.THE South West Africa
peace plan, which was
about to swing intg action,
is suddenly in jeopardy, on
the point
down, according to Mr Pik
Botha. g

But the odds are that the situation is not quite as desperate as all that. The exuberant and like-able Minister of Foreign Affairs has a flair for catching the headlines with a telling phrase. He uses the media in calculated fashion as a diplomatic weapon, particularly when he wants to concentrate the minds of the Western negptiators.

A Reuterâ\200\231s message from â\200\230New York, reporting the reaction at the UN to Mr Bothaâ\200\231s latest verbal fusillade, observed that his remarks are sometimes taken With a grain of salt by diplomats. As the Reuters message noted, one Western foreign minister is said to have remarked recently: $a\200\234$ We all know Pik. $a\200\235$ At the United Nations, it seems, Western diplomats are still reasonably optimistic that the peace plan is still on track and will indeed be implemented, after a few more rounds of diplomatic skirmishing.

But it remains a tricky exercise and could go wrong at any stage of the game. Now that the parties involved â\200\224 South Africa and Swapo â\200\224 are finally at the point of decision, a last-minute flurry of rhetoric and manoÃ@uvre is to be expected.

. South Africa is naturally indignant that Swapo, getting in a low blow or two before the bell

with it. Swapo, in turn,

says there is a war on and

that until the ceasefire has actually begun it is hostilities as usual as far

as they are concerned. In

eep.a

ASNCS

of breaking-

/0. BORS, >
seems to be getting away -

New York, Dr Waldheim concedes that the Swapo attack on the Nkongo base was not helpful; but he also makes it plain that he is not much impressed by Mr Pik Bothaâ\200\231s latest broadside,

This long-distance slang- \hat{a} \200\230

ing match is beside the point. The state of play is that the UN operation would be taking shape by now $a\200\224$ with a ceasefire

settlement and has negoti- -

ated toughly every step of
the *way â\200\224 but in unquestionable good faith.
Swapo, under Mr Sam
Nujoma, is a slippery customer and has had to be
dragged, = kicking and
screaming, to the negotiating table. But the exercise
is still on course. It is vital
that it should remain so.
If the peace plan fails
to get under way and nego-

Political survey by Gerald Shaw -

of the Cape Times

J

imminent and the arrival of UN troops in a-week or so $a\200\224$ if it were not for the fact that both belligerent parties are still haggling

" away over details â\200\224 such

as the composition of the

"UN force. But these prob-

lems can be readily overcome, if the political will
is there,

Does the political will exist for a settlement? At

. the brink, are both Swapo

and South Africa really prepared to go through with it, submitting to a ceasefire, with forces on both sides confined to base, then troop withdrawals and a UN-supervised election? Is there a sufficiently strong commitment a\200\224strong enough to over-

come the suspicion and in-'

tense mistrust between Pretoria and Swapo and between Pretoria and the

Lét us hope that there is such a commitment on all sides \hat{a} \200\224 and that the cur-

rent flare-up of rhetoricâ\200\231 will â\200\230quickly subside. The $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{\circ}$

indications are that South
Africa is determined to
win th_rough to a peaceful

% Y e
tiations are finally aban-

doned, more will go down

the drain than merely the

future of South West Afri-

jeopardy is a remarkable

working relationship which has grown up between the Western Five and the leaders of the Frontline Black states. The peace plan would never have come 30 far had it not enjoyed. The whole-hearted backing of men [like Neto of Angola, Machel of Mozambique, and Presidents Nyerere, Khama and Kaunda. It was pressure¢ from the Frontline States; under Western prodding, that has brought Swapo to the negotiating table. Swapo is now under tremendous

; pressure. to co-operate to

 \hat{a} 200\230ca. What will also be in !

_

the hilt with the UN plan

and submit $\hat{a}\200\230$ itself to UN-

_supervised elections. The

Frontline States, all of whom have a vested inter-

-est in' the stability of the

region, are determined that Swapo should be foreed to follow the road of elections.

There is a clear impression that Swapo would

~and co-operation with its
immediate neigh-

 \hat{a} 200\230ed them down

, 379/ like to wriggle out of this commitment, if they could, and by provocative action,

will try to goad South .

