

LuM/013/0014/17

SECOND MEETING OF THE MANAGEMENT COUNCIL OF THE LUTHULI SERVICES
HELD ON SATURDAY 18TH DECEMBER 1982
AT 7 SOUTHBOURNE CRESCENT, LONDON NW4 2LB AT 11 AM

A G E N D A

1. Minutes of last meeting and Matters Arising
2. Director's report
3. Fundraising
4. Luthuli Services Programmes
5. NOVIB grant and the Luthuli Educational Trust in South Africa
6. Constitution Amendment and Charitable Status
7. Any other business
8. Date of next meeting
9. Close of meeting

SECOND MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF MANAGEMENT - LUTHULI CULTURAL AND WELFARE SERVICES

CHAIRMAN'S NOTES

AGENDA ITEM 1

- (a) The Chairman will invite the meeting to consider the minutes and to decide whether they reflect a correct record of the deliberations of the previous meeting held on 27th March 1982.
- (b) The Chairman will then sign the minutes if the meeting is agreed that they represent a correct record.
- (c) The Chairman will invite the meeting to comment on the minutes and matters arising. The chairman will also call for amendments to the minutes should there be any.

AGENDA ITEM 2

The Chairman will invite the Director to present his report to the meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 3

The Chairman will invite the Director to give a brief report on fundraising activities undertaken.

AGENDA ITEM 4

The Chairman will invite the meeting to discuss the written-up programmes of the Luthuli Services.

AGENDA ITEM 5

The Chairman will invite the Director to introduce the discussion on the NOVIB grant and the Luthuli Educational Trust in South Africa.

AGENDA ITEM 6

The Chairman will invite the Director to lead the discussion on the proposed amendment to the Constitution.

AGENDA ITEM 7

The Chairman will invite the meeting to make comments and contributions on any matter relating to the Luthuli Services.

AGENDA ITEM 8

The Chairman will invite the meeting to consider and to decide on the date of the next meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 9

The Chairman will formally close the meeting.

DIRECTOR'S INTERIM REPORT FOR THE PERIOD APRIL-NOVEMBER 1982

1. Fundraising

After the inaugural meeting of the Luthuli Services Management Council held on the 27th March 1982, and the approval of the four major projects, these are:

1. The Luthuli Scholarship Programme
 2. The Luthuli Relief and Welfare Programme
 3. The Luthuli Provision for teachers programme for the school for South African Refugee students
 - and 4. The Luthuli Rehabilitation Centre for the mentally ill,
- the urgent task requiring immediate attention was the preparation and writing up of the projects for presentation to donors for consideration and funding. The written-up projects have already been circulated to the members of the Council of Management in accordance with the decision taken by the Council of Management.

Following upon the completion of the writing up of the approved projects, possible donors were contacted. These included the following:

(a) Governments

Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Holland, France and Italy

(b) Non-Governmental Organisations

Church of Sweden Mission, Norwegian Council of Churches, WCC Programme to Combat Racism, Ford Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation, Carnegie Corporation and the African American Institute.

2. Responses and Expectations

The result of the approaches to these possible sources of funding have been as follows:

(a) Governments

Sweden

The Swedish Government acting through its aid organ the Swedish International Development Agency (SIDA) is presently considering our application for funding support. I have been advised that a decision will be taken during the month of February 1983. SIDA is considering support for all our programmes. The level for possible funding can, therefore, not be estimated.

Norway

The Norwegian Government is also currently considering our application for funding. I have been advised by the Foreign Ministry that they will advise us of their decision regarding our appeal for support as soon as this is taken. The decision is expected to be taken early in the new year. It cannot be anticipated how much funding can be expected from the Norwegian Government, but they are considering all our projects for funding.

Denmark

The Danish Government is considering our appeal for support for our various

projects. They also expect to come to a decision in the course of the coming year.

Holland

The Dutch Government acting through NOVIB are also considering our application for funding. Their decision is expected in the coming year.

However, funds to the amount of £32,773.42, have already been received into the accounts of the Luthuli Services from the Dutch Government through NOVIB. These funds, which are part of the total amount of Dfl 250,000 had been originally contributed towards the work of the Luthuli Memorial Foundation in 1978, and had been held on behalf of the Dutch Government by NOVIB pending the re-organisation of the Luthuli Services.

