```
M VLVUHLti'hJo
.-.
0
```

J**'** I

' Border l TraHnSS/el

E. Cope

Discussion Document prepared by the ANC Constitutional Committee, February 1992

Pabgmgmd by ?%3 antm ,2??? lkexaeiaggmem Studies (CE)S), UWYC

INTRODUCTION

It has long been the policy of the ANC to recognise the importance of regions within the context of a united

South Africa. In April last year, the Constitutional Committee of the ANC declared: "We be lieve that there is a

need for a strong and effective central government to handle national tasks, strong and e ffective regional

government to deal with the tasks of the region, and strong and effective local government to ensure active

local involvement in handling local issues

"A unmed South Africa shall not be an over-centralised. impersonal and over-bureaucratise d country."

1Constitutional Principles p81

Later, in a statement presented to the ANC Congress last year and publicly distributed the ereafter, we stated

that itwas obvious that an over-centralised government in which all decisions were taken at the top and the

people were left out of the process was not good government. "What we are against is sche mes to lock the

riches of the country, the beautiful suburbs and industrial areas. into autonomous territ orial units while the

majority of the people are forced to live in squalor in the country's backyards."

The time has now come for us to spell out with more precision exactly where we propose the regions should be.

We propose the establishment of ten regions, using the 1910 boundaries wherever relevant. and allowing for

the creation of a commission for minor adjustments if necessary. The regions are: Western Cape. Northern

Cape, Eastern Cape. Border/Kei, Western Transvaal, Northern Transvaal, PWV, Eastern Transvaal. OFS

and Natal.

We have tried to use objective criteria. The re-shaping of of our country is too serious a matter to be made

subject to petty power-plays and the immediate electoral ambitions of this or that politic al group. What we are

saying is that there is a place for everyone in the new united and democratic South Afric a. We want

government to be close to the people, and the people close to the government.

We also feel that the moment is ripe for a fuller debate on what the functions of regiona ${\tt l}$ government should

be and how regional government should relate to national government. We call for 3::"s e lected regional

government ensuring local involvement and initiative, within the overall framework of nat ional policies and

national legislation. The powers of the old Provincial Councils and their relationship with the Central

Government would serve as a good starting point.

What we present are proposals, not a blueprint. We look forward to comment from fur whole membership,

and in particular seek full observations from the ANC regions. iOur focus is on r gions f or a new South

Africa, and not ANC regionsil We would also welcome responses from the whole democratic m ovement,

and, indeed, from anyone anxious to contribute towards democracy in our country.

Geography and demography have been two of the many victims of apartheid. In order to help the reader

#ngtigstand the implications of our proposals, we have annexed two tables and several map s which we have

cebbleeatogether as best we could from available material. The territorial and statistical fragmentation of our

country, however, has made it difficult to achieve the accuracy and neatness we would have desired. We

must stress in advance that the tables and the maps are intended to be rough guides only. The figures may

turn out to be distorted, and the maps loose. Nevertheless, we feel that provided this factor is taken into

account, even in their rough form, they will give concreteness to the discussion.

The question of the demarcation and powers of the regions is ultimately one that belongs to the Constituent

Assembly. It is not our intention to place it on to the negotiating agenda now. We do fee 1, however, that by

the time the elected delegates to the Constituent Assembly meet, they will want to know \boldsymbol{w} hat the ANC

positions on these important questions are. This means that we must involve the whole ANC membership

now in debating the issues, so that we will have weII-developed policies ready in advance , policies for which we can campaign now.

ZOLA SKWEYIYA, ANC CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE FEBRUARY 1992

(1) PROPOSED REGIONS FOR A UNITED SOUTH AFRICA

We propose the following:

(i) South Africa shall be divided into ten regions -

Western Cape

Northern Cape

OFS

Eastern Cape Borderffranakei iiEk Natal

Eastern Transvaal Northern Transvaal PWV

Western Transvaal

- (ii) The boundaries as established in 1910 shall be maintained within the above structure s.
- (iii) Machinery will be created to consider minor border adjustments within the above fra mework.

(11) WHY WE WANT A UNITED SOUTH AFRICA

One of the deepest Iongings of the great majority of South Africans is to overcome the di visions and hatreds

of the past. We want to create a country which belongs equally to all and in which all fe $\ensuremath{\text{el}}$ equally free and

equally respected. We want to make South Africa whole.

