

THESE MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 09H00 ON MONDAY 19 JULY 1993 THROUGH TO WEDNESDAY 21 JULY 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT : B Alexander
R Cronje
PJ Gordhan
RP Meyer
MC Ramaphosa
J Slovo
Z Titus
M Webb (Chairperson by rotation)

T Eloff (Administration)
G Hutchings (Minutes)

1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

2. Welcome and Attendance

- 2.1 All members were welcomed.
- 2.2 Apologies were noted for non-attendance by C Eglin and FT Mdlalose.
- 2.3 Apologies were noted for the late arrival of B Alexander and RP Meyer.

3. Ratification of Agenda

The agenda was ratified with the following amendment:.

- * The addition of an Item 6.4 to read "Sufficient Consensus".

4. Minutes

- 4.1 The minutes of the meeting of 14 June 1993 were adopted with the following amendment:

- * Item 7.4 to read:
 - "7.4 Correspondence to be noted:
 - 7.4.1 Letter from the Kwasizabantu Ministers' Conference;
 - 7.4.2 Letter from the Regional Welfare Board for Northern Transvaal."
- 4.2 The minutes of 15 June 1993 were adopted with no amendments.
- 4.3 The minutes of 17 June 1993 were adopted with the following amendments:
 - * The deletion of Item 1.3. The subsequent numbering was amended accordingly.
 - * Item 1.3 to read, "A proposal was put forward by PJ Gordhan. More discussion and debate followed".
 - * Item 2.3 to read, "P de Lille suggested several amendments to the draft declaration which the Planning Committee would be unable to recommend to the Negotiating Council."
- 4.4 The minutes of the meeting of 18 June 1993 were adopted with no amendments.
- 4.5 The minutes of the meeting of 21 June 1993 were adopted with the following amendment:
 - * Item 21.4 refers, the word "confiscate" to be replaced with "take possession of".
- 4.6 Matters arising out of the minutes:
 - 4.6.1 Item 8.2 of the minutes of 14 June 1993 refers. It was noted that discussions are still proceeding between Advocate Olivier and Dr Eloff. It was agreed that this item be removed from the agenda.
 - 4.6.2 Item 1.3 of the minutes of 15 June 1993 refers. It was noted that this issue had already been accepted in principle by the Negotiating Council in various way.
 - 4.6.3 No matters arising were noted out of the minutes of 17 June and 18 June 1993.
 - 4.6.4 Item 5.2.3 of the minutes of 21 June 1993 refers. It was noted that the Sub-Committee did notify the IMC Technical Committee of the decision of the Planning Committee with regard to the participation of

some of its members in the referred to conference.

- 4.6.5 Item 7.5 of the minutes of 21 June 1993 refers. It was noted that the Women's Coalition was already receiving the relevant documentation. Liaison with the women delegates by the Administration would take place.
- 4.6.6 Item 21.5 of the minutes of 21 June 1993 refers. It was agreed that the AVF should be notified in writing of the recommendation of the Planning Committee and the subsequent adoption thereof of by the Negotiating Council with regard to the memorandum of the AVF. The Administration was requested to send a letter to the AVF in this regard.

5. Substantive Issues

5.1 **Agendas for, and structuring and ordering of Reports from Technical Committees in the Negotiating Council for the week 19-21 July:**

- 5.1.1 A draft programme for meetings was referred to and background information given by the Administration (see p71, Addendum B of the agenda documentation).
- 5.1.2 The Sub-Committee was welcomed. Apologies were noted on behalf of B Ngubane.
- 5.1.3 Discussion and debate followed.
- 5.1.4 It was noted that participants would receive copies of the Report from the Technical Committee on the TEC and its Sub-Councils before 24 July 1993.
- 5.1.5 The question of participants giving oral submissions to the Technical Committee on IMC was raised. After discussion it was agreed the Technical Committee should first consider the written submissions and if it deems it necessary to obtain verbal clarification on the basis of written submissions, it may do so. The Sub-Committee was mandated to guide the Technical Committee and should convey the view of the Planning Committee in this regard.
- 5.1.6 It was suggested that the Draft Bills on the IMC and the IBA be finalised by 29 July 1993. The Technical Committee should be requested by the Sub-Committee to indicate when it would be in a position to submit a final report. After further discussion it was agreed that this message be conveyed to all

the Technical Committees by the Sub-Committee.