France

The French Government are presently considering the appeal of the Luthuli Services for support. No response has yet been received.

Italy

The Italian Government is also currently considering our appeal for support. No response has been received yet.

(b) Non-Governmental organisations: (Europe)

The non-Governmental organisations approached for funding support are the following:

Church of Sweden Mission

The church of Sweden Mission has undertaken to support the Luthuli Services at the level of funding of £3,000 a year initially. The annual contribution will be reviewed from time to time, and depending on the evaluation of the work carried out by the Luthuli Services, would be increased.

Norwegian Council of Churches

The Norwegian Council of Churches has undertaken to study the projects of the Luthuli Services with the view to possible funding. Although there is no definite commitment regarding support for the Luthuli Services or the level of funding, positive and keen interest has been expressed in the projects of the Luthuli Services. We have been informed that as soon as the studies of our programmes have been completed and a decision taken regarding funding support for the Luthuli Services, this will be communicated to us.

The WCC Programme to Combat Racism

The WCC Programme to Combat Racism have advised the Luthuli Services to approach the World Council of Churches through their specialised organs directly responsible for the disbursement of funds and the processing of applications for Educational and Welfare aid. This has now been done and

the results of our appeal for support are being awaited.

Non-Governmental Organisations (America)

Ford Foundation

Fruitful and constructive discussions have taken place between the Director and Mr. Richard A. Horovits the Programme Officer: Developing Country Programmes. The Ford Foundation, after noting that the Luthuli Services urgently required funding to enable us to set up proper offices and to employ essential staff, suggested that we should submit a formal application comprising a detailed costed project for office administration. They considered this to fall immediately within the scope of their activities and that it is urgent that such core funding for essential staff requirements is given priority if funding for specific programmes is to be realised. When this major hurdle shall have been overcome then they would consider giving assistance for our specific programmes.

The Rockefeller Foundation

The Rockefeller Foundation with whom I also had a fruitful exchange of views informed me that although they are keen on supporting South Africans by way of scholarships, they provide such assistance in the form of post-graduate training in the United States and also that they sponsor students directly and do not make contributions to other organisations. They are, however, keen on leadership training for South Africans in the context of National development. What is required to be done, therefore, is for the Luthuli Services and the Rockefeller Foundation to explore possible ways of co-operation.

The Carnegie Corporation

Discussions with the Carnegie Corporation were encouraging. They are interested in our work and they have undertaken to study our programmes with the view to possible assistance and support to the Luthuli Services. They have undertaken to contact the Luthuli Services as soon as a decision has been taken.

2. NOVIB Grant for Scholarship Programme

It is important to note that the contribution to the scholarship programme received from NOVIB is a specified contribution earmarked for South African students inside South Africa. It should further be noted that these funds, originally contributed by the Dutch Government in 1978, and held by NOVIB pending the re-organisation of the Luthuli Memorial Foundation are stipulated to have to be transferred to the Luthuli Educational Trust in South Africa although the Luthuli Services is required to account for the use of these funds.

A letter has been written to NOVIB by the Director on behalf of the Luthuli Services indicating that the proposed arrangements regarding the administration of these funds is not satisfactory for the following reasons:

- (a) The Luthuli Services has no control over the Luthuli Educational Trust in South Africa, and accordingly, cannot guarantee effective supervision regarding the use of funds remitted to the Luthuli Trust in South Africa.
- (b) The Luthuli Trust works too closely with the Inkatha Political party of the Kwa-Zulu Bantustan. This relationship can only have adverse effects on the Luthuli Services should any close association between the Luthuli Trust and the Luthuli Services be established.

A response from NOVIB is awaited.

3. Auditors

The official auditors of the Luthuli Services are Mason and Partners Accountants of 6 Tudor Parade, London Road, Hounslow, Middlesex TW3 1PJ. In addition to supervising the setting up of our accounts, Mason and Partners act on behalf of the Luthuli Services in relation to Inland Revenue regarding tax affairs of the organisation.

4. Appointment of Bankers

As decided by the Management Council at their last meeting held on the 27th March 1982, the Director in consultation with the Treasurer have appointed the Co-operative Bank of 110 Leaman Street, London E1, the official Bankers of the Luthuli Services.