We share a profound repudiation of :

the fragmentation of our society, of the way we were forced to live apart by Land, Urban and Group Areas Acts;

the division of our country into so-called white areas and Bantustans and homelands;

the separation of governmental functions into own affairs and general affairs;

the unequal apportionment of our life chances and opportunities;

the polarisation of the people of this country. so that we could not share the same symbols,

owe allegiance to the same institutions or shout for the same teams.

For these reasons we long for a united South Africa, a single country with a single natio nality, a single

citizenship, a single loyalty and a single sense of belonging. Our destinies as South Africans are inextricably

intertwined. Until now, the victory ofthe one group has always meant the humiliation of a nother.

What we want above all is a country at peace with itself, in which we all share equally b oth in joy and in suffering.

We want equal rights and freedoms for all throughout the length and breadth of the country, an equal sense

of security that we will be protected by the law wherever we might be.

Guns, assega and bo bs aboun jn o cou t belonging to a multiplicity of formations owing a llegiance

to a variety 01% e nee a sing e, integrated efetrltAtte force that is looked up to by the whole country, a

single police force subject to a single set of principles and a single discipline that is respected by all. We

cannot afford a fractured and armed public power accountable to a multitude of local political warlords and a

plethora of ethnic demagogues, where tanks have swastikas on them in some regions, and are called

cultural weapons in other.

We have more heads of state, more chief ministers, more cabinets, more chief justices, an d more wasteful

bureaucracies than any country in the world of comparable population, and more department s of education

than any other country at all.

We want to rid ourselves of the shame of living in a land where children go without shoes or food or school

places because of racial divisions, where homes are without water or dark at night for the same reason. Our

right to go to school or be seen by a doctor or to give birth in safe conditions should n ot depend on which

part of the country we live in or what suburb of the city.

Forty per cent of our people reside in overcrowded and underdeveloped rural slums partial ly or wholly

detached by law from the rest of the country. Another thirty per cent live in urban squal or in zones

marginalised from ordinary city government.

Deprivation does not start or stop at any artihcially created boundary. We need schools, homes, food, clinics

and jobs everywhere in South Africa. The problems are nation-wide, and can only be dealt with by means of

nation-wide efforts and nation-wide resources. In that way alone can we fInd nation-wide solutions.

(Ill) WHY WE NEED VIGOROUS REGIONS IN A UNITED SOUTH AFRICA

The creation WW vigorous regions is vital to the development of a united South Africa. Al l the

abovementioned problems of disunity and deprivation are to be found in every region. With in a framework of

a national vision and policies, it is at the regional and local levels that practical, day-to-day solutions will have to be found.

Each city and each region will have to be healed if the nation as a whole is to be healed . If we want non-

racial democracy in South Africa as a country, then we must achieve non-racial democracy in all the regions.

Every country in the world accepts that decentralisation is necessary. At the very least, for the purposes of

eflicient administration, a certain degree of delegation of authority to persons on the s pot is required.

Economic development also necessitates integrated information-collecting on a region by r egion basis.

Regionalisation is necessary for effective organisation of everything from policing to the elayout of electricity

grids to the arrangement of sporting fixtures.

The case for vigorous regions is not. however, simply or even mainly a technical one. Dem ocracy requires

the active involvement of the citizenry at every level possible. Accountability depends in large part on

visibility. We want government to be close to the people, and the people close to government.

Over-concentration of power in the hands of too few people is dangerous in any society. I t permits abuse of

authority, encourages remoteness of decision-making, and facilitates the growth of bureau cracy. We all

know what it means to be governed by a distant Pretoria regime – we do not want to be governed by what

people might come to consider a remote Johannesburg regime, even if democratically electe d.

Μ

We k w from our 0 n experience in the ANC that far from weakening the centr: htregions m ake for a

s g Wlem is not to oppose regions to the centre, but to achieve a correct and active interaction between the two.

Community organisations, trade unions, religious bodies and other organs of civil society are more effective

in guaranteeing the rights of their members if they too are not over-centralised and undu ly bureaucratic in their functioning.

In the new South Africa which we envisage, the regions will have a particularly important role to play.

A united South Africa is not achieved by riding-a-eteamrelier-over-the-wtmmumng flattenin g out all local

The people come into the nation as they are, bringing in with them their languages, cultu res and beliefs.

Different parts of the country have different characteristics, particular kinds of social and cultural ambiences.

Provided that these differences are not used for purposes of oppression or for keeping pe ople poor and

divided, and given that they will no longer be the bases for promoting hatred and violenc e against others, we

can only welcome them. This is how our country is. We would like all South Africans to take pride in all of South Africa.

