L\_.

```
sz N015n13N03 (L)
22 ALEIGOS GIHHLHVdV-lSOd NI sanSSI (9)
L2 _ GOIHHd TVNOILISNVHL 3H1 (9)
21 GOIHBd lNBUHHO 3H1 NI SHIDI10d an SHDIOHO $3nssI 1v311133 (v)
Auvwwns v : NHLSAS 33val3m 1VHOISBH 3H1 NI SiNIVHlSNOO 9's
SHIHLIISNI Hauvasaa GNV SNINIVHi v's
AHOlanls-NON GNV AHOLHIVIS : SHHHLDHHLS BNvaw-A3110d 9's
saaxaom GBLVIGOSSV an TVIDOS 2's
BNIXVW-lIAOHd GNV
AHOLanLS AHVan1OA: SNOILVSINVSHO HHVJTEM 1'8
9 saaanosaa GNV EHHLDHHLS 33v313m (s)
2 (VS) valaav HanS NI 33v313m 30 AHOLSIH (2)
L NOILDHGOHLNI (1)
(;) . $31av1 30 1311
'ON 39Vd
SINHLNOD
```

(1')
LIST OF TABLES
TABLE 1 PENSIONS BY RACE IN SA
2 SALARY SUBSIDIES (1978)
MAXIMUM MAINTENANCE GRANTS (OCTOBER, 1980)
#00
ALLOCATION OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES (1986/7)
5 CHILD WELFARE SERVICES IN SA (1985-86)
6 BUDGETTED SOCIAL WELFARE PROVISION
DIAGRAMS
DIAGRAM 1 WELFARE POLICY AND SERVICE STRUCTURES
2 WELFARE SERVICES : STRUCTURE, FUNCTION AND NATURE

#### (1) INTRODUCTION

The quaTity of the provision of weTfare services in any society is a sensitive indicator of the nature of that society. Societies with a Tong democratic tradition or a strong commitment to caring for aTT its citizens have extended State-funded sociaT weTfare or sociaT security systems whiTe those with a strong capitaTist base or with Timited democracy have privatised Or rudimentary weTfare services. TraditionaTTy the provision of welfare benefits fquiTs a number of pur-

poses in that society, viz.:

(i) Provide material assistance to the ererTy, the disabled.

- (i) Provide materiaT assistance to the ererTy, the disabTed, the dependent and the indigent.
- (ii) Serve to ensure that the ideoTogy and vaTues of the ruling cTass remain dominant.
- (iii) Serve as a means of sociaT controT by ensuring aTTegiance to the State, fostering dependency and obedience and stifTing the organisation of the poor and oppressed.
- (iv) Contribute to the deveTopment and consoTidation of prevaiTing sociaT and poTiticaT policies and thereby to Tegitimise the existing sociaT system.

WeTfare services, together with other human or sociaT services such as health, education, housing, media and communication make part of the ideo-TogicaT mechanism by which the ruling cTass ensures its domination and the smooth functioning of the 'system'. The other mechanism which the State uses to maintain controT is the repressive state apparatuses, viz. the poTice, army and security forces.

During periods of crises such as the present, the sociaT services become an important terrain of struggTe. The popuTar mass organisations increasingly chaTTenge their vaTues and ideoTogy and struggTe to re-orient these services to truTy meet their needs and aspirations. The State in turn is attempting to refine and sophisticate their ideoTogicaT weapons and in coTTaboration with brute miTitary force attempting to suppress or

re-direct the struggle for social justice.

This is the critical challenge that welfare services and social workers face during the current transitional period as we move from apartheid to democracy.

The purpose of this paper is three-fold to:

- (a) Describe the existing welfare system in South Africa (SA) from a historical and welfare perspective.
- (b) Analyse current trends and struggles in the welfare sector in relation to the ongoing demands and struggles in the broader society; and
- (c) To attempt to identify the strategic approach and the critical issues in the welfare system so as to achieve a comprehensive social welfare/social security system for all South Africans.

## (2) HISTORY OF WELFARE IN SOUTH AFRICA

Prior to the 20th century, there were no organised weTfare services in South Africa. The white pioneer famiTies provided for their own needs and amongst BTacks, the extended family system within the tribal system cared for its peopTe. Just prior to the 1920's, sociaT assistance was available in a charitable kind of way through various organisations and mainly through church groups. During this period child welfare societies were formed for whites and the first TegisTation for the protection of children was passed in 1913.

The 1920's and 1930's saw an upsurge of interest in weifare. This occurred mainTy as a result of the Carnegie Inquiry into the Poor White ProbTem which emerged after the discovery of gon and diamonds. At the Kimberley Voikskongres in 1934, Doctor H. Verwoerd proposed the establishment of the State Department of Social Welfare. The probiems of the poor whites in South Africa caused wide-spread concern and, therefore, a major weTfare programme, with the State taking a Teading role, was effected. The purpose of this concerted action was to eliminate the poor white problem in the 1930's. The State Department worked cToseTy with private (voluntary) weTfare groups and churches and since then the principTe of joint responsibility for weifare was Taid down. Neifare services by the authorities for Blacks was minimai, and left in the hands of their traditional sociaT systems and families.

The State has repeatedly stressed that South Africa will not become a Welfare State. The principle upon which the South African social policy is based:

"Is that every citizen is responsibTe for his own weifare and socia: adjustment, that cf his family and of the community. Only where the citizen faiTs to sustain his independence in these regards, does the State come to his assistance in co-operation with private effort. This is the principle which expresses the character of the nation and distinguishes the RepubTic of South Africa from a Weifare State."

This principie embodies the residual approach to welfare. Only once did the State depart from this policy and that was at the time of resoiving the poor white problem when the State introduced 'institutionalised', i.e. national welfare programmes to eradicate the problem.