5. Finances

The Luthuli Services accounts as at 6th December 1982, are as follows:

Deposit Account	£26,025.48
Current Account	£ 7,822.74
TOTAL Funds	<u>£33,848.22</u>

6. Constitutional Amendment and Charitable Status

Information has now been received from the Solicitor of the Luthuli Services that the Charity Commissioners have responded to the application submitted to them for Charitable status. It is considered essential by the Charity Commissioners that the Constitution of the Luthuli Cultural and Welfare Services be amended to exclude any member of the Council of Management from becoming a paid official of the organisation. Once this condition is satisfied Charitable status will be granted.

M. Seretse Choabi
Director
8th December 1982

REPORT ON FUNDRAISING TRIP TO SCANDINAVIA UNDERTAKEN BY THE DIRECTOR

I left London on 4th October 1982 for Stockholm, Sweden, where, with the assistance of the ANC Chief Representative in Scandinavia a programme of meetings had been arranged for me with the officials of SIDA (Swedish International Development Agency) and the Director of the Church of Sweden Mission in Upsala.

The meeting with the Representatives of SIDA, Ulla Strom and Elizabeth Michanek, took place 5th October 1982 at the SIDA offices in Stockholm. As soon as our meeting had started the SIDA officials requested me to address them on the purpose of my visit. I informed the SIDA officials that before we could enter into detailed discussions regarding the specific programmes that had already been lodged with them, it was, in my view, vital that I should render an explanatory and background account of the various programmes that had been adopted by the Luthuli Services.

I informed the SIDA officials that for some time now, and especially in recent years the ANC had increasingly come to recognise that there was an urgent need to attend to the issue of Manpower Training and Development on a systematic and organised basis if the indigenous and educationally deprived people of our country are to be realistically and effectively prepared for participating fully in the economy of South Africa, when, independence eventually, comes to our country. I stressed that the task confronting us in this area is a particularly daunting one when it is realised and recognised that South Africa is not a Third World Country but a highly complex modern industrial state. I pointed out that the establishment of the Department of Education and Culture in recent years, within the ANC, is the direct result of the recognition by our Liberation Movement of the urgency in tackling the Manpower Training and Development of our people on a programatic basis and realistic to the eventual actual personnel requirements in the various sectors of the highly complex South African Economy. I stressed that one of the most important tasks facing the Department of Education and Culture is to identify precisely the priority areas in which our people should be trained as a matter of urgency and to ensure that actual training is co-ordinated and organised on a programatic basis to ensure the attainment of the objectives of our Manpower Training and Development programme.

I informed the SIDA officials that the establishment of the Luthuli Services has been effected as a result of the recognition of the fact that a specialised and autonomous organisation, dealing specifically, with the actual execution of specific programmes, which are directly relevant to the actual needs of our people was imperative if the provision of required services is not to proceed on an ad hoc basis as has been the case to date. The existence of the Luthuli Services, emanating directly from our people and our Liberation Movement, will ensure, not only, that programmes and projects are formulated and adopted relevantly to the needs of our people but will also contribute to the knowledge and understanding, by other agencies engaged in work directed at assisting South Africans, as to precisely where to focus attention and to apply their resources. In this way an internationally coordinated and meaningful effort related to the real needs of our people would be promoted.

After rendering the foregoing account of the background, aims and objectives of the Luthuli Services the meeting proceeded to discuss the individual programmes of the Luthuli Services which are:

1. The Luthuli Scholarship Programme
2. The Luthuli Relief and Welfare Programme
3. The Luthuli Provision Programme for Teachers for the School for South African Children
4. The Rehabilitation Centre for the Mentally ill.

The discussion of these programmes did not take long as I was informed that the programmes, as formulated and discussed in the documents gave a fairly self-explanatory account of these programmes. The only questions raised which required clarification were technical. These were the following:

1. Does the Luthuli Services have the staff with the capacity to implement the programmes envisaged?
2. Which other agencies and organisations have been approached for support by the Luthuli Services.

