; gchSeQOSSQXZ I 0902,39 A-LEIEOOOOILOK A.1. In its Policy statement on Projects, the ANC maintains that Mazimbu and Dakawa will continue in operation for some years to come, even though the ANC has been legalised. A.2. The reasons we give are that negotiationsr will be protracted, there has to be irreversible political change, people are still leaving South Africa- because of the Natal conflict and, Eourthly, the facilities created forleducation and training at Mazimbu and Dakawa will be necessary until educational opportunities are created in South Africa by a future democratic government. A.3. The continuation of SOMAFCO will be relatively: easy because the Mazimbu Complex is self-sufficient by andlarge and donors will have little difficulty in pledging assistance for its future maintenance and running costs. A.4. By contrast, the donors most concerned with? ,the development of Dakawa have stated that they are generally speaking unwilling to provide new investment for Dakawa. The donors in question are DANIDA and Norway. Both are willing to complete ongoing projects and to provide assistance for maintenance and running costs. Hovever7-iTr-#--ttheir view, the continuation of some ongoing development will be considered when the Dakawa Centre's future \_ revised role and estimated population are providedT--a;:z:;-s;-s A.5. An example of an ongoing project that could be affected is the provision of a permanent water supply. The Norwegian Government has already queried the need for investing in the permanent water supply project which will require pumping water from some distance outside the Dakawa Settlement. This was planned with a future projected population of 5000. Norway feels that if there will be fewer people at Dakawa because of the hNC's

arrangements might suffice.
A.6. Other projects will be affected by the future size and scope of the Dakava Settlement, such as , the need for a primary school, the extent of electrification, the number of houses/villages, and so on.

. legalisation, then the temporary water supply

A.?. At a Special Treasury Secretariat Meeting held on the 8th of April 1990 in Lusaka, at which Cdes Oswald Dennis, Alpheus Manghezi and Kaya Vanda were present, it was agreed to limit the functions of the Dakawa Centre to education/training and agriculture. A Sub-Committee was set up to work out a detailed proposal. The Education Secretariat agreed to formulate a proposal which was tabled at a Sub-Committee Meeting on May 23rd 1990. It is entitled Proposal on Retainingv and -Restructuring ANC

may not be required; a decision is required quickly as to the main educational activity at SOMAFCO; should secondary education receive priority? furthermore, should SOHAFCO provide specialised secondaty- education or

after repatriation, many of the existing structures, such as the Kate Molale Maternity Centre, some of the Nursery and Day care facilities, etc, could be put to alternative uses; therefore, new facilities

1 uaetb quMEFeITy EEFvICE 41 :1 79H 03 39 P,n? remain a conventional? EEEOhdaiy 'EChdbl? the 'theetihg felt that SOMAFCO should provide 1000 secondary places; 0 f I e. donors are unlikely to fund the building of an adult education centre at Dakawa; it is advisable to arrange block.tralnlng programmes for adult learners in various countries, such as Malaysia, India, etc; 5. UNESCO should be requested to sponsor a consultancy mission that will examine the future role of SOMAFCO and work out a budget for 5 years; furthermore, the" mission should determine personnel needs and propose an administrative structure for SOMAFCO; g. the Meeting concluded its discussion by examining the pros and cons of continuing activities- at Dakava; can it be made conducive for students and trainees from South Africa? at present, it is unlikely to attract people because of its rural isolation, its lack of facilities and the dire shortage of professional and administrative personnel; the ANC has given Dakava extremely low priority in the past and this is not likely to change now that the Movement's focus of attention is moving into the country; B4\_EEQRQQAL.BI\_EBQQE&I5\_DERAEIMEEI 8.1. Now that a decision has been made to limit the functions of the Dakava Centre to education/txainlng and agriculture, the revised Dakawa Development Plan has to take cognisance of the following factors:a. the future population of Dakava will probably not exceed 1000 peoPIe, made up as follows: E00 500 students, VTC 100 trainees, teaching, administrative and support staff for the ECG and VTC 150, Nursery and Day Care staff 50, Agricultural staff and trainees 50, general administrative, maintenance, security and other staff 150. This makes a total of 1000. ' b. according to the Status Brief- on Construction

- Programme dated 5/4/90, staff housing presently and imminently available is/will be 1040, enough for the projected staff.
- c. student accommodation available 15 45 at the VTC and 160 at the 800, thus, there is going to be a shortfall of dormitory accommodation of 55 for the VTC and 340 for the EOC.
- 8.2. The Construction Programme as stated in the Status Brief should be implemented except for two items:a. the proposed plan to build a primary school should be deferred until it is clear about repatriation,

the survey drilling of borehole number one by the Wemi River and the purchase of pipes, etc, should be deferred, if the present water supply is considered adequate for a population of 2000.

- 8.3. The Revised Development Plan should make provision for stores, a butchery, a shop, offices, a mechanical workshpp, a \_ clinic and recreational facilities commensurate with a population of 1000.
- 8.4. Telecommunications will probably be the most expensive development item to be incorporated in the Revised Development Plan.

## Q. CONCLQSION

One of the conclusions of the Report of the Commission one Projects is that about 90% of adult cadres (non-students) will wish to return to South Africa when mass repatriation comme nCBS.

This factor is crucial when ve revise the Dakawa Development Plan and restructure our activities at Mazimbu.; The unw1111ngness of donors to make new investments for immovable assets is influenced by this factor.

We, therefore, have to be realistic and continue with Dakawa and Mazimbu in a way that is feasible. Since the inception of both Mazimbu and Dakawa as ANC Settlements, except for the Treasury and certain Departments, the NEC gave them Cezisozy attention, as a result of which they were plagued by\_problemsaa-"f--e; of personnel shortage and the lack of clear policy guidelines. It is certain that when the ANC's Headquarters is firmly based in Johannesburg, Mazimbu and Dakawa will be of even lesser significance to the leadership.

Therefore, we should limit our activities and plans for Mazimbu and Dakava to manageable proportions. M.Tikly

4th JUNE 1990.1