- 5.1.7 It was suggested that the Negotiating Council should set up ad-hoc committees to deal with outstanding issues in the process of the drafting of legislation. The ad-hoc committees would work in close liaison with the relevant Technical Committee. It was agreed to engage in informal discussion on this issue and to revisit it later in the course of the day.
- 5.1.8 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that each Technical Committee dealing with draft legislation should have added to its composition a State Legal Advisor. The Advisor would make an input at a technical and legal level only.
- 5.1.9 It was agreed to mandate the Sub-Committee to look into the issue of the standing committees in Parliament with regard to the draft legislation. The Sub-Committee was requested to recommend mechanisms to the Planning Committee to deal with this issue. It was noted that R Meyer would submit to the Sub-Committee a list of the parties that constitute the standing committees.
- 5.1.10 It was agreed that the Report on Confederalism from the Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues be dealt with on 26 July 1993. Delaying the debate on Constitutional Issues would send a clear message to the IFP, the Kwazulu Government and the KP.
- 5.1.11 The Administration gave a reportback on the situation with regard to the Violence Technical Committee. Ongoing problems with regard to its composition were noted. After discussion it was agreed that the work of the Technical Committee should proceed even though one of its members had decided to withdraw. The Sub-Committee was requested to have a meeting with the convenor of the Technical Committee and communicate the view of the Planning Committee in this regard. The Technical Committee should be requested to make recommendations to the Planning Committee with regard to its proposed schedule of work and meetings.
- 5.1.12 A letter from the Convenor of the Technical Committee on the Independent Electoral Commission was discussed (see Addendum A). It was agreed that the decision as referred to in Item 5.1.5 above was applicable.

5.2 Commission on Inquiry into the Attack on the Negotiating Process:

5.2.1 A reportback was given to the Planning Committee on this issue by the Sub-Committee and the Administration.

5.2.2 After discussion on this issue the following was noted:

5.2.2.1 The Planning Committee was remiss in not making proper arrangements for the views of the Multi-Party Negotiating Process to be expressed to the Goldstone Commission.

5.2.2.2 When the Goldstone Report was ready and delivered to the Department of Justice, a copy should have been simultaneously delivered to the World Trade Centre. This was not done. This request for simultaneous deliver had been conveyed to Judge Goldstone on the day of the hearings.

5.2.2.3 The Administration was requested to make available to participants copy of the Goldstone Commission Report.

5.2.3 It was suggested that members of the Planning Committee should have been contacted with regard to the Inquiry of the Goldstone Commission and the representation of its members thereon. The Administration gave an explanation with regard to circumstances surrounding the Inquiry of the Goldstone Commission and apologised for not having contacted the Planning Committee in this regard.

5.2.4 It was agreed that M Webb as the current Planning Committee Chairperson would reportback on this issue in the meeting of the Negotiating Council.

5.3 Voter Education:

5.3.1 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that the Technical Committee on the IEC should consider how best this issue of voter education could form part of the task of the IEC.

5.3.2 It was suggested that once the IEC was established, it would introduce conformity on the issue of voter education. It was further suggested that once established, the IEC should co-ordinate all efforts in this regard. It was noted that all private institutions dealing with this issue were entitled to proceed if they so wished.

5.3.3 After discussion it was noted that voter education would be the responsibility of the IEC, being the only body recognised by the Multi-party Negotiating Process and that it would be instructed to co-ordinate and implement on this issue.

- 5.3.4 It was agreed that M Webb, the current Planning Committee would reportback on this issue to the Negotiating Council.