I was informed by the SIDA officials that they were raising these questions in anticipation of these questions being raised by the SIDA Board when the decisions are to be taken whether to support the Programmes of the Luthuli Services. It was agreed by the meeting, following upon the recommendation of the SIDA officials, that

these technical questions should be submitted to the Luthuli Services in writing so that they should be replied to in writing. The written questions would be forwarded to our office in London and the written responses to these questions would be forwarded to the SIDA offices in Stockholm. It was further agreed that a further consultation between the SIDA officials and the Luthuli Services should take place in the month of November in London.

The SIDA officials also explained their specific role within SIDA. They informed me that their role is that of making recommendations to the SIDA Board regarding whether programmes presented to SIDA should be supported and secondly whether specific organisations should be funded.

The November meeting with the SIDA officials planned to take place in London is still to be finalised regarding date and place.

CHURCH OF SWEDEN MISSION

After the meeting with the SIDA officials I travelled to Upsala for the meeting with the Church of Sweden Mission. At the offices of the Church of Sweden Mission I met the Director who had been expecting me.

I gave the Director an account of the Luthuli Services and that of our programmes along the same lines as I had done during my discussions with the SIDA officials. His response was most favourable and he assured me that he would submit a report to the Board of the Church of Sweden Mission commending the Luthuli Services for support. He informed me that he did not foresee any difficulties concerning the Board of his church supporting the Luthuli Services. Indeed, he gave me authority to quote the Church of Sweden Mission as one of the organisations committed to supporting the Luthuli Services in my discussions with other organisations and Governments from whom I sought funding. As can be noted, the outcome of this meeting was most satisfactory and encouraging. I thanked the Director for his understanding and took my leave.

NORWAY

I left Stockholm for Oslo in Norway on the early morning of the 6th October 1982 after a full detailed programme of meetings had been arranged for me in Norway with the help of the ANC Representative in Stockholm.

NORWEGIAN MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

The first meeting on my programme was at 10 am the same day with the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs. I had discussions with Mr. Boarne Lindstrom (Acting Head of the Foreign Affairs Division) and Mr. Knut Vollebekk. This meeting was a particularly difficult one as I had already received a letter from the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs signed by Mr. Knut Vollebekk in response to our appeal for support for our programmes, informing me that, whilst the Norwegian Government was in favour of the programmes of the Luthuli Services, they had, however, decided to continue channelling aid for South African refugees through their established channels. Indeed, as we were proceeding to the Offices of the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs I was advised by our guide who had assisted in arranging the meeting that he had been informed to come and fetch me from the Offices of the Foreign Ministry within thirty minutes as it was expected that the meeting would not last beyond that period. As it turned out the meeting lasted one hour and thirty minutes.

From the outset I informed the Ministry officials that I had decided to come and have direct person to person discussions with them, the object of which, was to ensure clarity on both sides regarding the basis for the Norwegian Government decision not to fund the Luthuli Services on the one hand, and, the importance of the Luthuli Services to the liberation effort of our people in South Africa. I informed the officials that, to say the least, I was most alarmed to receive their letter. This, I pointed out, was largely because, during the entire period of four years during which we were involved in the intricate effort to establish the Luthuli Services we had explicitly been assured funding support by the Norwegian Government.

I reminded them that the idea to establish the Luthuli Services, which originally was conceived in 1968, had been at the instance of the Norwegian Government and the other Scandinavian Governments who, were at the time reluctant to fund the ANC directly as they do not support armed struggle. I emphasised that the ANC, recognising their predicament and that of many Non-Governmental organisations who were confronted with the same difficulty, had decided to provide them with an organ through which they could support the liberation efforts of our people without fear that their funds may be used for purposes other than those for which they had been intended.

It is therefore, a matter of grave concern for us, if, at this stage, when we have finalised the process of establishing an autonomous and separate organisation originally demanded by them that they should now inform us that they are satisfied in channelling aid for South Africans through 'established channels'.

A prolonged discussion then ensued during which the Mr. Lindstrom informed me that he saw no justification to change the decision they had already taken. He informed me that at present the Norwegian Government was channelling funds for South African Refugees through no less than five distinct organisations. Among these were:

(i) The World University Service (2) The Africa Educational Trust (3) The International Defence and Aid Fund (4) The United Nations Educational Programme for South Africans (UNEPSA). He stressed that in his view he could not convince himself that adding a sixth organisation, ie. The Luthuli Services would in any way better serve the interests of South Africans. Such a step could only mean that more funds, instead of being available for effective service for South Africans, would be utilised to sustain and support an additional administrative structure.