An appropriate regional policy will go a long way towards developing a sensitive and functional language

policy. If Afrikaans is widely spoken in the Northern Cape, Zulu in Natal and Tswana in the Western

Transvaal, there is no reason why this factor should not be taken into account in relatio n to the functioning of

government in those areas.

Furthermore, giving attention to the regions will assist in the smooth re-i te tio f he T BVS sites and

the homelands into a united South Africa. The administrative expe%ence gaigii will be sub sumed oth into

the regional and the national structures. Without any area being hived off from the rest of the country, the

cultural particularities of different areas will continue to express themselves.

The people living in these areas claim their full birthright as ordinary South Africans, entitled to share in the

benefits of the whole country. They break free from their status as marginalised groups f orced to stand in

line as dependents waiting for hand-outs from Pretoria They will, along with all their compatriots, be

important voices in the land. Their demand for roads, electricity, water, rural extension services, schooling,

clinics, cinemas, swimming pools and running tracks will be strong.

Democracy holds no threat for leaders who are in touch with the people. On the contrary, the fact that these

areas are overpopulated in terms of the land available will for once stand in their favour. As a result of a

united. nation-wide struggle, the people of the regions will have gained the right to vot e, and their place on

the voters roll will serve as a guarantee that their areas at last will receive proper at tention and adequate resources.

(IV) THE CRITERIA FOR REGIONS

The criteria for the demarcation of regions should be those that would be adopted for pur poses of good,

democratic and efficient government anywhere in the world. Regions should not be created either to lock

Mpoverty through pseudo-ethnicity into ce iiLareas, nor to secrete wealth through pseudo-race in others.

They should be design d to help overcome fragmentation and division in our land, to promote democracy

and to facilitate economic, social and cultural development in the whole country.

We envisage an organic, developmental approach towards the creation of national unity, no t something

forced from the top down. The idea is not to produce $\operatorname{good-Iooking\ maps}$, but to establish regions that will

function well, be acceptable to the people in them and help solve the problems of our country.

We accordingly consider that the following are the criteria that should be followed: The regions should be economically and socially functional. This means that regions should be

large enough to incorporate those areas which are functionally interdependent, with appropriate

communication links, and small enough to ensure coherent and meaningful planning and

administration;

They should allow for balanced urban and rural development;

They should be compact, that is, without the fragmentation, terrestrial peninsulas and is olated

enclaves which racial gerrymandering has brought to our country;

Size, population and geographic product should be given some weight, bearing in mind that for

reasons of climate as well as because of the grossly uneven development of the country. e quality of

income has to be seen as a goal ratherthan a starting point;

The old Provincial, district and magisterial boundaries, to which people have become accu stomed

and which do not carry ideological or racist connotations, should be used wherever convenient to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{c}}$

establish precise boundaries;

Popular acceptance and a sense of rightness should be taken into account. Although this m ight

appear a rather subjegive crEterionI qgi a h $^\prime$ '13?ng of national consensus has already be en

reached. In 1981the published propo als or the creation of nine economic regions for

the country. To a large extent, the criteria used were similar to those listed above. The Law

Commission has recently recommended that regions for South Africa be demarcated according to

the boundaries of the economic regions. Our proposals would follow similar lines, with th

qualmcations, which will be mentioned below. Preliminary soundings indicate widespread su pport

for the basic approach.

The qualifications we make are as follows:

First, the government proposal for nine economic regions required that the Transkei be di vided into two, with

the northern part becoming portion of the Natal region and the southern part being in the Eastern Cape. This

might or might not have made sense from a purety economic point of view but from any othe r perspective

would be manifestly unviable. No one regards northern Transkei as a natural or organic part of Natal.

We propose instead that a tenth region be created so as to include the present Ciskei, Bo rder and Transkei

areas, the northern boundary to coincide with the 1910 frontier of the Cape Province. One suggested name

for this region is the Kei. For the purposes of this paper, however, it will be referred to as Border/Kei.

The second qualification is that the 1910 boundaries be followed wherever relevant. This would be important

for determining the demarcation line between Natal and Border/Kei as mentioned above, but also for

rectifying certain anomalies that would arise from total adherence to the economic region boundaries.

Two examples of this are that despite being physically in the Northern Cape, Mafikeng/Mma batho was

placed in the Western Transvaal region, while Sasolberg was attached to the PWV rather th an located in the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PWV}}$

OFS. In our view, Mankeng/Mmabatho is in an area that has long been part of the Northern Cape and should

not be excised from it, while Sasolberg has in the general mind always been considered part of the OFS,

where it is situated, and should continue to be there.