6. Procedural Issues

6.1 Sufficient Consensus:

- 6.1.1 R Cronje requested that the ad-hoc committee reportback as soon as possible on this issue.
- 6.1.2 PJ Gordhan, as convenor of the ad-hoc committee, gave a reportback on progress to the Planning Committee.
- 6.1.3 It was noted that a further meeting of the ad-hoc committee would occur either today or tomorrow.
- 6.1.4 It was noted that K Gouws would not be attending any further meetings of the process and would therefore not attend any further meetings of the ad-hoc committee. It was presumed that F le Roux of the KP would not be attending any future meetings of the ad-hoc committee in the light of the decision of the KP to withdraw from the process.

6.2 Agenda for the Negotiating Council meeting of 19 July 1993:

The agenda as amended was approved of (see Addendum B).

6.3 Meetings Schedule:

- 6.3.1 The meetings schedule was approved as is (see Addendum C).
- 6.3.2 It was agreed that the proposed draft programme be distributed to the Negotiating Council. It should be noted that this programme was flexible.

6.4 Co-ordination of the work of the Technical Committees:

It was noted that the Technical Committees on the Repeal and Amendment of Discriminatory Legislation had met with the Technical Committee on the TEC, the IEC and Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition. The process of co-ordination had therefore been already set in motion.

It was agreed to adjourn the meeting at 10h32.

The meeting reconvened on Tuesday 20 July at 09h25. Apologies for non-attendance were

7. Commission of Inquiry into the Attack on the Negotiating Process

- 7.1 The Sub-Committee gave a report back with regard to the Goldstone Commission Report. Extensive discussion and debate followed.
- 7.2 It was noted that the answers to questions posed by the Negotiating Council had only been answered in a cursory way by the Goldstone Commission Report.
- 7.3 It was noted that there was no systematic interaction between the Multi-Party Negotiating Process and the Goldstone Commission resulting in deficiencies in the report. It was nevertheless agreed that no useful purpose would be served by taking this further by way of a Multi-Party Negotiating Process Inquiry.
- 7.4 It was agreed to mandate the Sub-Committee to address the issue of security with regard to the premises of the World Trade Centre and the safety of delegates as an ongoing item. It was agreed to set up Joint Operational Committees consisting of the Multi-Party Negotiating Process Security, the SAP and the Sub-Committee. This Joint Operating Committee would attend to the immediate security of the World Trade Centre and follow-up with regard to the recommendations of the Goldstone Commission.
- 7.5 It was agreed that there should be a watching brief on the prosecutions. It was suggested that the Planning Committee consider mechanisms in this regard. It was agreed that it was necessary to focus on and participate in the current investigations through the Sub-Committee.
- 7.6 The following issues and concerns were raised:
- * That not enough arrests had been made considering the number of people that participated in the demonstration.
 - * Why had the leaders of the demonstration not been charged?
 - * The clearing of the AVF by the Goldstone Commission.
 - * The role of the KP and the AVU, being participants in this process, had not been addressed.
 - * With regard to criminal charges, it was suggested that a detailed report on the people charged and the type of charges should be obtained.
 - * With regard to the weapons that were carried by demonstrators, whether the weapons were licensed or not.
 - * A report should be obtained on the theft of property that occurred.
 - * A written reaction should be obtained from the SAP with regard to the fact that not enough police had been deployed to control the situation.
 - * The issue that there appeared to have been no identifiable person in

command.

- 7.7 It was agreed that this item remains on the agenda and the Sub-Committee reports back to the Planning Committee on an ongoing basis.
- 7.8 It was agreed that there should be closer liaison between the Sub-Committee and the Administration with regard to this issue.
- 7.9 It was agreed to mandate the Sub-Committee with the issue of the implementation of the recommendations of the Goldstone Commission Report.
- 7.10 Z Titus, in conjunction with PJ Gordhan, was requested to prepare a list of instructions for the Sub-Committee on this issue.
- 7.11 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee prepares a report on this issue for the consideration of the Planning Committee, taking into account the views expressed in the meeting.

8. Draft Programme of Meetings and Schedule of Meetings

- 8.1 The draft programme of meetings and the schedule of meetings was approved (see Addendum D).
- 8.2 It was agreed that no decisions would be taken when the report of the Technical Committee on the TEC was dealt with.