I responded by informing Mr. Lindstrom that I entirely agreed with the view that it is more constructive if available funds were utilised for effective service than to sustain administrations. I pointed out to him that what he and his Government had neglected to take into account was the special status and position of the Luthuli Services in relation to the entire strategy for the liberation of our people. I informed him, as I had done previously in my discussion with the SIDA officials, that, the Luthuli Services had been set up by the ANC in order to ensure that a co-ordinated approach to the issue of Manpower Training and Development for South Africans was realised. I stressed the urgent need for this and pointed out that a realistic and meaningful programme related to the needs of our people and having correct priorities was possible and could be guaranteed only if the liberation movement had a direct input into whatever Training programme was being mounted. I informed him that to date, all the Training Programmes operated by all the agencies he referred to, shared in common the fact that they operated on an ad-hoc basis without any clearly identifiable overall objective regarding Manpower Training and

Development for South Africans in preparation for the full and effective participation of our people in the economy of an Independent South Africa. I stressed that this state of affairs and approach was not only unsatisfactory but was, in the long term bound to be injurious to the genuine aspirations of our people for Independence and the control of their destiny.

I informed Mr Lindstrom that whilst we appreciate the work done by all these various agencies in helping our people, this does not alter their status as support organisations acting in solidarity with our people. We, as a Liberation Movement, desiring to take control of our destiny could not indefinitely continue to accept the present ad-hoc form of providing educational aid for our people. I stressed to Mr. Lindstrom that we were not introducing the Luthuli Services in competition with all these other agencies whose work we continue to value, but rather to ensure that forward-planning is introduced in this field of Training. This, I emphasised would arise from the fact that the Luthuli Services, having been created by our Liberation Movement, and having clear overall objectives in Manpower Training and Development would not only participate fully in the actual determination of the priority areas of Training and the actual Training of our people but would also play the role of advising and bringing to the attention and knowledge of all the other agencies engaged in the training of South Africans the views of our Liberation Movement regarding the priority areas in which our people should be trained. What has to be appreciated and understood is that in the establishment of the Luthuli Services we have formed an organisation that will be sensitive and responsive to the wishes of our Liberation Movement. It must be understood that not only are we aiming to achieve self-determination when independence is attained in South Africa, but also, that it is of paramount importance that we also take charge in the direction and orientation of the Training of our people consistent with the principle of our managing our own affairs. I stressed that it is important that the Norwegian Government understood and took cognisance of the sensitivities involved here, otherwise, by telling us to go to other agencies when we want to take control of our affairs they may have to live with

the consequence that their position is, in principle no different from that of the South African Government who also dictate to us the organs which ought to act on our behalf. I stressed that it is for the Norwegian Government to decide whether they are prepared to live with this consequence of their decision.

After the long preliminary discussion referred to above, we then proceeded to discuss in detail the various programmes of the Luthuli Services in a most cordial atmosphere. At the end of this second part of our discussion Mr. Lindstrom made the following statements.

1. He was most grateful that I had come to Oslo to have these discussions with them.
2. He was particularly thankful for the clarifications I had made regarding the Luthuli Services especially how it is to be perceived by those who support our liberation struggle.
3. He assured me that he will review the decision they had taken and that he would get in touch with me in due course.

Our meeting was concluded on this note and I thanked both Mr. Lindstrom and Mr. Vollebekk for allowing me time to speak to them, and departed.

THE NORWEGIAN COUNCIL OF CHURCHES

The next meeting on my programme was with the Norwegian Council of Churches whom I met at their offices. There I had discussions with Mr. Tor Vennesland, Mr. Einar Vetvik and Mr. Odd Fostein Sater.

After the brief introductions I was offered the opportunity to address these representatives of the Norwegian Council of Churches on the Luthuli Services. After rendering a detailed account of the aims and objects of the Luthuli Services including its character and organisation, keen interest was shown in the work of the Luthuli Services. I was informed that the Norwegian Council of Churches was in the process of evaluating its foreign aid programme for South Africans and that it was fortuitous that I had arrived at the right time to discuss the work of the Luthuli Services.