For Blacks, the Government's policy of apartheid 'a formula for political and social separateness ... for the different population groups, to ensure the maintenance, protection and consolidation of the white race ... (de Kock, 1971, 43) and its application has resulted in severe mass poverty among the Black groups which contradicts the above policy. Official State policies have been directed against the stabilisation of urban African communities and have resulted in family and community disintegration. The churches and other religious groups, private educational trusts and labour organisations responded to the welfare needs of Blacks. Since the early 1970's there have been various grass-roots efforts at community de-

the economic realities of the Black communities. It is clear, therefore, that the social weifare needs and hence welfare services of the people of South Africa differ and contrast sharply. Whites show characteristics of the affluent Nest and, therefore, one can assume that residual social services, i.e. services to the individual would be appropriate. Blacks after decades of exploitation and fragmentation show features exhibited by the economically less-affluent peoples of the world. Therefore, institutionalised, i.e. Government's involvement and national weifare programmes are more urgently required. But the State's commitment to individual services, and its focus on the needs of white voters results in serious contradictions in weifare. These contradictions are made worse by the modified weifare policy recommended by the Department of Constitutional Development and Pianning.

velopment. Self-heip and communai action projects are growing inspite of official poiicy with their focus on community-wide social problems and

#### (3) WELFARE STRUCTURE AND RESOURCES

South Africa has a reSiduaT weTfare system based on a partnership between the State and the voluntary sector. The State is responsibTe for deveToping weTfare poTicy within the framework of its phiTosophy of race discrimination and for a substantiaT financial aTTocation in the form of subsidies. This residuaT weTfare system which differs from an institutionaT or welfare state modeT has evoTved to meet weTfare needs as they have been expressed by various groups. No objective criteria exists to measure weTfare/sociaT security needs in the community or work pTace - neither is there any nationaT data to measure such needs.

It is in this context that the approach adopted is to examine the existing resources, analyse their key features and to develop a framework for an atternative welfare or social security system.

The main components of the weTfare structure are:

- 3.1 WeTfare Organisations: VoTuntary, Statutory and Profit-making.
- 3.2 Social and Associated Workers.
- 3.3 PoTicy-making Structures : Statutory and non-Statutory.
- 3.4 Training and Research Institutes.
- 3.1 Welfare Organisations

The buTk of the weTfare services are provided by voTuntary (private) weTfare organisations, whiTe the various Government NeTfare Departments undertake statutory weTfare services (both professionaT and non-professionaT) and TastTy, a smaTT group of sociaT workers and agencies provide services for a fee (profit-making) (Diagram T). Many multi-national and national companies provide benefits to their workers - very TittTe data is avaiTabTe about this sector. FinaTTy, some TocaT authorities have community sociaT workers (preventive work) and certain reTigious groups who do reTief work. HistoricaTTy, voTuntary weTfare organisations (private sector) emerged

HistoricaTTy, voTuntary weTfare organisations (private sector) emerged as part of reTigious, charitabTe and women's groups to heTp the poor

and needy. InitiaTTy, voTunteers coiTected money and goods - as they grew they evoTved into larger regional and national structures with fuTT-time trained staff (social workers). Volunteers then moved mainTy to management and fund-raising TeveTs whiTe services were provided by fuTT-time professionaT staff.

At present there are ten categories of speciaTised voluntary weT-fare organisations operating at Tocai, provincial and nationaT leveTs, viz.:

- Chiid and family weifare.
- Marriage guidance.
- Care of the aged.
- The bTind.
- The deaf.
- The crippled and physicality handicapped.
- Crime prevention and rehabiTitation of offenders.
- Mental heaTth.
- ATcohoTism and drug addiction.
- EpiTepsy Teague.

In addition to these national councils, there are 23 registered coordinating bodies operating nationally and provincially. It is unclear whether similar structures exist in the Bantustans.

LocaT organisations serve particular geographic Or magisterial districts - they have their own management committees, fuTT-time staff and offer services usuaTTy without charge, and are affiTiated to their respective nationaT counciTs.

The national councils are non-statutory, non-Governmental bodies of volunteers, which co-ordinate the work of their hffiliates, develop some guidelines and act as a channel of communication between the State and affiliates mainly on the issue of subsidies and policy directives. Increased subsidisation has increasingly forced the voluntary welfare sector to conform to the State's welfare policy. In 1966 there were 2,100 registered voluntary welfare organisations - by

1986 this number had grown considerably.

In 1976 there were 1,908 registered voTuntary weTfare organisations with 2,034 organisations operating in terms of Tetter of delegated authority.

The chde and family weTfare movement is one of the onest and most extensive network in South Africa. A totaT of 171 societies, mainTy urban based provide services reTated to grants, pensions, maritaT probTems, housing and heaTth issues, physicaT and substance abuse, some statutory (chderen's court) work and other probTems 'dumped' upon them by other services. The composition of the chde weTfare societies vary - there are 24 White, 37 CoToured, 20 Indian, 36 African and 54 mixed group agencies.

