```
| Qï¬\202
t
```

Ι

Sunday Times Reporter

۶

â\200\224ER

Africa's new tricameral parlia mentary system a a "â\200\234bogus arrangementâ\200\235 and would not advise local Indians and coloureds to support it, according to Indiaâ\200\231s ambassador in Maputo, Mr Gurdip Bedi.;

Indiaâ\200\231s delegate to the United Nations,
Mr Pothan Philip, had also warned the
South African Indian
Council and the
Labour Party against
being party to such
â\200\234bogus constitutional
arrangements,â\200\235 in a

nal

INDIA sees South

 $India a \ 200 \ 231s$

vic

L{EF

recent UN speech, he said.

Mr Bedi was replying

~to a letter from an

Umzinto businessman,

се

i

an

AP\ W da2a

\$ % &

Gandhi, under whose leadership the people of India attained their indepehdence, first raised the â\200\234banner of revolt against â\200\230injustice and oppressionâ\200\235 not in India, but in South

ca. .

Mr Moolla said it was at

India $a\200\231s$ request 30 years ago that the UN first looked at the position of Indians in this country. '

The Government of India had wanted comprehensive

sanctions against South Africa, he said.

Indiaâ\200\231s â\200\234sympathy and supportâ\200\235 for South Africaâ\200\231s black people flowed from a $a\200\230a\200\230shared$ experience of

ploitation, \hat{a} \200\235 said Mr Bedi, UN speech.

 $\tilde{\ }$ He said the father of the Indian nation, Mahatma

domination in colonial ex-, quoting from Mr Philipâ $\200\231s$.

Shift away

Gandhi

THE announcement by the NIC and TIC (the tick-tock of Indian politics) and its parent body the UDF to participate in the forthcoming referendum on a a\200\230Noa\200\231 ticket throws the political direction of our once proud heri-

tage of Gandhi in utter confusion.

This PFP-type stance!

need indepth study. It isl

like denouncing an item|

â\200\234haraamâ\200\235 but nevertheless!

finding it politically edible.|

What for instance if the
electorate register an over-:
whelming affirmative mandate. Would NIC, TIC, and
the UDF suffer the shame
as did the PFP during the
white referendum?

This major political gain-_

ble by Congress is a major shift away from Gandhiâ\200\231s policy of total rejection and non-co-operation through unofficial channels.

. â\200\224 BABOO VP DAYA,

Ahimsa Movement, FORDSBURG, TRANSVAAL.

e g 3 D TR T AT VO ISTASS- - TR, ¥ P S

OLIDARITY is clearly a government sponsored political party whose objective is to ensure Indian paricipation in the new tricameral parliament, even if it means doing so against the wishes of the community (Solidarity does not want a referendum). i There is ample evidence to support this contention:

- @Founder members

have strong government and big business links. All have

been snatched from obscurity and nominated by the Government onto state created institutions;

@ The unprecedented
media coverage via press,
radio and TV for a fledgling
party that has yet to hold it
congress; and;

@ Chris Heunis, who is on record of accepting the: SAIC as the sole representative of the Indian commu- \(\hat{a}\200\230\text{nity}\), is now prepared to await Solidarity\(\hat{a}\200\231\text{s decision iregarding the referendum at its March congress.}\)

Ideologically Mr Poovalingam nurtures the

! illusion that Solidarity is a

S Ao,

e A SRS

@® THE LIVELIEST LETTERS ARE ALWAYS IN THE SUNDAY TIMBÂŞ EXTRA

The ne

SUNDAY

Tmel

for apartheid .

moderate group betwixt the Congress $\hat{a}200\234extreme$ on the left $\hat{a}200\235$ and Rajbansi $\hat{a}200\234on$ the $\hat{a}200\231$ aoo :

The truth of the matter is that Solidarity occupies the same political spectrum as Mr Rajbansi. The bosses in Pretoria have decided that their product, apartheid, cannot be sold to the Indian community by its present salesman, Mr Rajbansi, who has been an extremely

 \hat{a} \200\234naughty boy.

Like clever businessmen, who have neither the will nor the intention of changing apartheid, the government has decided to wrap apartheid in new clothes â\200\224

the tricameral parliament $\hat{a}\200\224$ and entice smarter salesmen, Mr Poovalingam and his Solidarity Party, to coerce the Indian community. into accepting apartheid.

What Mr Poovalingam perceives as Congressâ\200\231s per-

LETTERS

sistence in its $\hat{a}\200\234$ sterile poli- \hat{A} ¢y of boycott $\hat{a}\200\235$ is in fact consumer resistance to

 \hat{a} 200\230apartheid and neither a

change in the packaging nor the employment of new salesmen is going to alter this. It is this resistance by the people and not the politics of collaboration that has compelled the Government to rethink its political ideology.

Mr Poovalingam admits that the SAIC led to a dead end and we cannot see the tricameral parliament leading anywhere else.

Wishful comments about the $a\200\234$ negative power of the tricameral parliament $a\200\235$ is all he has to offer to justify participation. The conse-

quence of this wooly think- -

ing will be the breakdown of Indo-African unity and

P.O. Box 2692, Durhan $lmi^2\202i^2\$

trust and with it the inevitability of the civi war that Mr $a\200\230$ Poovalingam dreads.

Mr Poovalingam is telling
an untruth when he says |

ttex baycotters as M

 \hat{a} 200\230flex boycotters as

' Poovalingam would lead

people to believe. We will $a\200\230$ make representations to the relevant authorities on be-

that elements in Congress | half of the community as of-

 $\hat{a}\200\230$ are agents of Russian impe- '

rialism. Congressâ\200\231s -historical task is to attain the liberation of all our people and we are opposed to all forms of imperialism. While Congress has ties neither with Moscow nor any other

agent, Mr Poovalingam has

very close links with Amerian Government officials
nd with big business intent
on maintaining the status
quo. It is Mr Poovalingam |
who is an agent of American
imperialism.;
In his maiden address as
â\200\230Sg}emvber of the Presidents
ncil, Mr Poovalingam al-|
luded to his visit'to the bor-

.der and so impressed was he by those fighting in the defence of apartheid that .he was constrained to say $a\geq 0$ at the boys on the border where his boys $200\geq 35$.

It is clear then, seeing, that Mr Poovalingam has no' sons of his own, he will

die at the border in the

gladly send Indian boys to F

ten as the need arises as we have done so in respect of education, housing, high rents and the waving of water restrictions and fines, to

quote but a few examples.

_ When 44 professional men and women, made up of a professor, doctors, lawyers and social workers, protested outside the Durban City Hall while Mr Botha tried to

W salesmen

a

sell his constitution to the

JIndian people, that was the voice of reasonable people.

When 6 000 peoplex

Jammed the Orient Hail to reject the constitution, and | 2000 soon after in Chats--- worth that was the voice of

| the majority. When 15000 \hat{A}° | people launched the United *

Demo_cratic Front that was $\hat{a}\200\230$ the will of the people. Con- 'tra_st th_is to Solidarity $\hat{a}\200\231$ s Rajpan_sl-style of a meeting by invitation only. $\hat{a}\200\224$ DR MEER, Executive, Natal $\hat{a}\200\230$ Indian Congress.

defence of racism once heâ\200\231 has been elected to the In- | dian parliament. The com- | munity needs to ponder | over this when Solidarity | agents come knocking on |

' their doors. We are not kneejerk re-

е

(S

0