The representatives of the Norwegian Council of Churches were undoubtedly enthusiastic about the work of the Luthuli Services and they expressed their interest openly during our discussions. The meeting was most friendly and cordial and was fairly brief.

I thanked the officials for their sympathetic understanding and left.

DENMARK

I left Norway for Copenhagen in Denmark on the early morning of 8th October 1982. When I arrived in Copenhagen I was met at the Airport by Mr. Eric Erichson a long-standing supporter of the Liberation struggle of our people. Mr. Erichson had arranged to major meetings for me, one with the Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the other with the Foreign Ministers Advisory Committee on Apartheid matters.

DANISH MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

At the meeting with the Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs which took place at 11 am, I had discussions with Susanne Rubow, Head of the Foreign Office Section responsible for fund allocations to Liberation Movements. We had a cordial and fruitful discussion. After Susanne Rubow had extended kind words of welcome to me she invited me to address her and her colleagues on the purpose of my trip and the work of the Luthuli Services. I gave an account of the Luthuli Services along the same lines as I had done previously in Sweden and Norway. When I had finished Susanne Rubow made the following points:

1. There had recently been an election in Denmark and a new Government had come into office.
2. As the new Government was still in the process of establishing itself in office it was not clear what its foreign aid policy was going to be, she however, did not envisage radical substantial cuts in the aid programme.
3. Although she could not, under the circumstances, guarantee support for the Luthuli Services she would take up our appeal for support with her Department.

After a friendly exchange of views I thanked Susanne Rubow for agreeing to see me and for her sympathetic consideration of the work of the Luthuli Services. After the exchange of courtesies I left for my next meeting.

THE FOREIGN MINISTER'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON APARTHEID MATTERS

My meeting with this Committee had been occasioned by the realisation that it is important in advising the Danish Foreign Office regarding which organisations to support especially with regard to Apartheid related matters. I accordingly considered

it important that I should meet this committee and introduce the Luthuli Services and its work to them. Our meeting with the Committee took place at the offices of the Danish World University Service National Committee who are also members of the Advisory Committee.

We had a good and constructive meeting. After giving an account of the Luthuli Services and its work the Committee undertook to support the Luthuli Services. I accordingly decided to supply the committee with copies of the projects of the Luthuli Services for which we are soliciting support.

OBSERVATIONS:

1. The trip to Scandinavia was undertaken with the object of lobbying the various Scandinavian Governments for funding support, as a matter of urgency, especially after the unexpected initial negative response by the Norwegian Foreign Ministry.
2. As I am aware that the Scandinavian Governments usually act in consultation with one another, it was my judgement that I should take the opportunity to discuss with them before negative decisions are taken by all of them similar to the initial Norwegian decision which would be difficult to reverse once taken.
3. From the foregoing report I am satisfied that this trip has turned out to be most successful especially in regard to placing the Luthuli Services on the aid map in Scandinavia.



M. Seretse Choabi

26th October 1982

DIRECTOR'S REPORT ON FUNDRAISING TRIP TO THE UNITED STATES

I left London for the United States on the 31st October 1982. Upon arrival in the United States I made my way to the ANC Office in New York. From the ANC office I contacted the various organisations with which I had planned to hold discussions on the work of the Luthuli Services. These discussions were by way of follow-up action on initial contact already made with these organisations. The Luthuli Services fund appeal and programmes were already in the possession of these organisations.

The Ford Foundation

Discussions with the representatives of the Ford Foundation took place on the 10th November 1982 at the offices of the Foundation. Among the persons with whom I had discussions was Mr. Richard A. Horovitz who is the programme officer - Developing Country programmes for the Ford Foundation.

After providing a detailed account of the envisaged work of the Luthuli Services and also discussing our financial problems relating to the funding of our administration and staffing, Mr. Horovitz responded as follows:

1. In his view the programmes of the Luthuli Services are worth supporting by the Ford Foundation.
2. These programmes, especially the scholarship programme falls within the terms of reference regarding the type of programmes usually supported by the Ford Foundation.
3. He is of the opinion that it would be more helpful to the Luthuli Services if we were to submit, as a matter of priority, a project to the Ford Foundation on office Administration Costs, including staff costs, which are essential to enable the Luthuli Services to operate effectively. Such a project, he was certain, could immediately receive funding from the Ford Foundation.