With the exception of the Indian NeTfare Organisations which are partiaTTy representative of their communities - the CoToured and African societies are TargeTy in the hands of whites. Many regionaT variations occur; In NataT there are separate societies for whites, Indians and African communities, in Cape Town a singTe Targe white dominated agency ostensibTy provides services on a 'non-raciaT' basis to aTT groups, in Johannesburg the Johannesburg Chde WeTfare Society services White, CoToured and African communities whiTe the Johannesburg Indian SociaT WeTfare Agency (JISWA) serves the Indian community. The inadequate weTfare services for urban Africans and the underdeveTopment of weTfare organisations in these communities can be attributed to a number of factors. The pervasive infTuence of the church with its strong emphasis in meeting the physicaT needs of its adherents together with the traditionaT reTiance of the African community on the extended, communaT famiTy systems to care and provide for its own peopTe means that extern&T aid in the form of public assistance or other weTfare benefits have Timited acceptance. 0bjectiveTy, the deTiberate poTicy of providing minimaT resources for African deveTopment and harsh repressive conditions prevaiTing in the townships, especiaTTy those administered by the homelands, makes it difficuTt to estabTish welfare organisations. This is in stark contrast to the powerfuT community organisation with extensive street

committees which have been established and which address a broad range of issues incTuding welfare needs. It is these structures with their democratically eTected leadership and highly articu—Tate network of voTunteers, that are Tikeiy to form the basis for a future weTfare system, or for the deveTopment of an aTternate people's committee geared toward coTTective seTf-heTp. V

The Government or Bubiic sector aTso provide pubTic assistance re—Tief and handTe statutory welfare problems in terms of the Chderens Act and other Taws. This is operated by their own weTfare departments which have now been fragmented along ethnic Tines in terms of the new constitution.

Prior to the early part of the century State invoTvement in weTfare was handled by the Provincial Governments, in 1929 the State started to grant a non-contributory 01d age pension to white and Coloured men of 65 and women of 60. This was onTy extended to eTigibTe African and Asian men and women in 1944. In the 1930's and 1940's the State's primary weTfare roTe was the aTTocation of funds for grants and pensions - mainly raciaTTy-based. The Weifare Organisation Act of 1947 marked the beginning of increasing State intervention. An aTT-white NationaT WeTfare Council was estabTished with the other races pTaying peripheraT advisory roTes. The passing of three major Taws in the 1970's deaTing with nationaT weTfare, social and associated workers and fund-raising saw the State deveToping a coherent weTfare poTicy consistent with its raciaT poTicies. This culminated in the T985 modified weTfare poTicy which totaTTy fragments policy, administration and service deTivery along ethnic Tines. Both the voTuntary and the public sectors are fuTT of discriminatory and differentiaT services. Hansi PoTTak has given an exceTTent account of this in a paper entitled 'State SociaT Pensions, Grants and SociaT Weifare' - which is part of a review of race discrimination in South Africa (TabTes 1-7).

Grants and pensions are paid in a ratio of 4:2:T for whites, Indians, CoToureds and Africans respectiveTy - TabTe 1, gives the percentage of pensioners receiving the maximum pension (T974).

Likewise the saTary subsidies are aTso differentiated by race (TabTe 2)

The Chderen's Act of 1937 provided for grants for chderen in need of care who had been committed to an institution, and also for foster-care grants. These were paid at the same rate, but differed according to the race of the chde. Maintenance grants, to enabTe chderen to be brought up by their families, are subject to a means test. In 1979 the ratio of these grants were 100: 43,2: 16,2 for whites, Indian/CoToured and Africans (TabTe 3). Pensions and subsidies to the aged foTTow simiTar patterns.

Hare and McKendrick in their paper 'South Africa: Racial Divisions in SociaT Services' found that ... 'On a nationaT basis, the majority of sociaT welfare services, especiaTly those of a sophisticated and speciaTised nature catering to a white clientele, are Tocated in or near towns and cities.' This makes them inaccessibTe, especiaTTy to African communities. They note that in a 1972 anaTysis of the activities of the major community-sponsored mentaT health agency in Johannesburg, it was found that although BTack cTients outnumbered whites, whites accounted for 86 percent of aTT attendances at the agency for service and for 75 percent of all home visits by agency personneT.

State weTfare poTicy for Africans was aTways separate. In 1957 the Department of Native Affairs advised TocaT authorities and aTT organisations providing services to Africans that it woqu n9: approve the control of sociaT welfare or recreationaT services for Africans by voTuntary white bodies or by raciaTTy mixed committees. This forced many specialised African services which had operated in 'white' urban areas to cTose, others were re-constituted or forced into the bantustans.

In 1958, the new Department of Social NeTfare and Pensions operated within the confines of the new emerging apartheid poTicies. It stated that ... 'This Department has TargeTy limited its practicaT activities to promoting the sociaT welfare of the white population.' (Official Year Book of the RepubTic of South Africa, 1974).

In the same book it was stated that the Government holds the view that:
"Among the BTack nations ... the traditionaT social
system and family structures are such that a considerabTe amount of what is usuaTTy regarded as
public weTfare assistance and poor reTief in Western
societies is carried out on a customary basis by relatives and associates ... For this reason, inter aTia,
it has been found necessary and advisable to differentiate between these groups and others as regards the
nature and scope of assistance provided."

In 1966 the Department issued CircuTar 29, which extended the principle of separate organisationaT and administrative controT to Coioured and Indian voTuntary social weTfare.

The exact position in the bantustans is very unciear since no reTiabTe data is avaiTabTe.

Social and Associated Workers

There are approximateTy 5,000 registered sociaT and associated workers. They, Tike other sociaT service workers, are highTy skiTTed professionals, operate at the community TeveT and enjoy a significant measure of Tegitimacy. The constraints they face are three-fon. Firstly, their training as in aTT capitaTist societies is geared towards treatment of symptoms, providing hand-outs, and is case-work oriented. The worker-ciient reTationship perpetuates the cTass and racial divisions of the broader apartheid society. They either Tack the skiTTs or are unwiTTing to tackle the fundamental sociaT, poTiticaT and economic issues.

SecondTy, the majority of workers are dependent on the State for their income and job security. Increased subsidisation has ensured that the current serviTe attitude to State poTicies is ensured. This form of social controT has aTmost made it unnecessary to use direct repressive measures against professionaTs. This ambivalence expTains

their peripheral role in the current struggles. For the social workers to take their rightful place, a positive relationship must develop through concrete struggles.

