Land Distribution Options Nicholas Matabese Land and Acriculture Emlggg_pentve ap.:tgbev1993 Snee52i_ Niuholaa M&tebemm

i;L.: Land D1.. ibutimn Dptiung

Ladies and gentlemen, hmnoura able guests, cmmrades and compatviats I greet yam all.

I am nut am acadamic av 3.0mamne frnm the legal prufeggion. I am just an mrdinar; pwrrcn frnm the rural areas of Muuj.plaa\$. Let me tall you that I am Eurprised about hnw the organisers uf this conference decidad ta chmume me tu Speak Were tuday. Thank yam very mu-rh fur giving me this mppmrtunity tn %hare with ynu what the ma ginalised rural pemplm think ahuut the futuae land d1\$tributiun.

I heme Lhia amdimnce will hPar with me hPmanap anmw nf thp things I am gnnng to a.a, may be prnu:ticolly impuu siblm 1b imwlumuul I Fuul it 15 V&ry impurtanu 1U draw ylzur attentiun to them. This hupefully Mill mnablm thm ta-zhnical pamplo tu reach a middla if not a Homlmn

My paper will fmcua on twm areag:

The firat one is how vural peaple envisage future land distributian. Thw aprnnn nun 19 what 1% rnrrpmtly hPing dmnm hy thw qtate. Land digtributionn

% Victim\$ cf fovced removalg:

The lives mf them a pemple were impuveri shed. In this category there bra fami.l 193 who used tn mun farms but were for-:ed ta 195a them. Some 155d 10 uwn land in their Cummunities as membera Of the communitiea. Tunantg: and farm mvic1:mcux av'w ..nlu: part c-f thi- gvoup. nl.) Lhuww pemplp want 12heir land to be featured back t0 thwm. These people are ready t1 accept a comprumisa for an alternative 15.nd if the une they ware remnved frum 15 productively used. The questimn is huw to measure that produ-:tlvlty against what they used. the land for. Same Of this land 1% growing thhrny bushes.

% Eatabliahmd rural villagag.

In Mmoiplaaa fer inatance, the betterment system was introduced in 1959. This is the Sustem through whi-zh the arable and the grazing land were \$aln rat ed fram the residential land. The majnrity uf people had access tn them axing and the arable land. The families increaSed In numbar as mnre and mmve came to the village and the sans and the daughtarg uf the villagers wanted their own rasidential aitea The - ... _- .. . h . . . - _ - -' --4_ g'-1._:

grazing 13nd had %0 be used far the allqcation mf reaidantial gitag The early settlerg had to accept this although they realised thah th15 allocation wag reducing tha grazing land. The residen%5 have he! raached a atage whare they cauld no lunger accept mere pmople ta cam: km the villagegu Thig hag cauhad tenaimn between the rasidents an between tham and tha neighbouring farmers. Fence cuttings are takin place QH the bo?dering farms, There is a tenaian harm and thia is HG a racial twngion but between the havea and the havenats. % Favmwmrhevs.

As I have mantimned that the rural villagea avg no lmnger pregarEv ta accmmmodatm farm evictees, These villagaa are cmganisihg ant ahcmuvaging tha favmwmrkerg tm fight far thair awn rights where the; awe. The farmer% black and white are using rural villages a5 . dumping QVOUHd fwv the dismissed farmworkera. Some of these peapli were born nn tHQEE farmg and their parents were buried there. Th: gmvernment should dm eomething abhut these aviatimns because it saams am if the majority mf farmev5 want to get rid Df the farmworkere before a democratic gmrvenment pahsas laws about farmwarkevs. Not there are farmwmrkeva mrganisatimns which are negotiating with the authoritiea ahmut the identification Of land for the settlement a farm avictaes. The quegtimn hf antitlemant of farmwmrkers should bt axplmved becauge mmgt of tha farmwarkers feel attached tn the lanc hti'nay grew up cm.

Landlords and their lanLL

It is a knmwn fact thaf 80% mf the farmers in this country are producing 20% and 20% of the farmers are praducing about 80% of the grmsa markwt prmduht. This Paises a questimn as ta whether we 3h0ul(nht target the land Dwned by farmara whw are nut daing well. One would argue that the rehash far hat producing as expeched is cau52(by the quality Df sail, Land is a national resource and shauld bx used far the benefit cf all the :itizans 0f this country. I think yUQ are hmh ghing kw be surprised to hear that there are monied peoplx who are not interested in farming but hold titledeeds far large tractg cw land fam apatulatimn reasmns. When this land is solc usually the farmworkers are evicted with their skills to places where they cmuld hat use them.

