BOTHA AND COLOU

- D

AT the meeting between the prime minister and the executive members of the Coloured Personsâ\200\231 .RÃ@pres,entative' Council, Mr P W Botha used an interesting analogy. After the Anglo-Boer war, Mr Botha

said, the vanquishéd Afrikaners

were given not freedom but
L .
only representativeâ\200\231\ljgle,,\;low-

ever, the Afrikaner leaders did -

not reject the machinery cre-

ated for them. \hat{a} 200\234They usex

- machinery that hadâ\200\231
%at'e_@gfqr them, and'1
executive posts in thEs

- zations and within a few years

 $\hat{a}\200\230$ had created such a situation

that Union could take place.â\200\235
Mr Botha admitted that the
position of the Afrikaners after
' the war was not altogether
analogous with that of the
coloured people today. But, he
. continued, â\200\230â\200\230the principle re-mains the same, that people

_are either prepared to work 'together (with those who rule

them) for the sake of their people with the machinery that is there and to look for im-_proved machinery, or they are & notâ\200\231â\200\231. i Historical analogy Mr Bothaâ\200\231s historial analogy opens up some intriguing issues. On a more abstgact level there is the question about the utility of historical analogy to $a\200\230$ penetrate the particular relationships or policies of today. More concretely one may ask to what extent does Mr Bothaâ\200\231s $a\200\234$ analogy help to understand the present political dilemma of â\200\230South Africa. Quite simply: Is â\200\234our history relevant to our present situation? It was Arnold Toynbee, the magician of historical analogy, that sounded the most eloquent warning against the pitfalls of . historical comparison. He ob $a\200\230$ served that $a\200\230a\200\234$ however far we may succeed in going in our search for sets of identical examples on either side, we shall never be able to prove that there is not some non-identical factor that we have overlooked, and that this non-identical factor is not the decisive factor that accounts for the different . outcomes in different cases. of what has looked to us like an identical situation but may not have been this in truth \hat{a} 200\235. - (A% Roprn o (e o \hat{a} 200\234of collabotation By HERMANN GILIOMEE, senior lecturer in history at : - Stellenbosch University. organi-8 Rgahes The $a\200\234$ nar;-iaA©;lf;c;l factor $a\200\231$; in Mr Bothaâ $\200\231s$ analogy is easy to spot. Indeed an analysis of Afrikaner politics in the Trans-| vaal and the Free State just after war shows that a completely different strategy was followed than the one the prime minister suggested.; Leaders refused The recognized leaders of the disfranchised Afrikaners in Transvaal and Free State at the beginning of the century

flatly refused to collaborate

with colonial rule until the British granted responsible government to the ex-republics. Finally the Liberal Party in December 1906 granted responsible government for the Transvaal which meant self-rule for the colony â\200\224 and not only some degree of control over the communal affairs of the Afrikaners. Only now did the Het

Volk party under Botha and Smuts proceed to fight the

election (which it won) and use the existing machinery to promote the cause of their people.

Thus the principle that was non-negotiable to Smuts and Botha was this: Do not get involved in any political order

which does not provide for ac-

quiring the substance of power.

What would Botha and Smuts have done had they been given the opportunity to occupy positions in the British-controlled administrative apparatus of the

colony $\hat{a}\200\224$ to pay out pensions | and nominally pass budgets? A

year after the war Lord Milner approached them to serve on the Legislative Council of Transvaal, a body remarkably

" similar to the present Coloured

Personsâ\200\231 Representative Coun-

cil. Milner also invited some
Joiners and Handsuppers

among the Boers to serve on the council. (The first were Boer deserters who fought on the British side; the latter

 $a\200\234$ burghers who at an early stage

of the war lay down their arms

and then observed an oath of

neutrality.)
Rejected offers

' ' The bittereinder leaders all

refused Milnerâ\200\231s offers, thus ensuring that they did not become identified with British | domination. Standing outside

the apparatus of colonial rule, | they could continue to criticize | the government and mobilize support for their own cause.

limit§

Milner did find some Joine! and Handsuppers who accepted his offer. But, as A M Grund-lingh points out in his superb study â\200\230â\200\230Die Hendsoppers en Joinersâ\200\235â\200\231, just published by HAUM, they had no real bargaining power or influence in the political order. General Smuts wrote at the time: â\200\230â\200\234The

- Councillors as well as the pub-

lic know that they are mere puppets in a play in which destiny is the real actor.â\200\235

There is also another principle to be derived from the analogy of Afrikaner politics during the reconstruction of 1902-07.