9. Sufficient Consensus

- 9.1 It was noted that the Ad-hoc committee on sufficient consensus was due to meet over lunch.
- 9.2 It was agreed to recommend that the Negotiating Council adjourn for one and a half hours for lunch to accommodate this meeting.
- 9.3 It was agreed that the Planning Committee meets after the ad-hoc committee to discuss the report of the ad-hoc committee and to decide whether the Planning Committee should submit recommendations to the Negotiating Council in this regard.
- 9.4 It was agreed to go ahead with the meeting of the ad-hoc committee even though K Gouws, F le Roux and B Ngubane would not be present at the meeting.
- 9.5 It was agreed that the report should only be tabled and not discussed at today's meeting of the Negotiating Council. The issue should form part of the

agenda for the next meeting of the Negotiating Council on 21 July 1993.

The meeting adjourned at 10h15.

The meeting reconvened at 14h40.

10. Sufficient Consensus:

- 10.1 A report from the ad-hoc committee was distributed to the Planning Committee (available again on request).
- 10.2 PJ Gordhan gave a reportback on the meeting of ad-hoc committee and presented the report.
- 10.3 After discussion it was agreed to table the report as a first draft in the Negotiating Council and have discussion on the report on Monday 26 July 1993 before Constitutional Issues were dealt with. PJ Gordhan, as the convenor of the ad-hoc committee, should reportback on this issue in the meeting of the Negotiating Council. It should be noted by the Negotiating Council that this report would be considered by the Planning Committee at its meeting on Monday 26 July 1993.
- 10.4 It was agreed to request participants in the Negotiating Council wishing to make submissions with regard to the first draft report on sufficient consensus, to do so by 16h00 on Friday 23 July 1993.
- 10.5 An article relating to PJ Gordhan's ruling on sufficient consensus was referred to. It was agreed that copies of the said article should be distributed to Planning Committee members and discussed at the Planning Committee meeting on Monday 26 July 1993.

11. Assessment of Today's Proceedings in the Negotiating Council

- 11.1 Areas of difference in the Draft Bill under discussion in the Negotiating Council (IBA and IMC) should be identified during the debate. It should be suggested to the Negotiating Council that these issues should be referred to the Planning Committee to consider. A mechanism should be sought to deal with the issues. The Sub-Committee was requested to consider this issue.
- 11.2 It was suggested that the areas of difference should be attended to by an ad-hoc committee.

Administrative Matters

- 12.1 It was noted that an unfinished report of the Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues was copied and distributed to the media. The Administration was investigating the issue and was at this point unable to give the Planning Committee a complete report on the events. A report would be made available to the Planning Committee at its next meeting.
- 12.2 The media had already been informed about the status of the document and a press alert in this regard was in the process of being distributed.

The meeting adjourned at 15h15.

The meeting reconvened at 09h40 on Wednesday 21 July 1993. Apologies for non-attendance were noted from R Cronje, C Eglin and FT Mdlalose.

13. Possibility of a sub-committee(s)/ad-hoc committee(s) to facilitate the processing of the Draft Bills on the IMC and the IEC:

- 13.1 The Sub-Committee was welcomed.
- 13.2 After discussion, it was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that areas of difference and the processing of the Draft Bills be attended to by a small ad-hoc committee, representative of the various views in the Negotiating Council. This would be done in liaison with the relevant Technical Committee. The ad-hoc committee should not review the whole Draft Bill. The sub-committee would report back to the Planning Committee after its deliberations, in order to facilitate processing of the decisions emerging from its meetings. The issues would then be brought before the Negotiating Council.
- 13.3 It was noted that an ad-hoc committee was one mechanism that could be used in this regard. Another mechanism that could be utilised, is bilateral meetings between the different participants, within reportbacks to the Planning Committee.
- 13.4 The Technical Committee and the relevant Chairperson could play a role in identifying the areas of difference.
- 13.5 It was agreed to recommend the following composition for an ad-hoc committee to deal with areas of difference on the IEC to the Negotiating Council:

- * K Andrew (Convenor)
- * B Desai

- * P Madune
- * SG Mothibe
- * D Schutte

13.6 It was agreed to recommend the following composition for an-hoc committee to deal with areas of difference of the IMC to the Negotiating Council:

- * J Netshitendze
- * D Schutte
- * M Webb (Convenor).