Africa into imprudent retaliation $a\200\224$ which would give Swapo the excuse it is seeking to dodge the verdict of a democratic election and try to seize the terrirtoy by force.

But the Frontline pressure on Swapo is formidable. States such as Angola, Mozambique and Zambia have enough desperate problems of their own without the complications arising from continued unrest in the sub-continent. The. Western peace plan, promising stability in Namibia at least, has suitto the ground. And the whole ex-

ercise has been a remark-. able essay in Western-Afri-

can co-operation, pre empt-

ing the Soviet trouble- '
makers, who have little to |

offer in the region as else-

The benefits of a SWA/

â\200\230Namibian settlement

would be immense â\200\224 for the West and for South Africa. That is why the Western Five have tried so hard and have kept on and -on, overcoming problem after problem to keep the negotiations on track, i And now the whole exer-

l cise is said by Mr Pik

¢

where in Africa, but guns

and mischief-making.

So a diplomatic success '

in SWA/Namibia; with the UN plan unfolding on

schedule, would be a major coup for the cause of the !

West in the sub-continent. It would demonstrate that the Western formula of negotiating settlements in Southern Africa hag more to offer that sub-continental warfare.

A Namibian success might even improve the atmosphere so much that the

Rhodesian impasse would

become more tractable. A -

better Western - African
rapport, cementted in the *

SWA/Namibia issue, could lead to rapprochement between Pretoria and Black Africa. In such an atmos-

phere, South Africa could

once again begin to build bridges of understanding

more bours.

Botha to be in the balance. So it would be as well once again to consider the consequences of failure. Apart from the diplomatic consequences $a\200\224$ the setback to Western interests in Southern Africa â\200\224 there'iés the direct effect on. South Africa itself. If there is to be a continuing guerrilla conflict, South Africa will be drawn in even more deeply, with our young men in their thousands going to fight in the bush and scrub of Namibia,

This is a fatal option. It would play into the hands of the forces of disruption. If the South African Defence Force is to be tied up, increasingly, on the foreign soil of SWA/Namibia, the defence of our own land will be weakened and the drain on manpower will be massive.

Success in the UN peace initiative in SWA/Namibia is a vital Somth African and Westrn interest. The plan is now at a critical stage, Further provocations can no doubt be expected from Swapo. To rise to the bait would be foolish, playing into the hands of the wreckers, the forces determined to shipwreck the peace and undermine Western interests in this region.

It is time for the politicians tp remain cool, calm and collected $a\200\224$ and to keep the UN operation on course. A peaceful settlement is within reach. The

opportunity will not offer itself again, $\hat{A} \$$ i

I Natal 100)
_years ago

Melmoth Osborn's

misplaced optimism
THS NNafem /) JSrEn <s=
by Hibernian
LIVING as we do in a world of instantaneous communications, it is difficult to appreciate just how slowly news travelled in the days before telephones and the radio, when even the railway line reached only from Durban to Pinetown. Thus it took several days for the full news of Isandhlwana to percolate through Natal and then South Africa. During this period extravagent optimism, sublime confidence in the British Army and derisive contempt for the Zulus were destroyed by blind panic as wild rumours of the defeat and mon-existent Zulu invasions swept the Colony.:

On January 25, three days after the battle, the rumours were beginning to stir Pretoria, but Melmoth Osborn was still writing in optimistic tones to Sir Theophilus Shepstone who had just been replaced as British Administrator of the Transvaal and was en route to Utrecht. s 2

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ Cetewayo being a natural coward it is mot to be wondered at that he now tries to get out of the terrible mess he has been concocting by wanting a palaver and I trust that this will not be allowed. People here are very much elated at the successes already achieved by our forces and I sincerely trust that the same good fortune may continue on our side. $\hat{a}\200\235$

Osborn, an official in the British administration of | the Transvaal, exhibits in this letter not only his anti-

Zulu bias, regrettable since he was later appointed British resident in Zululand, but a degree of anti-Boer feeling which could not have assisted him in his task in the Transvaal,

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ The Boers here are worthless as fighting men and they are only too glad to avail themselves of any excuse to escape facing an enemy $\hat{a}\200\224$ what a pity that there are not more men of Piet Uys $\hat{a}\200\231$ stamp. There are I believe a few about Utrecht. $\hat{a}\200\235$

Following these disparaging remarks there is an unfortunate irony in his faith in the British forces.