' This can be put briefly as follows: The strategy of non-col-

laboration with the rulers must be complemented by ceaseless $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right$

 $a\200\234$ efforts to build up a mass orga-

 $\hat{a}\200\230$ nization if a group or class does not want to consign itself to political impotence. :

Real genius

That he could succeed in do-

ing this was the real genius of Botha. Such was the rancour between the bittereinders and joiners after the war that it was no foregone conclusion that the Afrikaners could be mobi--lized against imperial rule. In

~1902 Boer society was almost

fatally divided: Some 10 percent of the republican burghers, who could be called up for military service, had become joiners, 27 percent handsuppers, and only 31 percent laid down their arms as bittereinders. »

Together with Smuts and De la Rey, Botha from 1902 worked tirelessly to heal the

wounds of war and effect a reconciliation among the Afrikaners. If joiners showed 'remorse Botha urged forgiveness. To a National Scout he said simply: â\200\234I accept your regrets. The time is coming when I shall- require your services.â\200\235 When Botha fought the/election of 1907 all the joinersjand handsuppers had been effAOctively integrated into his victorious Het Volk party. = ° However, any straight comparison with coloured politics today is problematical, for there is yet another $a\200\234$ non-identical factorâ\200\235 involved. After the war the Transvaal Afrikaners comprised roughly half of the electorate \hat{a} 200\224 if they be $a\200\230$ came unified power was in their grasp. The incentive for mobilization was too great to tolerate a simmering fued between collaborators and bitter-. einders, y .Party. Perhaps the only way to acrimonious discussion be-"possible for the Labour Party | laborating blacks. " which he, unlike his predeces-"to be derived from the histori-/1 { { Disfranchised In contrast, the coloureds have been disfranchised by the apartheid onslaught and are without any hope to become an $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$ effective force in the political system.. Thus historians will find it difficult to pass a moral or political judgment on the present leaders of the Labour

start a mass organization to speak out against apartheid

was to have seized control of | the existing machinery. Per- | haps the disfranchised are con- | demned to wage a continual war among themselves while | there is not the prospect of | power.

But however that may be the

tween Mr Botha and the Labour |
Party leaders has obviously |
tolled the funeral bell for old-

style $a\200\234a\200\230$ coloured politics $a\200\235$. The limits of collaboration have been reached. It is patently im- |,

leaders to keep on playing the | one card they have â\200\224 that of | non-collaboration within a framework of collaboration â\200\224 | and continue to accept the sala- | ries and the frills of office. Mr | Botha'â\200\231s cutting remarks caused | as much glee among Afrikaner | hardliners as among non-col-

For Mr Botha it is obviously | the end of a chapter too. The | style of consensus politics |

sor, wants to adopt is irreconcilable with heaping scorn on the designated communal leaders of whom it is expected to sell decisions to their constituency. And among the present group of coloureds prepared to colloureds prepared to colloureds in the existing system there is clearly nobody who wield more influenice than the present leaders of the Labour Party. i

Sincere â\200\230

Hopefully the discussion in Pretoria between Mr Botha and | these leaders was the parting - shots of -a war. If that is so, the reconstruction has to begin without delay. Despite District Six, I believe Mr Botha is sincere in his privately expressed intention to heal the wounds of the past 30 years. Whether he would be prepared to do so at the cost of party unity remains to be seen.

But if there is any principle

cal analogy he introduced it is this: People do not form a political community and become full partners in the politics of negotiation until they acquire all the rights and duties pro-

vided under a system of respon- | sible government. And only $|\hat{a}|200|231$ then can there be a move to-wards U:ion. . S 1

=