The third is that machinery be created for relatively minor adjustments to be made after consultation with

people living in the vicinity of the borders. Thus. for example, some have argued that the town of de Aar

belongs naturally to the Western rather than the Northern Cape. There might be cases where ϵ unless certain

small adjustments were made, compact local communities used to living together would find themselves

divided between different administrative units.

In our view, prolonged uncertainty would be undesirable. Whatever happens we must avoid a ttempts to draw

boundaries in such a way as to secure voting advantages for one group or the other, just as we must not

permit borders to be attihciatty arranged so as to shore up racial privilege or bolster p ersonal power.

Decisions on minor adjustments could be left to special boundary commissions, and need no $t\ hold\ up\ the$

basic demarcation of regions for the country.

Though the status of Walvis Bay is not the subject of this document, we can only look for ward to the day

when, in terms of a general normalisation of relations between Namibia and a democratic S outh Africa, it is

unequivocally re-incorporated into Namibia.

V. THE CONSTITUTIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OF REGIONS

We have long supported the idea of government being conducted at three levels, namely, na tional, regional

and local. We envisage that the principles of non-racial democracy will be promoted at al 1 three levels.

Lively regional government is indeed one of the pre-conditions for vibrant democracy in the country as a whole.

This means that regional government will be accountable to the people of the region according to regular,

free and fair elections.

The regions could also play an important role in determining the composition of Parliamen t at the national

level. If the Constituent Assembly adopts the ANC proposals with regard to elections for the National

Assembly, then the members of Parliament will be chosen according to proportional represe ntation, in terms

of which half the ${\tt M.P.s}$ will be selected from national lists and half from regional lists .

This will mean that persons in the regions will have a strong voice in the selection of c andidates for

Parliament, many of whom will in part be directly accountable to the regions in which the y will have been chosen.

Similarly, while we do not as yet have dennite proposals in relation to an Upper House, we have indicated

preliminary support for the idea of having a Second Chamber which is elected on the basis of regions and

which has special responsibility for ensuring development in the regions. Depending on wh at the Constituent

Assembly decides, then, the regions could in this way make a further direct contribution to the composition of

the national Parliament.

Thirdly, as has been mentioned above, we envisage the development of a language policy which

acknowledges that certain languages could be recognised on a regional basis for designate d purposes,

such as serving as languages of Wei record in those regions. We would urge this approach on the

Constituent Assembly.

Swim 4f W Ni" PR

POWERS FOR THE REGIONS

What is needed at this stage is a clear concept of the role of the regions and the basic framework within

which they will operate, rather than a detailed detinition of their powers. In general terms, we feel that the

Constitution should lay down what the powers of the regions are, and that it should not be left to the National

Assembly to define them from time to time.

We contemplate regional governments functioning in a lively and democratic way in exercis ing the functions

attrigtited to them. We do not. however, see the regions as totally autonomous areas, cap able of doingva

J

S Q C LS1%an of UD1'against the rest of the nation.

Ν

To start with, the Bill of Rights laying down fundamanental rights and freedoms, will apply equally throughout

the land. We cannot have the sort of situation such as applied for many years in the USA where the doctrine

of StatesFtights was used to ban the teaching of evolution in the State of Tennessee, or to maintain race

segregation in Alabama. We want basic rights and freedoms to be respected and inviolable throughout the country.

Secondly, we cannot imagine peace coming to this country if we have a multiplicity of regional armies and

police forces. We are not saying that every traffic cop should belong to the same force. We are affirming as

strongly as we can that the major law enforcement agencies and defenders of the peace should be subject

to national standards, control and discipline. We favour the idea of these being impartia 1, competent, non-

racial agencies accountable to the public as a whole.

Thirdly, we see national and regional tasks as being complementary rather than competitiv e. The function of

the national government is to develop the basic lines of advance for the country. This me ans adopting

legislation setting out principles for development and the framework for their realisatio \boldsymbol{n} and also attributing

the necessary revenue.

Development itself takes place largely at the level of the region. It is here that decisi ons are taken as how

best to implement the general laws of the land, taking into account local conditions.

None of this is new for South Africa. Until the Tricameral institutions were introduced, this was how Provincial

and Central Government operated. The Provinces had dehned areas of competence, covering s

important fields as education, health and roads. These were not areas exclusively reserve d to the Provinces,

but, rather, areas where they exercised concurrent authority with the Central Government. Ordinances from

the Province and Acts of Parliament were interpreted wherever possible as being mutually supportive; in the

case of a clear conflict, however, the Act of Parliamentrmhmdinance

Theoretically, even the powers of the Provinces could be taken away by an Act of Parliame nt. With a new

entrenched Constitution, attention will have to be given to the question of whether the powers of the regions

Mt should be written in to the Constitution, either in general terms, subject to periodic review, or specifically,

without diminishing the right of the national legislature to adopt legislation binding on the whole country,

which would include all the regions.

