The Panamanian Delegation recognizes that the approved Resolu« tion, which Panama voted against, contains positive elements such as:

.

- The reaffirmation of the principles of respect for the people's

right to eelfudetermination and of nonuinterference in the in $\hat{a}\200\234$ ternal affaire of other States.

- The call on all States to refrain from unilateral actions that

may obstruct a just and negotiated settlement.

- The reiteration of the importance the Member States give to

the strict fulfillment of the Torrijos~Oarter Treaties. These

elements clearly urge the United States to put an end to its - current aggressive and interventionist policy against Panama.

The Panamanian Delegation shares the interest expressed in the Resolution regarding the need to develop a democratic process which, by means of free elections without foreign interference, can lead to the establishment of a new government in Panama. This is exactly the official and public commitment of the present Provisional Government of Panama. which has been reaffirmed be» fore this Assembly, and whose fulfillment depends exclusively on the ceasing of the United States' aggression.

However, the above-mentioned Resolution does not adequately $de\hat{A}$ » nounce the present aggression of the United States of America against Panama, which constitutes the only international aspect of the eo-called Panamanian crisis and the main obstacle to the country' a administration.

The Resolution contains Judgements and explicit affirmations that violate or are incompatible with Articles 1, 3. 12 and 18, among others, of the Charter of the Organization of American States.

Consequently. the Panamanian Delegation declares that it reserves itself the right to adhere or not to the actions deriving from this Resolution.

. .

2.

3.

4.

5.

Washingtoh. \hat{a} \200\235D.C., November 18, 1989.

```
QTP\O\Q\ mg K LP
.L
х
â\200\231
а
..... 9
â\200\234
9;
â\200\2354â\200\230
gm... 9M}
,â\200\230
3
1 2
r
â\200\234:3
tfw 1f"?
d ihâ\200\230h h gjfié :7
5nâ\200\234
«w
ORGANIZACION DE SOLFDARIDAD DE LOS PUEBLOS DE AFRICA, ASIA Y AMERICA LATINA
ORGANISATION DE SOLIDAHÂ\200\230ITE DES PEUPLES DÂ\200\231AFRIOUE, D'ASIE ET DÂ\200\231AM
ERIQUE LATINE
ORGANIZATION OF SOUDARITY OF THE PEOPLES OF AFRICA, ASIA AND LATIN AMERICA
2%\hat{a}: 5-5;\hat{a}\200\235: 1.95: Lixf\hat{a}\200\230vyr'w\hat{a}\200\231\hat{A}© wwaeh-h
(OSPAAAL) APARTADOEâ\200\230. 4224 Y 6130 - TELEFONOS 30-5510 Y 20 - TELEX 512259 - CALLE
8 No. 670 530.29,
VEDADO, CIUDAD DE LA HABANA - CUQA
December 12, 1989
Oliver Tambo
Dear friend,
Ιt
Let us take a look at some of the confessions
Panama is a victim of intensified aggressions of all kinds.
```

is noteworthy that this siege is carried out by the government of

the United States, which has 14 military bases in the isthmus with forces even larger in number and weaponry than those of Panama. The dispute between the Panamanian people and the US imperialism goes a long way back and shows in many ways.

But, why have ten-

eions increased? Why the present destabilizing rage of the United States which even goes as far as to financing the murder of a Panamanian leader?

made by those responsible for the present situation in Panama. the end of his term of office, ex-President Reagan admitted that everything he had done regarding Panama was aimed at disavowing the Torrijoa-Carter Treaties.

Shultz, said in 1986 that, in order to control Central America, Panama must first be dominated.

We should also note that, when

the US Congress prohibited direct and indirect support to the Nicaraguan

ontr a, American officials -- then Vioe-Preeident

George Bush, among them -- travelled to Honduras to ask the government of that country to support the ogntrgg, promising aid to the Honduran government in return.

The same thing was agreed in

Guatemala.

Security adviser John Poindexter travelled to Panama for that same purpose, trying to force General Noriega to submit to the US interventionist plans in Central America. refused, he was threatened.

George Bush presided over the meeting of the US National Security Council where instructions to eliminate Noriega and subject Panama were elaborated.

After his voyage, today's President

Hie Secretary of State, George

When Noriega

Αt

These are, in brief, the facts. solidarity in its defense of national sovereignty; but it also deserves our support for not having submitted to the imperialist plans for Central America.

Panama resists and deserves world

Enclosed herewith you will find the standpoint of the Panamanian ${\tt OSPAAAL}$

Delegation regarding the latest OAS resolutions on Panama. would appreciate your personal attention to and commitment with the struggle of the Panamanian people.

Rena Anillo SeoretanyaGe