I thanked Mr. Horovitz for his suggestion, and constructive and helpful approach to the work of the Luthuli Services and undertook to prepare a budget for administration costs, including staffing costs, for presentation to the Ford Foundation for funding.

The meeting with the representatives of the Ford Foundation was most fruitful and encouraging.

The Rockefeller Foundation

My meeting with the representatives of the Rockefeller Foundation took place on the 11th November 1982. Among the people I met was Mr. John Stremlau who is the Rockefeller Foundation Project Officer. I had a fruitful and constructive discussion with Mr. Stremlau on the work of the Luthuli Services.

At the end of our discussions Mr. Stremlau informed me that the present policy of the Rockefeller Foundation regarding the provision of aid to South Africans is limited to the provision of Scholarships to South African students to study in the United States. The Rockefeller Foundation is further mainly interested in leadership Training for South Africans. To this end they operate a Leadership Training programme for South Africans in the United States. It was further explained to me that this Leadership Training programme caters for post-graduate research students only.

The outcome of my discussions with the Rockefeller Foundation is that we agreed to explore possibilities of the Luthuli Services making use of the post-graduate educational opportunities offered by the Rockefeller Foundation.

The Carnegie Corporation

On the afternoon of the 12th November 1982, I had discussions with representatives of the Carnegie Corporation. Among the persons I met was Mr David Hood, Executive Officer of the Carnegie Corporation. We had exhaustive discussions on the work of the Luthuli Services at the end of which I was advised that the Carnegie Corporation would need time to study our programmes in order to determine for themselves whether to support the Luthuli Services. They did, however, point out that the final decision will be taken by their Board, Their immediate reaction was, nevertheless, in favour of our programmes.

I thanked Mr Hood and his colleagues for their sympathetic hearing and left.

The African-American Institute

Immediately after my meeting with the Carnegie Corporation representatives, I proceeded to my next meeting which was with the African-American Institute. There I had discussions with Mr. Frank Ferrari, Senior Vice-President, on the work of the Luthuli Services as detailed in our programmes.

I was informed by Mr. Frank Ferrari that the African-American Institute operates a Scholarship programme for South Africans. Under this programme South African are brought to the United States to take up studies and to further their education. The African-American Institute is keen to have us explore with them ways and means through which they could be of assistance to the Luthuli Services in regard to the Educational training of South Africans.

I informed Mr. Ferrari that the Luthuli Services would be interested to have some of our students studying in the United States, and accordingly, I would keep in touch with him regarding the placement of our students in Institutions of learning in that country.

Observations

1. It is my opinion that the trip to the United States was worthwhile.
2. The discussions with the Foundations, especially the Ford Foundation, were most constructive and encouraging.
3. A costed project on the Luthuli Services Administration is now being prepared for presentation to the Ford Foundation for consideration and funding.
4. It is my opinion that the Luthuli Services should take advantage of any educational opportunity for the training of South Africans such as those offered by the Rockefeller Foundation and the African-American Institute. We should not only concern ourselves with those organisations that provide funds for our programmes.

M. Seretse Choabi

Director

8th December 1982

LUTHULI CULTURAL AND WELFARE SERVICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT A MEETING OF THE MANAGEMENT COUNCIL OF

THE LUTHULI CULTURAL AND WELFARE SERVICES WILL BE HELD ON THE

19th MARCH, 1983

AT

7 SOUTHBOURNE CRESCENT, LONDON NW4 2LB

AT 10 AM.

IT IS HOPED THAT ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WILL BE ABLE TO ATTEND.

AGENDA.

1. MINUTES OF LAST MEETING HELD ON 19th DECEMBER, 1982 AND MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES.
2. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 11th DECEMBER, 1982 AND MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES.
3. REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR.
4. ANNUAL ACCOUNTS.
5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS.
6. DATE OF NEXT MEETING.

NB. Papers for the meeting following.

Signed:



M.S. Choabi.
(Director)

10th March, 1983.

LUTHULI CULTURAL AND WELFARE SERVICES

THIRD MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF MANAGEMENT

CHAIRMAN'S NOTES.

