THE \NITNESS MONDAY, MARCH 15, 2010

mal censorship re

¢ F3 RING back â\200\230Gatshaâ\200\231 book, say young Redsâ\200\235 E %5 (The Witness, March 4). This headline awoke g+ vivid memories almost 20 years old, but more importantly it reopens the censorshlp debate. The Young Communist League (YCL) is referring to the book by Mzala (the struggle name of Jabulani Nxuma-lo) titled Gatsha Buthelezi: Chief With a Double Agenda published in London by Zed Books in early 1988. And the YCL is right.

Itâ\200\231s a popular but scholarly work of history in which Mzala- examines Mangosuthu Butheleziâ\200\231s political past, the administration of the KwaZulu bantustan and Inkathaâ\200\231s methods. Among other matters he questions Butheleziâ\200\231s claim to a hereditaryleadership role and his anti-apartheid credibility. Together with Gerry Maré and Georgina Hamiltonâ\200\231s An Appetite for Power (1987) it was an important antidote to a number of sycophantic books that had appeared with monotonous regularity. Mzala was, however, criti-

cised for not addressing the issue of Inkathaâ\200\231s popu- -

larity and its successful mobilisation strategy. Mzala died in February 1991, three years after publication ofhisbook, butjust twomonths afier his death university libraries were hit with a lawyerâ\200\231s letter demanding thatitbe removed from their shelves. In the opinion of Friedman & Friedman the book was defamatory and libraries could be faced with a legal action should they continue to circulate it. Mzala had previously asked why he had not been sued for libel and pointed out thathe had interviewed Buthelezi for the

,book. Indeed, just weeks after the lawyerâ\200\231s letter was

delivered, the funding scandal and Inkatha \hat{a} 200\231s links *an attack by Firiga, which labe lled its academics

with the security forces were exposed. Zed Books had obtained legal opmlon that the edit-edtexticontained nothingaction-

 $\frac{3}{200}\frac{234}{200}$ fetch-and-carry boys $\frac{3}{200}\frac{235}{235}$. Two months after the lawyer $\frac{3}{200}\frac{231}{231}$ letter, the local university authorities instructed its librarians to return

ablein British law, but they were cautious about the conservative South African judiciary. Zedâ\200\231s South African agents, David Philip, were contacted by Butheleziâ\200\231slawyers and the book was notreleased in this country. Libraries, of course, simply or-

dereditfrom their overseas suppliers. Other copies, said John Daniel (then Africa editor of Zed), came over the borderinthe backpacks of Umkhonto we Sizwe soldiers.

The book has never been re--stricted by the state and yet has remained as elusive under a democratic dispensation as any banned book in the apartheid era. It was, however, widely and favourably reviewed by eminent African scholars. In 1991, umi-versitiesreacted cautiously, well

Gï¬\202?ï¬\201ï¬\202ï¬\201 I HELEZ] |

CHIEF WITH A DOUBLE AGEHDL

the book to the shelves.

Librarians were outspoken, callingthelegal threatanewform of censorship, and the Anti-Censorship Action Group likened Buthelezi to the $a\200\234$ book burning despots of the pastâ\200\235. Jenny Friedman became very involved in the increasingly public row and ludicrously accused librarians of trying to intimidate her client by going to the press. Unwisely she quoted Iago from Shakespeareâ\200\231s Othello and the famous complaint about the filching of his â\200\234good nameâ\200\235, seemingly unaware of his unsavoury character.

If Mzalaâ\200\231s beok is indeed still lurking in the banned-book cup-boards of some South African Ii-braries and unavailable through local booksellers, this is a serious

aware of Butheleziâ\200\231s litigious

streak and the Committee of University Principalsrecommended compliance. The University of Natal followed this advice but was nevertheless subjected to

matter of censorship and a negation of our hard-won democracy. As historian Paul Maylam pointed out in 1991, suppression of the book was based purely on opinion and a legal threat. There has never been any indication

of the extent of the claimed libel: a word, a'sentence, a paragraph \hat{a} 200\224 or more? No court has found any part of the book defamatory in the sense that its content isuntrue, writien with malicious intent and not in the public interest.

Maylam called for public debate in the interests of democratic culture. His words have been heeded by the judiciary as defamation law has been relaxed in recent years, especially where it affects public figures. He also worried about the future of political biography in South Africa, an unfounded fear as this sector of the publishing industry is flourishing.

But this particular book remains in the twilight. Those who wield power and influence must expect to have their backgrounds and beliefs exposed â\200\224 it goes with the territory â\200\224 and public interest and democracy often outweigh the odd inaccuracy. Itâ\200\231s all part of the bruising nature of real democracy. Yet, while the massive formal structure of censorship that characterised the apartheid years has crumbled, informal means of suppressing information still thrive. One is the reconciliation call by the Inkatha Freedom Partyâ\200\231s Musa Zondi. If Mzalaâ\200\231s work does indeed contain lies and propaganda as claimed by Zondi, let's hear exactly what they are and the IFPâ\200\231s version of the truth. It should long ago have exercised .a right of reply rather than issue legal threats.

Much effort has been expended in trying to keep this book out of South African readers \hat{a} \200\231 hands. The suspicion remains that its content is not untrue, just highly embarrassing.

UMHLAZIYI wezombusazwe, uDkt Funokwakhe Cedric Xulu, uthi amadlweledlwele esifazane akwezombusazwe, anjengoNkk Zanele Magwaza-Msibi, izitha zawo zokuqala ezinkulu kwezombusazwe kazikho emagengini aphikisayo kodwa ziba ngaphakathi emaqgenjini abadume ngawo, imvamisa yalezo zitha kuba ngabantu besilisa abakhule bazi ukuthi owesifazane wuyohlale engaphansi kowesilisa.