In other words, the Constitution would spell out with some precision what the tasks of national, regional and

local government would be.

The regional bodies would have a guaranteed right to function. They could not be dismisse d and replaced by

persons appointed by the centre. Their powers could not be taken away from them without a constitutional

amendment. The same guarantees of Keriodicity, openness and fairness would apply to regio nal as to

national elections. As-WWmvincesT' fhe regions would not have exclusive powers, but would rather

exercise concurrent powers with the centre; in the case of a conflict, national legislati on would be binding.

Like the Provincial Councils of old, the regional bodies would have important functions a nd considerable

degree of manoeuvre, and like the Provincial Councils, they would have to function within the framework of

W natio al policy; 1mm (EN) M 0D Clo W W

- . lwm $^{\prime}$ m shims MM ,
- i(N The key problem is not how to separate but how to harmonise functioning at the natio nal and the regional

levels. We envisage the regions as bases of active development, contributing towards the enrichment of

national life. rather than as bunkers into which people retreat in order to get away from the nation. Regions

will not be able to contradict national policy as expressed in national laws, but they will help to shape such

policy and have considerable scope in relation to how best to implement it. If one takes health as an $\,$

example. It is difficult to imagine the huge health problems of the country being solved in a piecemeal way.

We will need national policies in relation to training, the creation of health delivery s ervices, immunisation,

health education, notification of diseases and so on. At the same time, it will be impossible for the centre to

decide on every question of where hospitals or clinics should be built. There has to be r egional decision-

making and implementation within the context of regional development policies and in touc h with regional needs and sensibilities.

Finally, more important often than powers is the question of revenue. The development of South Africa is

going to be dependent on sensible and functional systems of raising and spending funds. ${\tt M}$ any countries

have found that regional equalisation funds have played a vital role in overcoming region al disparities. Great

attention will have to be paid to the whole question of taxing powers and the criteria to be used for the raising

and expenditure of state funds. ${\tt CM}\ {\tt J}$

In summary, we envisage building on the old system of Provincial and Central Government, with ten regions

instead of four provinces, and a strong emphasis on non-racialism, democracy, development and

accountability at all levels.

(VII) THE SHAPE OF THE NEW SOUTH AFRICA

We can now begin to discern the outlines of the new South Africa. The new South Africa will be as the old

South Africa should have been.

It will recognise that leaving aside the disastrous dismemberments attempted by apartheid , South Africa has

ever since 1910 been governed as a unitary state in which the provinces have had an important role. The

territorial difference will be that the degree of decentralisation will be greater than before, with ten regions

instead of four provinces. The political change will be that it becomes a democratic country in which all enjoy

a e-qalrights. ' A tCr/b V&JV W15:

Т

National government will be answerable to the nation; as a whole and will attend to nation al tasks. Its

functions will not be limited but will be exercised in terms of the checks and balances \boldsymbol{c} reated by the

constitution. Its specific functions will include international relations, defence, basic responsibility for

maintaining peace in the country, and national economic development and fiscal and moneta ry policy. They

will also involve the broad framework for ttending to problems of housing, nutrition, edu cation, health, job

creation, social'security, access to laMer issues that can only be solved with a national effort.

The Constitution and the Bill of Rights will be the supreme law for the whole country and will operate with equal force in all regions.

The defence force, police and prisons service will be organised as unified, non-racial. n ation-wide entities,

with built-in elements of regional and local community accountability.

There will be a single non-racial court system with regional divisions functioning on the lines of the present

provincial and local divisions, and so on down to district, magistrates and, possibly community courts.

The civil service will be organised on a national and a regional basis. The national component will be based

at the country's capital lor capitals) and will be responsible for the preparation of national legislation and its

execution at national level. Public administration at the regional level will be subject to double subordination,

that is, be answerable to the central government in terms of general policy and orientati on, and to regional $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$

government in terms of practical implementation.

We envisage someone similar to the former Provincial Administrator serving as a link between central and

regional governments. Otherwise, we do not contemplate the existence in the same area of parallel or

duplicated national and regional civil services Isuch as one finds in the USA where state and federal $\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}$

agencies operate side by side in each statel.