AGENDA ITEM 1

- (a) The Chairman will invite the meeting to consider the minutes and to **decide whether they reflect a correct** record of the deliberations of the previous meeting held on 11th December, 1982.
- (b) The Chairman will then sign the minutes if the meeting is agreed that they represent a correct record.
- (c) The Chairman will invite the meeting to comment on the minutes and matters arising.

The chairman will also call for **amendments** to the minutes should there be any.

AGENDA ITEM 2

- (a) The Chairman will invite the meeting to consider the minutes and to decide whether they reflect **a** correct record of the deliberations of the **previous** special meeting held on 19th December, 1982.
- (b) The Chairman will then sign the minutes if the meeting is **agreed** that they represent a correct record.
- (c) The **Chairman will then** invite the meeting to comment on the minutes and matters arising. The Chairman will also **call for amendments** to the minutes should there be any.

AGENDA ITEM 3.

The Chairman **will** invite the Director to present his report. The Chairman will invite the meeting to discuss the report.

1. Charitable status

The chairman will invite the meeting to consider the proposal that the term "Limited" be removed from the title of the association.

2. Fundraising.

The Chairman will invite the meeting to comment on the fundraising report.

3. Finances

The chairman will invite the Treasurer to comment on the finances of the Association. The meeting would then discuss the finances of the Association.

AGENDA ITEM 4

The chairman will invite the meeting to consider the draft Receipts and Payments Account for the year ended 31st December, 1982.

AGENDA ITEM 5

The chairman will invite the meeting to make comments on any other business relating to the affairs of the Luthuli Services.

AGENDA ITEM 6

The chairman will invite the meeting to decide on the date of the next meeting of the Management Council.

The chairman will formally close the meeting.

LUTHULI CULTURAL AND WELFARE SERVICES
(U.K.) LIMITED

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an Extraordinary General Meeting of the above-named Company will be held at

on day, the day of December 1982 at
 o'clock in the noon, for the purpose of considering,
and if thought fit passing, the Resolutions set out below which
will be proposed as Special Resolutions.

RESOLUTIONS


1. That the objects of the Company be altered by the addition after Clause 3 subclause (ii) of the Memorandum of Association of the Company of the following:

"(iia) The relief of sickness in all its branches by grants of money, clothing and other necessities the provision of drugs and medical appliances, and including the provision or support of institutions for the treatment, rehabilitation and care of sick South Africans."

2. That clause 4 of the Memorandum of Association be amended by omitting in the first paragraph thereof all the words from and including "Save that the Council" to the end of that paragraph.

A member entitled to attend and vote at the above meeting may appoint a proxy to attend and on a poll to vote instead of him. A proxy need not be a member of the Company.

By Order of the Council of Management


.....
M. S. Choabi - Secretary

Dated th December 1982
.....

INCOME AND EXPENDITURE REPORTINCOME

Opening Balance: £12,657-37
 NOVIB Donation : £32,773-42
 Interest : £ 25-48

£45,456-27

Add Cash on Hand £200-00
 Total Credit Balance=£30,221-95

EXPENDITURE

Salaries	£6,554-91
Inland Revenue	£3,880-81
External Travel	£3,060-00
Administration*	£1,411-55
Telephone	£ 307-07
Commission charges	£ 19-98
Total Expenses	<u>£15,434-32</u>
Add Balance as per Bank Statement	<u>£30,021-95</u>
	<u>£45,456-27</u>

*Administration: Secretarial Services
 Postage
 Local Travel
 Photo-copying
 Printing Letter-Head Paper
 Type Setting Letter Head Paper
 Purchasing Stationery

ANTICIPATED INCOME:

Church of Sweden Mission	£3,000-00 (Minimum Donation)
National Community Funds (USA)	(US) \$10,000
NOVIB	Dfl. 100,000 (Additional Funds)
Ford Foundation	£60,000 (Administration Estimate)
SIDA (Sweden)	(Not certain of size of Contribution)
Norway	(Not certain of size of Contribution)
Denmark	(Not certain of size of Contribution)
Norwegian Council of Churches	(Not certain of size of Contribution)
Dutch Government	(Additional Funds depend on accounting for funds received)
Carnegie Corporation	(Not certain of size of Contribution)

Signed:

M. Seretse Choabi
 M.SERETSE CHOABI
 (DIRECTOR)

17th December, 1982.