Thirdly, the shield of professionalism is used to artificially divide the welfare and political aspects of client's problems, to justify non-involvement in political and mass struggles and to perpetuate the narrow case-work approach. A peculiar perversion of this tendency argues non-involvement on the grounds that such action may disrupt vitally-needed services to the clients. New initiatives are required to mobilise and organise social workers around both professional and political issues. In 1969 there were 1,200 practising social workers, in 1976 there were 3,690 and currently there are about 5,000 social workers.

Powerful objective forces related to greater repression of critics of apartheid coupled with an intensification by racial capitalism in its drive for profits have also influenced this professionalisation. Intensification of capitalist exploitation has taken a heavy toll among mine workers, production workers and service workers. The thousands who are killed, maimed or made redundant by the relentless capitalist motor require physical and moral sustenance if they're not to revolt or challenge the hegemony of the ruling class. It is this pivotal role that social workers must re-define - do they act as a safety valve for society.

- 3.3 Policy-Making Structures: Statutory and Non-Statutory At present policy and financial control is in the hands of the State while the non-statutory national councils and co-ordinating bodies provide services and engage in some educational activities. (See diagram 2).
- 3.4 Training and Research Institutes
  Social work training is essentially university-based degree courses
  (16 universities), mainly oriented to the needs of urban elites
  within the residual welfare model. In 1959 the separate Universities

Act fragmented tertiary education aTOng racial Tines. The under-Tying principTes of the State's weTfare poTicies, i.e. a partner-ship modeT with the State onTy assisting those who cannot heTp themselves, are Targely unchaTTenged. Hence the current status quo with a focus on individuals and families - remains. Practise and research is academic, based on first woer Euro-American modeTs, attempts to change families to adjust to the system and perpetuates the professional approach that the sociaT worker is the expert and is all powerfuT.

- 3.5 Constraints in the ResiduaT Weifare System : A Summary The foiTowing are the main constraints and deficiencies in the prevaiTing residual weTfare system.
- (i) The system is cToseTy Tinked to the philosophy and practise of apartheid and plays a significant role in consolidating this ideoTogy.
- (ii) The administrative, service and poTicy-making structure are Targeiy dominated by whites to serve urban white eTites. Organised welfare services are onTy marginaTTy invoived in the current township crisis, at the work place with aTmost no invoivement in rural areas.
- (iii) The modified weTfare poTicy, proposed by the Department of ConstitutionaT DeveTopment and PTanning (1985) emphasizes differentiation (neo-apartheid), privatisation and devolution (and depoTiticisation) of service deTivery from centraT Government to regionaT and TocaT level5. This indicates that welfare services occupy a significant pTace in the Government's reform strategy.
- (iv) The residuaT weTfare modeT is oriented primariTy to respond to the human misery, hardship and disabiTities produced by the apartheid system.

(4) CRITICAL ISSUES, CHOICES AND POLICIES IN THE CURRENT PERIOD The weTfare system differs from other sociaT services (heaTth, housing, education) in that it does not own or controT extensive physicaT infrastructure or stock (except institutions); much of its services operate at individual and group leveis and it is community based and potentiaTTy requires the community's support and Tegitimacy for it to operate effectiveTy.

Issues reTate to 7 broad areas, each of which wiTT be briefly discussed.

- (1) Re-orientation of weTfare organisations.
- (2) Struggles around weTfare services and benefits to acceTerate the move from apartheid to democracy.
- (3) Deprofessionalisation of weTfare and development of aTternate methods of meeting weifare needs.
- (4) AnaTysing and re-defining the ideoTogicaT functions of any Welfare Policy in the present and future social systems.
- (5) Re-examining the role of training and research institutions.
- (6) The functions of the sociaT workers and their professionaT associations require scrutiny; and
- (7) The reTationship between the weTfare and other sectors of society.
- (1) Welfare Organisations

Social work is the only profession where non-professionaTs drawn from cTient communities constitute the management and poTicy-making structures at TocaT, regionaT and nationaT TeveTs. This has profound impTications, since theoreticaTTy it is possibTe for community-based mass organisations to democratise and re-orient welfare agencies,

make them responsive to community needs and to directly chaiTenge the State and its Apartheid NeTfare PoTicy. A contradiction emerges since the State through its TegisTative (poTicy-making) and financiaT (subsidisation of agencies and sociaT workers) control of the weTfare movement coqu withdraw its support or paraTyse this initiative.

It is imperative that whiTe community supportive agencies begin to chaTTenge the State, it also develops aTternative strategies to obtain materiaT and human resources to continue functioning and to increase their Tegitimacy at a mass TeveT away from that which derives from the State.

Thus, the task is for progressive weTfare workers and agencies to assist the various community, worker, cuTturaT and poTiticaT organisations to deveTop a reTevant practise and research agenda for the weTfare sector.

The struggles within the South African National CounciT for Chde and Family WeTfare at its BienniaT Meeting in August 1986 to adopt resoTutions condemning the State of Emergency, detention of chderen and the raciaT fragmentation of weTfare services are evidence of how this is possibTe.

NeTfare Services and Benefits

At the community and factory fToor TeveTs, the struggTe for benefits and improved weTfare services is a concrete issue for mobiTisation. The gross raciaT disparities in pensions, grants and aTTowances, the inadequacy and inaccessibiTity of residentiaT and other weTfare services for township and ruraT communities and the virtuaT coTTapse of the UnempToyment Insurance Fund (UIF) and the Workmen's Compensation Act (NCA) benefits in the face of massiye unempToyment and rampant inflation constitute burning issues of survivaT. The taking up of these issues by mass organisations wiTT undoubtedTy acceTerate the move from apartheid and exploitation towards a non-raciaT democracy. It is these material conditions that have

contributed to the rise in mass consciousness and popuTar resistance. For professionals and their agencies it means a move from individual case work and relief measuresto group and community methods of social intervention. The focus must shift from victim blaming within a 'narrow residual weTfare system towards addressing more fundamentaT structural constraints to deveTopment within a wider sociaT security system.