ABESIFFAZANE kodwa bahluleke isidanana ukwenza njengoba kwenze laba baholi bomdabu, basebenze baba yimbumba ngale kokughuba phambili izinkolelo zamagembu abo ezombusazwe.

Sengike ngasho phambilini ukuthi abesifazane bakuleli kabanayo inhlangano esungulwe yibona, engeyabo futhi esebenzela bona bonke ngale kobugembu bezombusazwe. Esikhundleni salokho kunezinhlangano zabesifazane ezingamankonyane ezinhlangano ezinkulu zezombusazwe, lapho amandla eghoghobelwe khona ngabesilisa.

Lezo zinhlangano ezinkulu zehlisa imibiko yezombusazwe kulezo zinhlangano zabesifazane ezingaphansi kwazo.

Ngokubona kwami, uma abesifazane sebedlondlobele kwezombusazwe ezinhlanganweni zabo, imvamisa okulandelayo kubona wukuthi bashawe ngendololwane, baboshelwe amangina enyathi ngaphakathi ezinhlanganweni zabo.

Imvamisa yabenzi balokho kuba ngozakwabo besilisa akade bekhululekile ngalabo besifazane ngesikhathi besabonakala bengaphansi kwabo ngogazi

25

ISITHOMBE: YINGOLOBANE YELANGA

UNKK Zanele Magwaza-Msibi

emphakathini. Yileso sikhathi lapho betshelwa khona ukuthi ikuphi indawo yomuntu wesi-

fazane.

Izitha zamadlweledlwele abesifazane kwezombusazwe kazikho emaqenjini aphikisana namagembu kwezombusazwe kodwa ziba ngaphakathi emagenjini abo abawasebenzele badela konke ngenxa yawo.

Ngakolunye uhlangothi, izitha zabaholi besilisa abanamandla emaqenjini abo ezombusazwe, zivamise ukuba ngaphandle kwamagembu abo, emagenjini aphikisana nawabo.

Uma owesifazane esejikelwe

ngabaholi besilisa akade ehambisana nabo, kuba sengathi usuze ngalapho umoya uya ngakubaholi besilisa abasezikhundleni zamandla.

Umlando uveza ukuthi amadlweledlwele alolu hlobo, uma kwenzeka enzakaliswa, kawabulawa ngabanye besifazane kodwa kusetshenziswa abesilisa ukucima isibani sempilo yabo.

Uma bebe nenhlanhla bangen-zakaliswa, bapendwa ngawo wonke amacala azobenza babukeke kabi ebusweni bomhlaba, ukuze bangabi yizinkubela kwezombusazwe kodwa bafe bephila.

Ngesikhathi uMnu Mandela ephuma ejele, i-ANC ibibheke uNkk Winnie Madikizela-Mandela ukuthi abe ngunina wesizwe nje kuphela, enze okwenziwa ngabanye besifazane kodwa akakwenzanga lokho, ubonakale ebangisana nabo ezikhundleni eziphezulu ngaphakathi eqenjini.

Ngokwenza kwakhe lokho, ubonakale ebhekana nomfula ongenisayo uza nezingodo, okungabesilisa abebembona ewuhlobo lomuntu ongafanele ukuba seduze komuntu owuphawu lokulunga emhlabeni futhi ohlonishwa ngokusezingeni eliphakeme njengoMnu Mandela.

Kube yiso leso nakuDkt
Dlamini Zuma. Ngesikhathi uMnu
Zuma exoshwa kwikhabhinethi
kaMengameli Thabo Mbeki,
umbholi obesezingeni eliphezulu
kakhulu bekunguDkt DlaminiZuma futhi nguyena obekufanele
athathe isikhundla sokuba yiphi-

ni likamengameli wezwe.

UDKt Dlamini-Zuma uzitshwe

kangangoba kwankulumompikiswano ngokuthi kumfanele yini ukwenyukela phezulu, ibe ncane kakhulu, uma ngabe ike yavela obala.

Omunye angathi okumhlinzele ezibini ekuthini angasinikezwa leso sikhundla, futhi angabonakali eboshelwa nezingqungqulu ze-ANC eziphambili, kube ngenxa yokuhlobana kwakhe noMnu Zuma.

Namanje yize engulNggongqoshe WezaseKhaya kodwa omunye angathi kufanele ngabe nguyena oyiphini likaMengameli wezwe.

UNKkk Clinton, phezu kokuba engaba nekhono kwezombusazwe - kodwa esikhathini esiningi uhamba phansi kwefu lokuthi ungunkosikazi kaMnu Clinton, obengumengameli odumile we-United States of America (USA).

Isimo esigubuzele uNkk Magwaza-Msibi kumele sibukwe ngalelo lihlo. Ungowesifazane wokugala onamandla ngendlela engakaze ibonwe eqenjini i-IFP.

Emehlweni abantu abakholelwa ekuphatheni kwabesilisa
ngaphakathi eqgenjini i-IF'P, usebonakala eyisithiyo, hhayi nje
endleleni kaNobhala waleli
gembu, uMfu Musa Zondi
okubonakala sengathi ujamelene
naye emehlweni kodwa yabaholi
besilisa abasenenkolelo yokuthi
liyobuswa ngamadoda.

UDike Xulu ungomunye wahazuze umfundazwe wahekhethelo kalVlengameli Nelson Vandela ngesikhathi efunda e-University of Zululand, waghubekela phesheya kwezilwandle lapho egogode khaona.