opposition political parties (1983 and 1990), an action which remains prohibited in Rwandaâ\200\231s Oneâ\200\224party state. " presentative will report on his Ammesty Internationalâ\200\231s re ational_Executive findings to the organizationâ\200\231s_lntern

Committee.