A more urgent issue in the current phase is the weTfare needs of the victims of apartheid. In the current emergency, to date over 33,000 have faced varying periods of detention, thousands of young people have been disTocated from schools and homes, miTTions have been re-Tocated and thousands of workers are unemployed through redundancies or strike action whiTe countless refugees fTee from the vigiTantes, security forces and bantustan authorities. The psycho-sociaT and material needs of these victims of apartheid is a priority need -both because they have struggTed and have sacrificed the most and because faiTure to accommodate them breeds discontent, frustration and are the potentiaT roots for dissident and disorganising tendencies. SpeciaT programmes for refugees, casuaTties and demobiTised persons are required to rehabiTitate them. Likewise, the oppressors wiTT aTso require re-orientation and assistance to adjust to the emerging democracy.

A potentiaT contradiction and area for research emerges from these trends. During the current and transitionaT periods an expanded weTfare and psycho-sociaT support system is required while during the post-apartheid period as the structural constraints to human development are removed the residual weTfare system may be dismantled or wither away.

(3) DeprofessionaTisation and Alternative Abgroaches to WeTfare The emergence of capitaTism within an institutionaTised framework of apartheid has resulted in the concentration of power, priviTege and wealth in the hands of the white minority white the bTack majority face racial discrimination, economic exploitation and Tack of basic poTiticaT rights. The consequences of this sociaT system

is the emergence of 'sociai weTfare' problems - poverty, maritaT and famiTy disintegration, aTcohoT and drug abuse, teenage pregnancies and other forms of behaviour which by capitaTist norms are deviant. The response of the ruiing cTass is to depoTiticise these issues and to separate them from the fundamental inequality of power relations between the two groups. This is done by developing an entire institution of 'weTfare' - with its own professional worker, norms, values and methods of intervention. This professionalisation of human problems is the key ideoTogicaT function of welfare in capitaTist societies - and serves to ensure the reproduction of raciaT, cTass and sex divisions in society.

FunctionaTTy weTfare services breed dependency, attempt to diffuse popuTar anger and disorganises the oppressed, biur or mystifies class contradictions and; its pre-occupation with the maintenance of famiTy Tife ensures reproduction of the prevaiTing sociaT system. From a reformist perspective committed and dedicated weifare professionals can do much to mobiTise and organise the poor and the abused. Provided that the Timits of this approach within the institutionaT weifare system are cTearTy understood the potentiaT is considerable. Progressive weTfare organisations and workers, on the other hand, by forming aTTiances with mass based organisations outside of the weTfare movement can make the Teap from a narrow, sectarian weTfare perspective and move towards democracy and social justice.

During the current period weifare services constitute a terrain for struggTe - in the post-apartheid society, with the withering away of these 'weifare needs' the entire institution of weTfare woqu require major revision.

As indicated earTier the prevaiTing residuaT weTfare system is either inaccessibTe or incapabTe of responding to the current crisis. The deprofessionalisation of weifare impTies the sharing of skiiTs in counseTTing, sociaT work interventions and crisis management to alternative community based workers. Innovative methods wiTT have to

be devised to provide psycho-social support services to the victims of apartheid and to obtain access to the cordoned townships. These aTternative approaches must link up with other support networks to bude seTf-reTiance and human dignity. VerticaT top-down programmes must be replaced by horizontal programmes Tinking welfare inputs to other deveTopmentaT efforts.

WeTfare PoTicy

The preceding section has cTearTy outlined the ideoTogicaT and service roTes of the weTfare system within the existing social system. The pubTication of the modified Welfare PoTicy (1985) by the Department of Constitutional DeveTopment and PTanning - with its emphasis on differentiation, ethnicaTTy based fragmentation, privatisation and devoTution of weTfare responsibility to TocaT authorities suggests that the State sees the weTfare service as an important tooT in its reform strategy. Hence the development of a reTevant, non-raciaT, community supportive weTfare poTicy is a major challenge facing the weTfare movement. Two contradictions emerge in this debate.

FirstTy, the structural versus the institutionaT approaches. Much of the current 'weTfare' needs are due to the structure of apartheid. Shoqu the focus be on dismantiing these structuraT constraints or should it be on deveToping an extensive weTfare institution which wiTT provide more resources to meet them. The structuraT approach - whereby the State guarantees employment, housing, food, education, heaTth and other human services - wiTT significantTy aTter the current welfare needs profile. It is difficuTt to specuTate whether other 'weTfare' needs wiTT arise in the new society and whether these needs can best be met by a re-organisedrweifare system or by a restructuring of other sociaT and human services.

SecondTy, for the forseeable future - the oppressed masses wiTT demand a weTfare state or more extensive sociaT security system to faciTitate the transfer of resources by the State and to compensate for centuries of expToitation and subjugation. The extent of disTocation and devastation wiTT depend on the protracted nature of the

struggle. In a dual economy, the productive forces are uniikely to reach such heights as to redistribute resources in a short space of time to meet the people's aspiration. Hence the ideological functions of weifare and its administrative and service delivery structures would require major changes to support the emerging democratic and egalitarian social relation.