13.7 It was agreed that the Convenor should convene the meetings and report back to the Planning Committee as soon as possible. It would be suggested that the ad-hoc committees meet as soon as possible, possibly over lunch time today.

13.8 With regard to the ad-hoc committee on the IEC, it was requested to advise on whether the Technical Committee should proceed with the Electoral Act or whether the Commission should be involved in the drafting of the Electoral Act.

14. **Closing time on last day sessions and starting time on Mondays - Negotiating Council**

14.1 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that on Mondays the Negotiating Council meetings commence at 11h00.

14.2 It was agreed that the Planning Committee meets every Monday from 09h30 to 11h00.

14.3 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that on Fridays the Negotiating Council meetings commence at 08h30 until 14h30.

14.4 It was agreed to mandate T Eloff to draft an Agenda for the meeting of the Negotiating Council on 26 July 1993 and to distribute an amended meetings schedule.

15. **Sufficient Consensus Report**

15.1 It was agreed to deal with the Report on Monday 26 July 1993.

15.2 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that the deadline for submissions from participants on this Report should be 16h00 on Friday 23 July 1993.

15.3 It was agreed that the Administration should inform the IFP, the Kwazulu

Government and the KP of this deadline.

16. Ad-hoc Committee on the IEC

- 16.1 It was noted that the IEC had received a number of requests for oral hearings on the Electoral Act.
- 16.2 After discussion, it was agreed to convey to the Technical Committee, via the Sub-Committee, the need for the ad-hoc committee to address the question of the Electoral Act before the Technical Committee proceeded with it. This would allow the Planning Committee to formulate a view of this issue and then make a recommendation to the Negotiating Council before the Technical Committee proceeds with the drafting of the Electoral Act.
- 16.3 The ad-hoc committee should also consider what system of proportional representation should be used. This issue was raised as a result of a letter received from the Technical Committee on the Independent Electoral Commission (see Addendum E).

17. Newspaper article in the Ilanga newspaper on PJ Gordhan

- 17.1 It was noted that the above newspaper article was an attack on PJ Gordhan as a Chairperson of this process.
- 17.2 After discussion it was agreed that a letter should be written by the Administration on behalf of the Planning Committee to the editor of the Ilanga in response to the article. The Sub-Committee should assist the Administration in this regard and the letter should come before the Planning Committee before it was sent.
- 17.3 M Webb noted that he would not be able to be party to the letter to the Ilanga.

18. Embargoed Reports from the Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues


- 18.1 It was agreed that even if participants who were not at present actively participating in the process, commented or reacted on the Reports in spite of the embargo, the media were not allowed to report on the comments.
- 18.2 This would be made clear in the meeting of the Negotiating Council.
- 18.3 This would also be clearly stated in the covering letter to participants not actively participating in the process, receiving their reports by courier.

● 9. **State Legal Advisors**

- 19.1 It was noted that four state legal advisors had been appointed to the relevant Technical Committees dealing with draft legislation.
- 19.2 A list informing the Negotiating Council of the names of the advisors should be circulated.

The meeting adjourned at 10h25.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of 3 August 1993 and the amended version signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on10/8..... 1993.


.....
CHAIRMAN

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE ON THE INDEPENDENT ELECTORAL COMMISSION

World Trade Centre
KEMPTON PARK

14 July 1993

Dr T Eloff
Head of Administration
Multi-Party Negotiating Process
World Trade Centre
KEMPTON PARK

Dear Dr Eloff

NEW ELECTORAL ACT

In terms of its instructions, the Technical Committee has now completed the preparation of its Second Draft of The Independent Electoral Commission Act which it has submitted for the further consideration of the Negotiating Council.