 $\hat{a}\200\234I$ am most anxiously awaiting news of a real engagement with the main Zulu army or branch thereof. $\hat{a}\200\235$

 $\hat{a}\200\230$ Osborn did not have long to wait for he continues, in defiance of the rumours which were setting fire to Pretoria: /

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ This morning they have it that a great battle had come off in which a great many white men were Killed, but udthe post cart is mot in yet no news could have

arrived. $\hat{a}\200\235$

INSIDE MAIL

WHAT are the main changes that are being implemented by the Government? o $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$

The fundamental change is the decision to let the rand move gradually to a managed $\hat{a}200\234float\hat{a}200\235$ in its value for overseas trading tgurposes against all other currencies.

This means that the rand will tend to move steadily up in value in good times and steadily down in bad times in response to market forces. -

The Reserve Bank will, however, intervene to stop any wild daily fluctuations. Previously the rand had been, since 1975, fixed against the dollar at R1 equals \$1,15, but with the rate against all other currencies varying from day to day as the dollar gained or weakened against them.

But the emphasis is on gradual. The Reserve Bank also seems set on controlling the float $\hat{a}\200\224$ a point criticised by some who believe market forces alone s ould matter. Won $\hat{a}\200\231t$ a floating rand be unsettling and confusing?

Not really. The rand has been floating against every currency except the dollar anyway. i

What was unsettling before was that the rand could find itself being towed up or dragged down by the dollar against, for example, sterling or German marks when South' African needs or circumstances could be quite the opposite. ' i

And a float does rule out dramatic big $a\200\234a\200\230$ one swoop $a\200\231a\200\231$ devaluations or revaluations $a\200\224$ such as the effective 21,7 % rand devaluation in September 1975. g

It is fear of bi% losses that can arise in these situations that can cause the biggest economic disruption through, for example, panic flights of money pouring out of the country. What will the new policy mean for South Africans planning to go overseas on holiday?; \hat{a} 00\230

- Much will be unchanged. Holidaymakers have never been allowed to buy their travellers cheques or forei currency until just before leaving the country so they could not make long-term protection against changes in the value of the rand against other currencies.

The rand might drift down in value this year but that $a\geq 00\geq 30$ could have happened before, just as easily against every currenc! except the dollar.

And if you go and buy your air ticket you can at least

leave, rather a much smaller movement in the rand, up or down. e
Will South Africans be able to take more money out of the country now? 4

No. The De Kock Commission did suggest that South Africans have the right to take extra money out through the securities rand market \hat{a} 200\224 although this would have

- meant a loss of more than 40 % on the present value of the

securities rand against the ordinary commercial rand $a\200\224$ but this was turned down, at least for the present, by Senator Horwood, the Minister of Finance.

What are securities rands?

- They are now being renamed financial rands. They were orginally called blocked rands.

Foreigners who wish to sell their South African investments, such as shares bought on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange, cannot take the money directly out of the country.

Itr};s $\hat{a}200\234$ blocked $\hat{a}200\235$ and this is what makes up the pool of financial rands.;

These funds can, however, be sold to other non-residents, previously only for buying shares but now for tAl; yjng or setting up new factories and such in South

rica. 4

It is possible, therefore, for foreigners to get money out of the country but there is no loss of foreign exchange reserves. $\hat{a} \geq 00 \geq 30$

Basically Foreigner A, who buys the financial rands, is making a new investment in South Africa exactly equal to the disinvestment by Foreigner B who is selling the financial rands.;

Why are financial rands worth less than ordinary rands?

Partly because of the restrictions on them and partly because the present 40 % discount on commercial rands reflects the political concern overseas about South Africa.