- A third area of debate is the roles and tasks of the professionals (sociai workers), the people's organisation and the policy makers (State) in developing weifare policies. Progressives within the welfare movement face a number of tasks:
- (i) To piace the issues of weifare poiicy and struggie for benefits on the agendas of mass-based community and worker organisations.
- (ii) Strengthen the links between the welfare organisations and the (client) communities and to reduce their dependence on the State.
- (iii) Expose the fraduient and racist nature of the new modified weifare policy proposed by the State; and
- (iv) Critically examine the educational and research programmes of training institutions and to make them relevant to the people's needs.

Training and Research Institutions

The universities and other training institutes have largely been geared towards universityebased, first world type of training to meet the needs or urban, affiuent whites. Their focus on individual case work as a method of intervention, rejuctance to utilise community work or social action methods, the escape behind a petty bourgeoise professionalism which insulates them from the reality of apartheid all indicate that this is a major area for change and restructuring.

ATternative approaches to meeting human needs, training of community workers and other para-professionais in these skiils and a comprehensive system of data coTTection to establish priority needs are areas of research and action by these institutions.

RoTe and Direction of SociaT Workers and their Associations The re-definition of the roTes of sociaT workers has been identified in earlier sections. The profession itself is fragmented into three different social worker associations. Potentiality, these associations whiTe catering for their own needs and interests could also pTay other roTes.

WhiTe the objective reaTity of poverty, disorganisation and brutal repression is crying outfor'urgent intervention, the foiTowing subjective issues must be addressed for this potential to be reaTised:

- (i) A clear commitment must be made to support the strugie of the oppressed, i.e. the ideoTogy of professionaiism and the neutraTity of sociaT workers must be discarded.
- (ii) This aTTiance must be concretised in a programme of action that addresses the issues confronting the mass organisations.
- (iii) Within the weTfare sector, these associations can play the Teading role in dismantling the apartheid weTfare system, opposing the modified racial weTfare poTicy and developing creative, community supportive aTternatives within the existing social system.
- (iv) Finaliy, professionals individually and collectively must cTearTy define their relationship with the democratic movement.

Reiationshig between the Weifare Sector and Other Sectors
Neifare services are often used as 'dumping grounds' by other sociai
services (health, education, employment agencies) for human problems
which the latter are either unwilling to confront or from a narrow
professional perspective are perceived to be 'welfare'-related. One
way to tackie this problem is for all professionals and social service agencies to come together, re-define their value systems, examine
each one's strengths and limitations and to adopt a muiti-disciplinary
approach.

A more fundamental crisis of survival grips South Africa's townships and rural communities. International sanctions and isolation of the apartheid system, unprecedented economic recessions resulting in rising cost of living, unemployment, deterioration of the material conditions, coupled with renewed militancy and organisation of the masses has provoked a massive military and security onslaught from the State. The State of Emergency and the militarisation of civil society has rent asunder the social, economic and political fabric of society - support networks and social services in these areas have been paralysed or destroyed.

While the organised weifare system (weifare organisations, social workers and national councils) has remained peripheral to the developing crisis - the welfare movement as a whole, (and the professional associations) has yet to develop any effective strategies. On the other hand the mass organisations have begun to develop an alternate community based support network of co-operatives, self-heip groups, education, health and welfare committees and training programmes for activists to equip them with skills necessary to prepare for survival. Professional and service groups must make a concerted effort to make a practical contribution to these initiatives - they need to deepen their ideological will and strengthen their organisational muscle.

## THE TRANSITIONAL PERIOD

During the transitional period the deepening crisis could affect the welfare movement in a number of ways. Firstiy, welfare services couid be paraiysed, disrupted, dismantled and become inaccessible or inoperable as a result of disorganisation/dislocation at a community level. Secondiy, professionais in general and social workers in particular, together with sections of the administrative hierarchy could promote or defend their own class/race interests. In alliance with the ruling class they could either block progressive initiatives or vacillate on critical issues. Only a minority support community organisations, mainly in their personal capacities. Thirdly, the State, Governmental organisations (Council for Social and Associated Workers, Regional Neifare Boards, South African Weifare Council) and non-Governmental organisations (National Councils, Welfare Organisations) may place restrictions, e.g.:

- On the actions of sociai and associated workers.
- Withdraw subsidies, registration or fund-raising authorities from agencies.
- Or directly interfere in individual societies with or without the assistance of the security forces.

The impact on the target groups (pensioners, grantees, indigent, disabled and dependent persons) would be to exacerbate poverty, hardship and even resuit in death.

A practise/research programme of alternatives need to consider the foilowing:

- (i) Reduction of dependency en the State and the development of efforts aimed at seif-reiiance.
- (ii) Development of an alternative support network as discussed above to care for these target groups, e.g. extended families, neighbourhood groups, workers associations and co-bperative endeavours, andv
- (iii) An extended programme of education and re-orientation.

While the democratic movement is addressing the central question of political power, sections within it, concerned with social policy issues need to consider the following specific areas for research and action.

Firstiy, research is required into ways of dismantiing or restructuring the present State Weifare Department's ('Generai Affairs'),'own affairs' weifare departments, homeiand welfare departments, the several thousand empioyees of these beauraucracies who require re-education and the dependent client populations who have been colonised to accept the 01d methods of ruling.

Secondly, the voiuntary weifare organisations are dominated by the petty-bourgeoisieI jealously guarding their welfare terrain and independence, and are confined to the racially defined group areas. How can these societies, some of which have been in existence for decades, keep pace with changing materiai needs and objective sociai conditions. How can the 7 chiid weifare societies in greater Durban, Viz. Durban (white), Durban African, Durban Indian, Chatsworth, Phoenix, Kwa Mashu and Umiazi; move towards a non-racial constitution, non-raciai management, staff and administrative structures and service delivery which is based on need rather than race. A recent Welfare Policy Workshop held in Durban (September, 1986) while noting the importance of objective factors (State's Welfare Policy) - identified subjective factors, such as fear of the new, rejuctance to change 01d, comfortable attitudes and unwillingness to fully identify with client communities; as the major constraints.