Regional governments, accountable to the electors of the region, liaising with the centra 1 government,

operating through regional administrations and applying the law of the land as tailored to meet the region's

needs, shall be responsible for the implementation of government policy at the regional 1 evel.

We envisage neither high-handed central government appointees running all affairs in the regions without

reference to local opinion. nor high and mighty state governments spending vast funds on the trappings of

office and keeping their regions out of the mainstream of national development.

Regional governments will function democratically in the areas under their jurisdiction, dealing with problems

of regional development, attending to the building up of social and economic infrastructures, encouraging

cultural development, and constructing the schools, hospitals, roads, and irrigation projects required to

satisfy the needs of the people in the area The powers granted to the old Provincial Councils could well

serve as a starting-point.

The regional structures will also serve as links between local authorities and the centre

(V111) LOCAL AHTHGRFHES \$0 U T'

Special attention will have to be paid to the issue of the role and powers of local authorities. While the effects

of apartheid can never be overcome purely at the local level. it is here that the hard qu estions of achieving

real equality will have to be resolved. Just as correct articulation between the regions and the centre will be

necessary for good government, so will the development of a proper relationship between 1 ocal and regional

authorities be vital. The Constitution will have to pay close regard to establishing the correct framework for

democratic government at all three levels.

The main aim of creating regional and local government is to bring government closer to the people in order

to enable them to participate in the decision-making and planning processes.

In the introduction to this document it has been stated that the ANC supports strong cent ral, regional and

local governments within a unified South Africa. Any powers vested in local authbrities ${\tt m}$ ust be consistent

with central government policy.

The following proposals for the creation of local authorities with specific functions and limited powers should

be viewed in this context.

The functions of local authorities should be specified in legislationlmmMeenhngemeWill

be responsible for anything which is not specified under the local authority legislation, and Anything which is

not mentioned under such legislation falls outside the jurisdiction of local authorities. This proposal gives

certain powers to local authorities to formulate bye-laws and levy certain taxes within the framework of the

law. In this way local authorities will enjoy certain powers which will have to be exercised within the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}$

framework of national policy.

The crucial thing will be to develop non-racial democracy throughout the land, tackle the huge problems of

discrimination and disadvantage? that exist and create a secure and dignified Iif for $1\ \operatorname{S}$ outh $\operatorname{Africans}$. In

line with this view central government might delegate some of its powers to Mgovernmem. l nthis

 $\mbox{{\tt mdhocal}}$ authorities may be answerable to central ministries through the regional governme $\mbox{{\tt nt}}.$ This will

help to facilitate greater participation by local structures in regional development and strategic planning. : \cdot

sub-n' - ucureswn o v -- .a- e -.n -ffectivel if - - . - . . " '- -: : o

-rdinated at these le e If central inistries a - ;. - : ake their strategi . .. and submi t --m . rectly

t- the ' Inl - ithnut the . bng fir -' cussed at loca :u 'oional levels, then the local p $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

be - e meaningless exercises.

The legislation which creates local andweglendl authorities must enable them to interact vgglcally-and

how with all relevant structures such as planning authorities, ministerial representative s and

organisations of civil society such as chiefs, civic associations, development agencies a nd so forth.

Furthermore there will have to be legislation which addresses itself specificall to rural local government

functions and powers. Theretor-eklocal authority structureswm will have to take cognisance of the regional disparities and the dichotomy between rural and urban areas. To this end, the

powers of rural local authorities (which should cover both commercial farms and communal areas with equal $\frac{1}{2}$

voting rights) have to be harmonised with national strategic planning.

government vested with t to local authority structures.

levels of gov nment. thereby rendering government in at particular region ineffecti

wide powers to local authorities could result in the reproduction of apartheid policies a nd racial inequalities:

hence the need to balance the powers allocated to local authorities with the ability of c entral government to

ensure that local policies do not contradict central government policies.

rther research and discussion in this area a ecial involvement of theiiwf. before we can advance de 'tive proposals. I may well be that firmer positions on vernment wiI

%WWWMM

LWWCTN, .

l equire us to look

NOTE:

Please note: It is extremely difficult to get reliable population figures for South Afric a. Serious

discrepancies exist between the figures provided by official government sources and those presented by the Development Bank of South Africa.

The figures below must be treated as rough estimates only, to give the reader some idea o ${\sf f}$

population breakdown in the different regions. The figures come according to the four maj or

racial categories developed by the government. We look forward to the day when we can giv ${\sf e}$

information without reference to race.