Accordingly it is now in a position to direct its' attention to the next task with which it has been entrusted, namely the preparation of a new Electoral Act. In the course of the 33 submissions which have already been received from the Negotiating Parties and others, a large number of proposals have been made which have reference to the Electoral Act. Moreover, it is evident that the issues to be resolved in order to formulate such new Electoral Act are of a technical and extremely important nature.

As previously noted, the Department of Home Affairs, through Mr A Tredoux, has indicated its wish to confer with this Committee, which will schedule such discussions in the near future. As the South African Government is one of the negotiating parties, the Technical Committee considers it appropriate to advise the Planning Committee that it is proposes to engage in such discussions, and at the same time to indicate its willingness to meet also with representatives of any of the other negotiating parties who may wish to meet the Committee. The Technical Committee would be grateful if the parties could be advised accordingly.

Needless to say, the Technical Committee has a preference for dealing with these matters in the first instance by way of written submissions, such as have already been received, and would accordingly only encourage such further meetings if the written submissions already submitted are considered incomplete, or in need of amplification.

The Technical Committee has already had meetings with certain persons, including Ron Gould, Assistant Chief Electoral Officer, Canada and Dr Jorgen Elklit, an Electoral expert from Denmark.


Written submissions have also been received from certain groups with a special interest in the Electoral Process including The Institute for Multi-Party Democracy and IDASA, and in view of widespread concern regarding matters affecting the forthcoming Elections, the Negotiating Council may wish to issue a formal invitation to interested parties to make written submissions to the Technical Committee with reference to a new Electoral Act. If appropriate, the Technical Committee would be prepared subsequently to schedule further meetings as may prove necessary.

In the meanwhile the Committee intends to conduct consultations with Ms Angela King, Representative of the United Nations Special Observer Mission, and certain other diplomatic and Commonwealth Representatives who have expressed a wish to be of assistance.

One final request - the debate in the Negotiating Council on the Committee's First draft unfortunately took place in the absence of members of the Technical Committee due to a last-minute change in the scheduled agenda. We understand that the debate on the Committee's Second draft will take place in the Negotiating Council on Tuesday 20 July 1993, and it would be appreciated in the event of any change to this programme, that the Technical Committee be advised at the earliest opportunity, in order that it can make appropriate arrangements to be represented at such proceedings.

In the circumstances the Technical Committee awaits the further instructions of the Planning Committee as soon as possible.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'R B Rosenthal', with a stylized flourish at the end.

R B Rosenthal (Mr)
Technical Committee for the Independent Electoral Commission

RBR/mc

**DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL
TO BE HELD ON MONDAY 19 JULY 1993 AT 11H00**

Chairpersonship - D de Villiers assisted by R Cronje

- 1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation**
- 2. Welcome and Attendance**
- 3. Ratification of Agenda**
- 4. Minutes**
 - 4.1 Adoption of the minutes of the Negotiating Council meetings of:**
 - 4.1.1 24 June 1993
 - 4.1.2 25 June 1993
 - 4.1.3 28 June 1993
 - 4.1.4 29 June 1993
 - 4.1.5 1 July 1993
 - 4.1.6 2 July 1993
 - 4.2 Matters arising from the minutes of the Negotiating Council meeting of:**
 - 4.2.1 24 Jun 1993
 - 4.2.2 25 June 1993
 - 4.2.3 28 June 1993
 - 4.2.4 29 June 1993
 - 4.2.5 1 July 1993
 - 4.2.6 2 July 1993
- 5. Substantive Issues**
 - 5.1 Draft Bill on the IMC
 - 5.2 Fifth Report by the Technical Committee on the IMC and ITA
- 6. Procedural Issues**
 - 6.1 Ad-hoc Committee on Sufficient Consensus - Progress Report
- 7. Administrative and Financial Matters**
- 8. Meetings Schedule and Programme (Addended)**
- 9. Closure**

REVISED PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

19 JULY 1993

Planning Committee	Monday 19 July 1993	08h30 - 11h00
Negotiating Council	Monday 19 July 1993	11h00 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 20 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 21 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00

Planning Committee	Monday 26 July 1993	08h30 - 10h30
Negotiating Council	Monday 26 July 1993	10h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 27 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 28 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 29 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 30 July 1993	09h30 - 17h00

Planning Committee	Monday 2 August 1993	08h30-10h30
Negotiating Council	Monday 2 August 1993	10h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 3 August 1993	09h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 4 August 1993	09h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 5 August 1993	09h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 6 August 1993	09h30-17h00

Negotiating Council	Monday 9 August and following days	Finalisation of Constitution
---------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Please note :

The adjournment times of the Negotiating Council meetings as stated are target times, which will only apply if the agenda has been completed, subject to the final decision of the meeting.