Lastiy, a gap is developing between the non-statutory welfare communities and the State and its weifare departments. The former is trying to movein a non-racial direction and is set on a collision course with State policy. The botential and limits of this contradiction needs to be explored. Methods of drawing the voluntary and other sectors closer to the democratic movement need to be identified while negating or dismantling the State sector.

ISSUES IN POST-APARTHEID SOCIETY:

FROM STATE SECURITY TO SOCIAL SECURITY

To a considerable extent the form of the weTfare system in the postapartheid society will be determined by a number of factors:

- The sociaT and economic poTicies of the new society.
- The system of redistribution of resources.
- The weTfare needs of the oppressed at that point in time.

The sociaT and economic policies of the new Government wiTT refTect its phiTosophicaT and poTiticaT vaTues. A commitment to achieving security and comfort for aTT wiTT require the estabTishment of a socialised weTfare system with an increased proportion of the GNP being spent on weTfare. Workers and rural communities wiTT be priorities. The shift in the pattern of distribution and consumption of weTfare resources away from urban eTites would require strong political action at the centre and weTT-organised structures among the oppressed.

Related to these policies wiTT be methods of redistribution of resources - this will be an urgent demand. Fee-for-service, profit-making services are unTikeTy to thrive. A number of approaches are possibTe.

The democratic state could embark on a programme of estabTishing an extensive welfare state in which significant benefits are availabTe to aTT persons who are in need of assistance. The young, elderly, pregnant, dependent persons would aTT benefit whiTe workers woqu receive generous unemployment relief, sickness and disability benefits. During 1984/5 the State had budgetted R1,4 biTTion for social welfare and nearly twice that amount for defence. Thus, changes in the allocation budgetary funds within the existing financial system coqu reTease significant funds Tor weTfare.

Another way of distributing weTfare resources is to Tink it to economic production rather than redistribution of income or State revenue. As production increases so the weTfare support wiTT expand. In this way dependency may be reduced, scarce resources are not consumed by unproductive activity and weTfare incentives for workers wiTT stimuTate production.

A third area for debate is whether - in a dual economy both socialised (State subsidised) and privatised (fee-for-service) weifare services could exist and their implications for the emerging social relations. From a national democratic perspective all social and class forces should be allowed to make their contribution to development - provided they do not undermine social democracy.

A reiated area for research and policy is the future role of the weifare benefits and services provided by muiti-national and national corporations. Both provide generous weifare benefits - sickness and disability, pensions, maternity, housing, education and transport subsidies and unemployment or severance benefits. Currently these are heavily tax deductible, i.e. they are indirectly subsidied by the tax payer. In comparison with workers in other sectors of the economy, they are better off, more skilled and accustomed to a higher standard of living - hence they are likely to be more articulate in defending their privileges. Like the above mentioned groups, both the victims of apartheid and the oppressors will have needs that would require special consideration by the weifare sector.

# 25

## (7) CONCLUSION

The current phase of the struggle demands that we go beyond the concepts of democracy, sociaT justice and security and comfort for aTT. The subjective and objective forces which infTuence them must be analysed and the materiaT conditions for their achievement must be created. This paper has attempted to paint a broad picture of the welfare sector and identified a number of areas for further research and practise.

```
TABLE 1
. PENSIONS BY RACE IN SOUTH AFRICA
MONTHLY OLD-AGE PENSIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA, 1980-1985 (RANDS)
I(a) DATE EFFECTIVE WHITE COLOURED/ASIAN AFRICAN AFRICAN: WHITE
From 1/10/80 109 62 33 30,3%
1/04/81 122 71 40 32,8%
1/10/82 138 83 49 35,5%
1/10/83 152 93 57 37,5%
1/10/84 166 103 65 39,2%
1/10/85 180 117 79 43,9%
Increase: 1980-85 65% 89% 139%
I(b) DISCRIMINATION IN SOCIAL PENSION BY RACE AND DOMICILE, 1960
MAXIMUM SOCIAL PENSION
RAND PER ANNUM RATIO
RECIPIENT AND DOMICILE
White (urban and rural) 276 100
Coloured (city) 138 50
Coloured (non-city) 114 41,3
Indian (city) 115 41,7
Indian (non-city) 101 36,7
African (city) 40,50 14,7
African (town) 34,50 12,5
African (rural) 28,50 10,4
I(C) PERCENTAGE OF PENSIONERS RECEIVING THE MAXIMUM PENSION, 1974
WHITE COLOURED AFRICAN 1
Maximum m0nth1y
soclal pension R57 R29,50 R11,25
TYPE of pension: % % %
01d age 92 77 34
Disabl1ity 88 92 40
I(d) RATIO OF SOCIAL PENSIONS BY RACE
SOCIAL PENSION PLUS
SOCIAL PENSION FREE MEANS
WHITE COLOURED INDIAN AFRICAN WHITE COLOURED INDIAN AFRICAN
1929 100 60 - - 100 60 - -
1948 100 46,4 39,3 17,9 100 54,2 54,2 33,3
1960 100 40,2 35,9 14,9 100 45,1 37,50 14,3
1970 100 47,7 14,3 100 34,5 9,5
1975(Oct) 100 53,1 23,4 100 51,9 20,1
1980(Oct) 100 55,9 30,3 100 54,9 28,8
Sources: Table 1(a) The Polltlcal Economy of State Welfare Provision
1n South Africa - H. Hamre (1986)
```

I(b-d) State Pensions, Grants and Welfare - H. Pollack (1980)