It means, for example, that overseas investors buying

응

SOUTH AFRICA has embarked on a new exchange rate policy for the rand in the wake of the report of the De Kock Commission. Rand Daily Mail Financial Editor HOWARD PREECE looks at the main questions and answers on how it will affect the ordinary citizen and $a \ge 00 \ge 30$ what it might mean for jobs, prices and economic growth.:

better return than South Africans \hat{a} 00\224 for example, 14 %

against 10 % \hat{a} \200\224 for, buying shares in Johannesburg in the

same companies.;;

So why donâ $\200\231t$ South Africans buy the shares in London? ey are not allowed to by South African law.

Is this discount likely to stay at 40 %?

The hope is that it will narrow now that foreigners can use financial rands as a cheap way of making fixed investments in South Africa as well as buying shares.

This ought to increase the demand for financial rands. Why are there hopes that the new policy will help boost jobs and economic growth in South Africa?;

There are two main reasons. The first is that the Government has often been obliged to keep a tight clamp on the South African economy \hat{a} 200\224 holding interest rates at a high level, for example, and restricting spending generally to curb imports \hat{a} 200\224 to protect the gold and foreign exchange reserves. . 5

__ This has been particularly true in the past three-and-a-

half years when the problem has been made worse by the need to regay hundreds of millions of rands worth of overseas debts incurred in the 1973-75 economic spree.

The De Kock Commission rightly artgues that there is no way of escaping the responsibility of proper financial

be sure there will not be a big devaluation before you shares of South African companies in London want a 40 % discipline in managing the economy by altering the ex-

Three looms

warns Solzhenitsyn

LONDON . â\200\224 The exiled Russian writer Alexander Solzhenitsyn said in an interview broadcast yesterday that. the world â\200\230was moving towards a third world war,

The warning came in the second half of an interview with the British Broad-casting Corporationâ\200\231s Rus-Sian service, in a broadcast to mark the fifth anniversary of his expulsion from the Soviet Union. Part one of the interview was broadcast on Tuesday.

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ We are ,clearly moving towards a world war and yet Western statesmen deceive themselves that we are moving towards detente, $\hat{a}\200\235$ he said.

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ They surrender several countries a year to the communists. How long will the supply of countries last? $\hat{a}\200\235$ he asked.

The 60-year-old Nobel

ize-winning author was speaking at his home in Vermont, in the United States, where he has lived for two years. ¢

A staunch opponent of communism, Solzhenitsyn said the Soviet people had already won the main victory over it. â\200\234We have stood out for 60 years and not been infected by it. There has been nothing like the ideological tri.

umph, that massive capture -

of souls that Lenin and Trotsky talked of and counted on, $\hat{a} \ge 00 \ge 35$ he said. Expanding on the theme of global conflict, Mr Soizhenitsyn said: $\hat{a} \ge 00 \ge 34$ The communists cannot renounce aggression . . . these madmen will clearly succeed in plunging both our

country and the whole world into war . . . because ranged on the opposite side will be China. Hence,

they will do

ar

Wi

the , victor will again be communism, but in a different form,

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ The Americans are nurturing -China into 2 world conqueror, just - as they nurtured Soviet communism after the Second World War to rebound on their own heads, $\hat{a}\200\235$ = he added,

Asked how the West could help the Russian people, he said:

 $\hat{a}\200\234$ The West $\hat{a}\200\231$ s withdrawal has' gone so far that it is fruitless for us, in our country at any rate, to count on Western assist. ance . . Nobody has either the strength or the fortitude. And if they feel they need to trade us cff, so $\hat{a}\200\224$ as with

Taiwan.â\200\235

He said there were some glimmers of hope. Western young people were more sensitive to the truth than

heir teachers, The new Pope was \hat{a} 200\234a banner of the time . . . a gift from God \hat{a} 200\235. The future of Russia was

in recuperation, \

 $\hat{a}\200\234Renounce$ all mad fan- . tasies of foreign conquast and begin the peaceful, long, long, long recupera-

tion. \hat{a} \200\235 he said. \hat{a} \200\224Sapa-Rtr.

® Exiled writer Alexander

Solzhenitsyn . . . Western $\,$

statesmen are deceiving
/ themselves.

A threat

in Dutch Church

Crisis

THE long-standing feud between the radical and conservative wings of the Dutch Roman Catholic Church has erupted again with such force and recrimination that both sides feel only Pope John Paulâ\200\231s personal intervention can prevent a permanent schism.

