Wat cool -0004~ o1 |

INSTITUUT VIR EIETYDSE GESKIEDENIS

Die Universiteit van die Oranje-Vrystaat

Datum

Onderwerp nr

Knipsel nr.

et Aes ae et lat

eee reer cceresceecesccccssseeess

Ian Haggie
ng for fine arts

higâ\202¬vement was pestOwed on Paul ;mtopforth and Wiljfjam Kentridge in \*1986, â\200\230this year, after
Jone yearâ\200\231s absence,
| the Market Galleriesâ\200\231

advisory board decido, extend Haggieâ\200\231s
esse -to the
4: brodader community.
414) The result: a competiâ\200\230 | tionâ\200\231for those who had

oné-person show before,  $\hat{a}^0-\hat{a$ 

lery: convenor Hazel Friedman, self-critic-ally;  $\hat{a}\200\234\hat{a}\200\230$ we should not have called it  $\hat{a}\200\230$ New Vi- $\hat{a}\200\230$ sions $\hat{a}\200\231$ ; but rather  $\hat{a}\200\230$ Vi-sions  $\hat{a}\200\23188\hat{a}\200\231.\hat{a}\200\235$ "

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ And to some extent  $\sinh \hat{A}$ ® is right. For on one  $\hat{a}\200\234$ level the exhibition is  $\hat{a}\200\234$ hafdly a harbinger of change, a prognosticator of things to come. For those who were an-

ticipating a resounding

; has

ABE j competition front at how dominates the  $\tilde{A} \odot$ :of visual art pro-

ation in this country,

ee

â\200\234ee

reas

â\200\230i

SaaS:

~

case.

 $\hat{a}$ 200\230orts on the part of or-

 $a\200\230$ iganisers to introduce

neyer exhibited in a.

\_,  $\hat{a}$ 200\234Perhaps, $\hat{a}$ \200\235 says gal-"

 $\hat{a}\200\230$  had proved not to $\hat{a}\200\231$ 

or despite concerted

new blood on the leve}], of arbitration, and despite their-relatively non-circumscribed parameters for entry, the work to some extent is disappointing in its conservatism.

There appears to have been a marked reluctance on the part of the artists to take risks and to break with formal conventions. In most instances, the works are \(\frac{a}{200\234}\safe\frac{a}{200\235}\) in their approach to their \(\frac{a}{200\230}\subject matter.\)

Not that this is unexpÃ@cted. With local competitions and exhibitions dominated these days by the Great Salon tradition in painting, and with even the most dissenting political voices adhering to this - particular approach to visual art production, young artists have few iconoclastic models to turn to by way of example. (The majority of works on exhibition are by students, or recent graduates.)

That noted, the exhi

bition is a chilling indicator of contemporary thinking and more than adequately reflects the current state of psychological torment pervading the nation.

Gone are the placatory days of the subtle

subversive metaphor  $a\200\224$  dominated as it was by the referential land-

 $a\200\230$  scape (a pervading

4

symbol for the social whole). Instead the exhibition is permeated by, soaked and stained

. with, images of destruc-

 $\hat{a}$ 200\230ion  $\hat{a}$ \200\230torment and pain. Dismembered limbs, mutilated bodies, fire, carnage, exile and funeral rites scream out their crie de coeur, their disillusioned messages of anger and despair. No more the trace of optimistic pre-State-of-Emergency intent, merely a dark resigned acceptance of the times. Here the still-lifes of a Leora Farber ( $\hat{a}$ \200\234Jewels of Disintegration  $\hat{a}$  200\235 and  $\hat{a}$  200\234The Beauty of Brutusâ\200\231 Bicepsâ\200\235) are drenched in bloodied dissolution. Dietrich Grimmsâ\200\231 monumental â\200\234Zones of Action on 15th April 1988, Pretoriaâ\200\235, bears a thalidomide-like victim, â\200\230a bomb-blasted limb and overseeing it all, is that carrion caller, a beady-eyed vulture. Similar symbols recurr in Jacobus Rouxâ\200\231s  $-\hat{a}\200\234$ The Griffin and the Blastâ $200\235$ , where the legendary creature is seen fleeing the scene of destruction. «; Already fled is Jane

Duncanâ\200\231s exile in  $a\200\234Ar-$ 

<sup>-</sup> rival/Departureâ\200\235. A de-

liberately remote and alienated piece this, it bears luggage tags, letters, travel documents attached to a wooden (container-like) boxframe. The inner work, a painted canvas speaks more emotively about the loneliness of exile.

One of three award-winners, Chabane Man-ganyeâ\200\231s â\200\234Burial of a Heroâ\200\235, a massive wood-cut, is like its title more heroic in intent. A more optimistic piece this, somehow, despite the tragedy of its subject, it anticipates a time of victory in the not-too-distant future.

Sophia Ainslieâ\200\231s charcoal co-winning work â\200\234Working Things Outâ\200\235, acts as a worthy companion piece to Manganyeâ\200\231s woodcut.

Dominating the exhi- {

bition space absolutely is the final winning work â\200\224 Russell Scottâ\200\231s wood and metal sculp—ture, â\200\234It dreamed it was a manâ\200\235. A superbly realised work this, animated in its organic, but technocratic, idiosyncracies, it was ap—

parently rejected by the:

â\200\230Cape Town Triennial judges and now stands a worthy winner in a competition that will hopefully herald a revival of truly alternative fine arts activity in the years to come.

7 th

fle Mus

TREFWOORDE

eeoeeese eee ee ees eee