We must point out that the boundaries should not be taken as representing official or def initive

views as to the precise outer limits of the regions. Our objective is merely to give read ers a rough

indication of what the regions would look like.

1km ?mmggd mm m a mmmmmg \$939

Law Commission figures for ethnicity in Nine Economic Regions

This Table has to be handled with great care. It is taken from the Law Commission Report on Constitutional Options. It does not appear to include the

TBVC areas. The figures for Northern Cape and Western Transvaal thus appear to exclude many Tswana speakers and understate the total black

population. Similarly there seems to be distortion in the Eastern Cape with regard to the percentage of Xhosa speakers and blacks as a whole. and in the

Northern Transvaal with regard to Venda speakers and blacks as a whole.

Our proposal for the creation of a tenth region (Border/Kei) means that the figures for E astern Cape and Natal will have to be altered. We also feel that in general all the hgures given above are out of date, but nevertheless they are useful as a rough guide.

A. WESTERN CAPE

The Western Cape has a total population of about 3,5 million. Over hatf the population ha ve been classified

under apartheid laws as Coloured, about a quarter as White and the rest are African.

The dominant language is Afrikaans although within the Cape Town Metropolitan area a high proportion of

English-speakers may be found. Xhosa is also increasingly being spoken.

B. NORTHERN CAPE

This area includes the western districts of Bophuthatswana.

The Nonhern Cape has a total of 1,1 million people. According to the Law Commission hgure s it has a White

population of approximately 18%, an African population of 40% and a Coloured population of 42%. The

main languages are Afrikaans and Tswana. These figures appear to exclude persons in the B op enclaves.

When they are included the African total goes up by a third to about 60% while the white and coloured

proportions go down to 12% and 28% respectively.

If, as we propose, the 1910 boundaries are followed, then Mafikeng/Mmabatho forms part of this region. The

borders indicated here are not intended to be precise.

C. ORANGE FREE STATE

This region includes QwaQwa and Thaba Nchu districts of Bophuthatswana.

The OFS region covers Northern and Southern Orange Free State. It has a total population of 2,6 million.

The White population is 16%, while 81% of the population is African. The main languages a re Sotho and

Afrikaans. Xhosa, Zulu and Tswana are also widely spoken.

If, as we propose, the 1910 boundaries are followed, then Sasotburg will be in the OFS and not in the PWV region.

D. EASTERN CAPE

The Eastern Cape economic region, as proposed by the government, is divided into three ar eas, Eastern

Cape, Border and Southern Transkei with a total population of 4,446 million (1,276 million n, 1,370 million and

1,800 million respectively). According to the Law Commission the White population constitutes nearly 24%,

Coloured 22% and African 54%. These figures would appear to exclude persons living in the Ciskei and

Transkei however. The main languages spoken are Xhosa, English and Afrikaans.

We propose the creation of a separate region for Border-Ciskei-Transkei, including the Northern Transkei,

the boundaries to be fixed according to the 1910 frontier between the Cape Province and N atal. lSee separate mapl $\,$

The map below represents the Eastern Cape region as we propose it to be, that is without the Border-Ciskei-Transkei.

A provisional estimate of the population size for the revised Eastern Cape is approximate ly 1,6 million.

According to one estimate, Whites constitute one-quarter, Coloured people one-quarter and Africans the

remainder. The main languages spoken are Xhosa, Afrikaans and English.

E. NATAL

Natal has approximately 7.5 million people of whom 2.5 million are in what is presently d efmed as Natal and

nearly 5 million in Kwazulu. Figures given by the Law Commission suggest that the Whites constitute 9% of

the population, Africans 78%, South Africans of Indian origin about 11% and Coloured peop le 2%. The main

languages are Zulu and English, and Afrikaans is also widely spoken in certain parts.

Please note that our proposed region differs from the government's economic region in that, unlike the latter,

it does not include Northern Transkei. In our proposals, the Northern Transkei would form part of the Border-

Kei region and the 1910 boundaries would apply.

F. EASTERN TRANSVAAL

This region includes Kangwane. It has a total population of 2 million. The White population for this region is 16% and the African population is 82%. The most frequently used languages are Siswati and

Afrikaans.

Zulu, Pedi, Tsonga and Ndebele are also widely spoken. Please note that the boundaries ar e not meant to be precise.

G. NORTHERN TRANSVAAL

This region includes Lebowa, Gazankulu and Venda. It has a population of 4,25 million. It has a total White

population of 4% and an African population of 96%. The main languages are Pedi, Venda, Ts onga and Afrikaans.

This is a heavily populated area with hardly any industrial infrastructure and no major u rban area.