We alga have ahaentea land lovda who sqmetimes d0 well av badly depending wn the skillg ,interast and_determination hf thase wnvkinc On the farm. Thege are the people whD create wealth far the land lar(and benefit the leaat hf what hhay are dwing. My suggestion would b1 that if passible, the government EhOUld build the managerial capacit: hf thege wmrkers and buy this type af land far them. The view Qf sum; of the :mmmunitiae I shared a workshmp with is that the land owne: by indebted farmera who are unable ta pay is autmmatically a Statt land and Shmuld be targeted far radistribution.

Future land diatribgtiguk

In most wnrkshaps we held the communities have Shaun the important: Of always ensuring that there is fond security. This does hot meal

that acme pechle ahouid he praducing for them fmr tha raat of thei liveg. They would prefer a situatiwn where the state would ancmurag them ta pvohuaa fur thwmmelvwg ah communitiem. In the blackspmt area\$ one will find that the gmrvanmEHt is paying very littl attention ta Soil reclamatimn. Thare are nm axtanaimn \$ervices an local capacity has nut bean built ta manage the locally availabl regourceg. .

The fmllawing \$hmuld be lawked at:

"Attentich to thE dEVhlmmeht mf human b&ings.

h_and develmpmeht hlan tn he decided by all concerned.

- -Land to regigtared in the name of both husband and wifh.
- m Marriad and unma?ried t0 ehjmy the same statua in land allocatiwn mPemple irregpwmtiva Qf max tm enjmy the same Status.
- " a dQCiEiDH to be made an the Ealactimn criteria befare land 1 allacated. $\,$
- M Namen to be part of the allmgatian committees.
- w Reskilling mf phohle tn be a pviarity.
- " Land diEtributimh is nathing withmut the required services and th infrastructure.
- h The tenure hyatems is t\$ flexible.

Current atate gations that might hinder land diatributian.

Th9 Swath African gmvmrwment is pushing other organisations and th(partieg invalvwd at the multiuhrty talks to accept the entrenchmenh Df the proparty clau5e in the cmnstitutian. This hag angered tht rural cmmmunitiea a5 they 55w this as yet another attempt by thq gmvevnment to reduce the ability of the future gmvevnment to distribute land. I am aura moat of yqu aaw the march Of vural peoplx to the world Trade Centre.

Adviahv' Cwmmigsion On lagg Allocatian.

m...-

In reepanaa to the demanda Of the land claiming communities, the 8R gmvernment farmed ACLR. This happened two years age. This was get up tO deal with land claims by advising tha atata president On haw VG reapand ta 5 land tlaims applications. There is very little that this commission did ta return land to the removed cammunities. Attamptr by the communities hm democratise this commission fell QM deaf ears. Ewmmissihn DH land QLlacatgan.

AELA hag been changed to CLA whase mandate is to distribute land. There is a belief within smme communities that the Etate is using these commissiong ta give land he its friends.

Emgmmggihmigg m" the'gtate land;

Land in the handg of the abate 1% being unilaterally vestructured. The future democratic gavernment will have no land for distributimr purpmges, if thig goes unchallenged. When is Kemptad Park gaing t: challenge this? Are we going to recugnise thmse given state land as legitimate mwnera Of that land even if this happEn after the multi

. .,, .7 _ _-. . , -_ _. _ - _ _ -- -. -..-.4 my.oq- A...a....,.,. -.._-,-. ..-.__. A_

Barty ?alkg?

Land legiglation

The 89 government hag pasged a legislatiwn by which it changaa the Qxigting tenure systems intm Fr&ehmld. The communities are as far 3% I knmw dm nmt know this development. This change in tenure is net guppmrted by some communities This came up very clearly in wurk5.apa we halt! an tenure 3yatem5. There shmuld be flexibility when a deciaimn 1% made an the typa of twnure. Commqg\$t ggnd Emnferenceu

Rural communitiaa from the length and the breath Of this country are gming to maet in an highwric cwnference. The rural pemple knew very well that mu%t mf the times rural areas are not given enougr attentimn, Moat Of the timmg nakimnal debates are biased to the urban areas. A clear case i\$ the question mf rural local government. Thase communities will be digcuaming and consmlidating their demand? ag rural pemple. Thay will alga he digcussing the pmagible salutions to their prmblems. Rural aqmmunities want to take lead in the a cU%simn wf their plight,

In cwnclumion Ladieg and gentlamen, Once more.I thank you. I knaw I might have said irrelevant thingg, accept my apolagy far that.

Once more, thank ymu...n.e.....u...u...w...........