27
TABLE 2
SALARY SUBSIDIES, 1978
RAND RATIO
White (male and female) 3 813 100
Coloured and Asian
(male and female) 3 117 81,7
African male 2 496 65,5
African female 2 376 61,5

28

TABLE 3

MAXIMUM MAINTENANCE GRANTS FROM OCTOBER, 1980

RATES PER MONTH (RANDS)

WHITE COLOURED AFRICAN

& ASIAN

(a) Maximum parents' grant and supplement: single parent 119 67 30,75 Ratio (100%) (56,3%) (25,8%)

(b) Maximum childrens' grant 28,50 15,25 7,15 Ratio (100%) (53,5%) (25%)

- (1) Each schoolgoing child 9 -
- (ii) Maximum number of No 1imit  $4\ 4$ eligible children

29 TABLE 4 ALLOCATION OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES (BILLIONS) (1986/87 BUDGET) 1985/86 1986/87 PRESENT SECTOR EXPENDITURE BUDGET INCREASE Education R5,09 R6,06 19,1 Health and Welfare R4,29 R4,73 10,2 Civil Pensions R0,85 R1,24 46 Transport R1,62 R1,72 6 Defence R4,4 R5,25 19,3 Police and PrisOns R1,59 R1,81 13,8 Constitutional Development & Planning R2,32 R2,91 25,4 Foreign Affairs R0,19 R0,24 26,3 Others R9,38 R9,67 3,1 TOTAL R29,73 R33,63 13,1

```
30
TABLE 5
CHILD WELFARE SERVICES IN SOUTH AFRICA
1985/86
APPROVED POSTS3
SOCIETIES COUNCIL
AFFILIATED
ATI 1
POPUL ON SOCIETIES:
GROUPS SUBSIDIES3 1
R34 752 (7%)
R132 246 (28%)
R212 664 (44%)
R100 650 (21%)
15 162 840 (65%
4 568 739 (20%
2 832 705 (
821 361
African
White
Coloured
Indian
Mixed2
R480,312
NOTES.
```

- 1. Figures based on the March 1985 Population Census conducted by the Central Statistical Services (Pretoria). They exclude the homeland areas.
- $2.\ \text{Mixed}$  these are  $54\ \text{welfare}$  societies which serve more than one race group.
- 3. Figures based on the Biennial Report of the South African National Council for Child and Family Welfare (September 1986).
- 4. The subsidies are part contribution from the welfare sectors of the Department of Constitutional Development and Planning. House of Assembly. House of Representatives and House of Delegates to the respective welfare society.

31

TABLE 6

BUDGETTED SOCIAL WELFARE PROVISION IN SOUTH AFRICA

1980/1 - 1984/5

(R000)

SOURCE 1980/1 1981/2 1982/3 1983/4 1984/5

Department of Health and

Welfare -

- white 345 373 383 693 396 345 497 828 554 804

Department of Internal

Affairs -

- coloured 155 873 189 344 256 373 287 019 325 524
- indian 42 927 54 051 67 099 76 992 87 197

Department of Co-Operation

and Development

- africans- "white" areas 110 644 150 172 165 069 228 432 263 611
"Non-independent homelands" 86 690 108 391 138 165 188 209 214 220

741 507 885 651 1023 060 1278 480 1445 356
Percentage increase 19.4 15.5 24.9 13.1

Spending as % GDP1k 1.20 1.25 1.28 1.43 1.37

Source - Hans Hamre - "The Political Economy of State Welfare Provision in South Africa". Paper presented at the Conference on Macroeconomic Policy and Poverty in South Africa: The Crucial Issues; at SALDRU University of Cape Town (August 1986).

<sup>3</sup> gross domestic product at market prices.

```
LOCAL
STATE VOLUNTARY GOVERNMENT RELIGIOUS SELF-HELP PRIVATE
FINANCES Tax payer - Subsidy - Rates Donations Community Fee for service
- Public - Subsidy
CONSTRAINTS -- meit mOtive
Whites only Group based Community $010 or group
supportive practice
Build self-
reliance and
dignity
- Residual model
- Dependence on State
Residual model
STRUCTURE Democratic management
Professional staff
Racial Departments:
1 General Affairs
3 Own Affairs
10 Bantustans
Preventive Material and
Community work moral help
Health related
FUNCTIONS - Policy making Capitalist
and planning
- Co-ordination
- Control
Subsidisation
Service provision and
implementation of
- case, group and
community work
SERVICE Professional: Generalised and Community Members Volunteers Individual
DELIVERY - Probation specialised services social work provide relief clients
- Courts
- Psychological
support
- places of safety
Non-Professional
(Admin):
- administer grants
pensions and
subsidies
DIAGRAM 2: WELFARE SERVICES: STRUCTURE, FUNCTION AND NATURE
```

7.S

```
STATUTORY
STATE PRESIDENT
Wh1tes
(Delegates)
(Own Affairs)
Dep . of National Health
& Population Development
(NHPD)
Mln15ter of NHPD
(Health & Welfare)
(Policy-mak1ng,
Co-ordination, Control)
Others: Local Author1ty
Adm1n1strat1on
Boards
DIAGRAM 1
(General Affalrs)'1
33
Mlnister of
(Assemny)Health & Welfare
CABINET Coloureds Minister of 11
(Representatives) Health & Welf3re 1x
x1x 11
Indians Mln1ster of 1
Health & NelfareMNx
Dept. of Constitutional
Development and Planning
Mln1ster (Afr1can Affairs)
WELFARE POLICY AND SERVICE STRUCTURES
NON-STATUTORY
;9NATIONAL COUNCILS (10)
1
(Welfare Liaison Com-
m1ttee)
Regional Bodles
Local general and
speclalised agencies
Others:
Religious
groups
Private soclal
workers
Company welfare
servlces
```

SeIf-help groups