DRAFT PROGRAMME FOR MEETINGS

19 JULY 1993

Negotiating Council	Monday 19 July 1993	IMC IBA (first discussion)
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 20 July 1993	IEC
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 21 July 1993	FHR & Disc Leg
Negotiating Council	Monday 26 July 1993	Const. Issues
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 27 July 1993	TEC
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 28 July 1993	Disc Leg & FHR (Finalise) Constitutional Issues
Negotiating Council	Thursday 29 July 1993	TEC (finalise) IMC (finalise) IBA (finalise)
Negotiating Council	Friday 30 July 1993	Constitutional Issues

REVISED PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

20 JULY 1993

Negotiating Council	Tuesday 20 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 21 July 1993	09h30 - 17h00

Planning Committee	Monday 26 July 1993	08h30 - 10h30
Negotiating Council	Monday 26 July 1993	10h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 27 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 28 July 1993	12h00 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 29 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 30 July 1993	09h30 - 17h00

Planning Committee	Monday 2 August 1993	08h30-10h30
Negotiating Council	Monday 2 August 1993	10h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 3 August 1993	09h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 4 August 1993	12h00-19h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 5 August 1993	09h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 6 August 1993	09h30-17h00

Negotiating Council	Monday 9 August and following days	Finalisation of Constitution
---------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Please note :

The adjournment times of the Negotiating Council meetings as stated are target times, which will only apply if the agenda has been completed, subject to the final decision of the meeting.

DRAFT PROGRAMME FOR MEETINGS

20 JULY 1993

Negotiating Council	Tuesday 20 July 1993	IEC
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 21 July 1993	FHR & Disc Leg
Negotiating Council	Monday 26 July 1993	Const. Issues
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 27 July 1993	Const. Issues
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 28 July 1993	Disc Leg & FHR (finalise) Constitutional Issues
Negotiating Council	Thursday 29 July 1993	TEC IMC (finalise) IBA (finalise)
Negotiating Council	Friday 30 July 1993	TEC Constitutional Issues

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE ON THE INDEPENDENT ELECTORAL COMMISSION

World Trade Centre
KEMPTON PARK

21 July 1993

The Planning Committee
World Trade Centre
KEMPTON PARK


Dear Sirs

IEC LEGISLATION

In addition to the issues identified for discussion and resolution within the Negotiating Council, the Technical Committee requests that the Council consider what system of Proportional Representation should be used.

The Committee requires this information in order to draft an appropriate Electoral Act.

Yours sincerely



F Ginwala (Dr)
Technical Committee on the Independent Electoral Commission

FG/mc

Appendix N

DRAFT PROGRAMME FOR MEETINGS

29 JULY 1993

Thursday 29 July 1993	Discussion:	*	Const. Issues
	(Distribution : FHR)		
Friday 30 July 1993	Discussion:	*	Const. Issues
		*	FHR
	(Distribution : IMC and IBA)		
Monday 2 August 1993	Discussion:	*	IMC and IBA
		*	Const. Issues
Tuesday 3 August 1993	Discussion:	*	Comm Regions
	(Distribution : Violence)		
Wednesday 4 August 1993	Discussion:	*	Const. Issues
	(Distribution : TEC and IEC)		
Thursday 5 August 1993	Discussion:	*	IMC and IBA (finalise)
		*	FHR (finalise)
		*	IEC (finalise)
		*	Violence
Friday 6 August 1993	Discussion:	*	Comm Regions (if necessary)
		*	TEC (finalise)