Essentially the arguments are much the same as those which divided the Dutch Roman Catholics during the 1960s: where should the Church stand on such controversial issues as birth control, the rights of homosexuals and priestly celibacy?

But the issue chiefly responsible for the latest upset here is abortion and the Government's intention to liberalise the law during the current Parliamentary session.

The passage of this legislation has always promised difficulty for the coalition of Christian

He has threatened to refuse the sacraments to any Catholic MPs who support the abortion law

proposals and in an inter-

view defiantly added: $\hat{a}\200\234I$

believe that this issue is not only worth a Government crisis, but in this instance a Government crisis is necessary.â\200\235

â\200\230Rebuked

Democrats and Liberals.
But now, to politiciansâ\200\231 dismay, the Church in the shape. of the ultraconservative Bishop of Roermond, Mgr Jan Gi-Jjsen, has intervened direct-

ly.

DAVID | HAWORTH |

The Hague

other ethical questions there is no doubt he has | succeeded. t

He has twice visited Rome in the last few months and -says: $\hat{a}200\230\hat{a}200\230$ We

| Must not be surprised if the Pope-decides to act in the near future. I believe that

Holy See will soon call on Holland to make real choices. I know the Pope is taking the situation here very seriously. He must intervene., | a

So far the Pope has made | No comment on the deteriorating relations â\200\230 between Gijsen and his fellow bishops. But he has received a report on the dispute from 'Cardinal

Willebrands, the Dutch. Primate, who is also, ironically enough, head of $a\200\234$ the Vatican's -Secretariat

. for Christian Unity,

All the Pope is committed to is his request to see the seven Dutch bishops individually before making up his mind how to respond to the crisis. These audiences are scheduled to

Pope John Paul 11

- | â\200\230have been considerable.
- Cardinal Willebrands has described the current dissention as $\hat{a}200\230\hat{a}200\230$ tragic, $\hat{a}200\231$ 'saying: $\hat{a}200\234$ The Church is a sign of unity but threatens to become a demonstration of division. $\hat{a}204$

Comments by Gijsen. he

went on, were undesirable

because they $a\200\230a\200\230might$ well

detract from the dignity

_and credibility of the Dutch | Episcopal College.

A recent private meeting between the $s\tilde{A}$ Oveni¬\201?nutctg: bishops has done nothing bring the two sides closer. Gijsen is supported in his conservative - opinions by Rotterdamâ\200\231s Bishop Arie Simonis; thek 3 colleagues are riously described as $a\200\234a\200\2301i$ erals $a\204$ c or $\hat{a}\200\234$ progressives. $\hat{a}\200\235$ Their discussions were inconclusive \hat{a} 200\224 the bishops have a deeper insight $\hat{200}231\hat{200}231$ into each otherâ $\200\231$'s attitudes, was the only comment made afterwards.

- mented: ;
. evidence of a pastoral at~titude and of a deeply felt

 \hat{a} 200\234than the admin

Meanwhile Gijsen has excommunicated - two priests. One had married, the other was found to be

These moves - not. endeared him to large sec-One leading paper comâ\200\234There is little

sympathy for people entrusted to his care . . . It is hardly conceivable there

~ will be people in Rome who

consider his theological arguments more important said it was $a\200\230a\200\230$ peculiar $a\200\231a\200\231$ of Gijsen to ask the Pope to intervene rather than consult priests and laymen in his diocese about what sort of changes, if any, shouldbe made in traditional doctrine: Another bishop commented: *It's clear that things are not 100 percent right in the Dutch Church Gijsen was strongly . rebuked for the semti. . ;2 \hat{a} 200\2301;ew;;1:1 \hat{a} 200\230c: over the next Mments, whose expression is | i seen by many as interfer- | 'Whatevel." the polmcal effects of the bishop's ing in liti i & Political affairs. criticisms of abortion law However, if it was . the 4bishop's intention tg reform the reverberations polarise debate not only on | â\200\234ithin the Dutch Church the abortion issue but also Province, but you ean't guide people by $a\200\231$; fronting them wi rulesit \hat{a} \200\234tngacy living under the same roof ' as a woman. He has - reiterated his pelicy of refusing communion to homosexuals. . %}