H. PWV

This region inlcudes Kwandebele and the Odi ${\tt 1}$ and Moretele Districts of Bophuthatswana. I t has a total

population of 8,5 million. According to the Law Commission's figures which appear to exclude 700,000

people in Bop, this region has a total White population of 36%. an African population of 58% and

Coloured/Asian population of 6%. All the languages of South Africa are spoken here.

If, as we propose, the 1910 boundaries are followed then Sasolburg will not be in this re gion but in the OFS.

J. WESTERN TRANSVAAL

This includes the parts of Bophuthatswana not already mentioned. The total population of this region is 1,8

million. According to the Law Commission, it has a total White population of 25%, and African population of

71% and a Coloured/Asian population of 4%. These figures appear to exclude persons living in Bop. When

they are counted, the White percentage is about 14. The main languages are Tswana and Afrikaans.

Xhosa and Sotho are also widely spoken.

If, as we propose, the 1910 boundaries are followed then Maflkeng/Mmabatho will not form part ofthis region but will be in the Northern Cape.

K. PROPOSED BORDER / TRANSKEI REGION

It is proposed that Border-Kei region will consist of the Ciskei, Border, Transkei and Eas t Griqualand. While

the population remains to be calculated, earlier censuses suggest that it will be approximately 4,2 million

people.

The overwhelming majority of inhabitants speak Xhosa, while English and Afrikaans are als o widely spoken.

The area around East London provides an industrial base. Boundaries will be based on 1910 provincial

boundaries.

PROPOSED REGIONAL BREAKDOWN (APPROXINIATE 1989 FIGURES) Please n01?

The following table indicates some basic statistics on each of the ten proposed regions. the following:

POPULATION: This was the approximate 1989 population (the unriercount rates are usually . 5

substantially higher for blacks than whites). .. '1

4wa'

GROSS GEOGRAPHIC PRODUCT: This is a simple index of iwealth generated within A $^\prime$.

region.

MALE ABSENTEEISM: This is an index of migrancy.

Negative figures reflect relative

absenteeism of male workers, and positive figures reflect the presence of migrant workers from

elsewhere.

WESTERN CAPE

NORTHERN CAPE Cape Province Bophuthatswana

ORANGE FREE STATE Orange Free State QwaQwa

Bophuthatswana

EASTERN CAPE Cape Province Border Corridor

BORDER Ciskei

Border Corridor Transkei

NATAL N atal . Kwazulu

EASTERN TRANSVAAL Transvaal KaNgwane

NORTHERN TRANSVAAL Transvaal

Lebowa

Gazankulu

Venda

PWV

Transvaal KwaNdebele Bophuthatswana

10.WESTERN TRANSVAAL

Transvaal Bophuthatswana

TOTAL SOUTH AFRICA

POPULATION

3512 (9.5%)

1101 (3.0%)

750 (2.0%)

351 (1.0%)

2631 (7.1%)

2286 (6.1%)

277 (0.8%)

68 (0.2 %)

1934 (5.2%)

1934 (5.2%)

ΤO

3908 (10.6%)

804 (2.2%)

ΤO

3104 (8.4%)

7440 (20.1%)

2585 (7.0%)

4855 (13.1%)

1969 (5.3%)

1406 (3.8%)

563 (1.5%)

4276 (11.5%)

498 (1.3%)

2560 (6.9%)

693 (1.9%)

525 (1.4%)

8520 (23.0%)

7363 (19.8%)

392 (1.1%)

765 (2.1%)

1789 (4.8%)

1052 (2.8%)

737 (2.0%)

37080 (100. %)

GGP MALE ABSENTEEISM

12.9% 9 8.8%

1.9% -4.0%

1.8% 13.2%

0.1% -39.4%

6.3% 26.2%

6.0% 35.9%

0.2% -34.2%

0.1% -18.2%

5.4% 4.1%

5.4 % 4.1 %

BE SUBTRACTED

1.9% .. 15,6'%Ov7c L

03;% 1.3 7.. -50.0%

14.5% -14.0%

12.8% <u>8.9</u>% 1.7% 27.7%

-2 8. 7 % ADDED

9.4% 26.2%

9.2% 50.7% 0.2% -27.0%

3.0% -36.8%

1.7% 26.7%

0.7% -42.8%

0.3% -48.7%

0.3% -47.8%

38.8% 35.8%

38.1% 44.4%

0.1% -30.4% 0.5% -13.5%

5.9% 0 22.1%

4.4% 53.5%

1.5% -15.5%

100.0% 0.9%